

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 74559

Title: Revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Return to sports at a minimum

5-year follow-up

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05486871 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Lecturer, Physiotherapist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-11 04:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-12 08:34

**Review time:** 1 Day and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read and reviewed the manuscript entitled "Revision Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Return to Sports at a Minimum of Five-Years Follow-Up." The authors conducted a very useful study in terms of long-term follow up period. I have suggested some minör and majör revisions below. Abstract Page 1, Line 12: The authors stated that "Preoperative and postoperative subjective scores were evaluated with Lysholm, and International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) forms." Postoperative time period should also be declared in this sentence. When the pre-operative and post-operative evaluation was carried out. Keywords Page 2, Line 33: The authors should delete the keyword "Return to sport (RTS)". It should be only stated as "Return to sport". Materials and Methods Page 3, Line 78: Inclusion criteria should also be detailed. Page 3, Line 89: The authors stated that "All patients entered the same rehabilitation protocol." Each patient should have an individual exercise prescription. I guessed that a fixed protocol was not applied to every case. It should be noted whether the exercise program is specific for individual needs. Page 3, Line 89: The authors stated that "Partial loading was allowed for the first three weeks, with passive flexion and extension exercises to recover range of motion (ROM).". This sentence should be corrected as follows "Partial loading was allowed for the first three weeks. Passive flexion and extension ROM were carried out." Page 4, Line 93: What does "impact exercises" mean? I do not think that it is a frequent terminology in physical exercise literature. Sample size calculation or power analysis should be given.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 74559

Title: Revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Return to sports at a minimum

5-year follow-up

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05388526

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-04 02:11

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-13 11:59

**Review time:** 9 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although there are many studies in this area, the conclusion of this paper has some reference value in clinical practice. However, there is no case data of revision surgery in the paper. Could you please provide the case data of at least five cases and select representative cases to attach to the paper?



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 74559

Title: Revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Return to sports at a minimum

5-year follow-up

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05486871 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Lecturer, Physiotherapist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-28

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-17 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-17 09:00

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

None