

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 74573

Title: Castleman Disease of the Pancreas Mimicking Pancreatic Malignancy on

68Ga-DOTATATE and 18F-FDG PET/CT: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05347124 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-10 15:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-18 11:13

**Review time:** 7 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Has the document been approved by the hospital ethics Committee? Please add this content. Was the manuscript given informed consent by the patient? Please add this content Please provide a copy of the first page of the patient's inpatient case.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 74573

Title: Castleman Disease of the Pancreas Mimicking Pancreatic Malignancy on

68Ga-DOTATATE and 18F-FDG PET/CT: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03967085 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-12 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-20 21:26

**Review time:** 8 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



Baishideng **Publishing** 

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title is informative and relevant. The references are relevant and recent. The cited sources are referenced correctly. Appropriate and key studies are included. The introduction reveals what is already known about this topic. The research question is clearly outlined. The case is well-described, the used methods methods for diagnosing and therapy are valid and reliable. The patient data is presented in an appropriate way. The illustrative materials are relevant and clearly presented. Data is discussed from different angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted. The conclusions are supported by references and own results. This paper added to what is already in the topic. The article is consistent within itself. Specific comments on weaknesses of the article and what could be improved: Major points - none Minor points - what are the clinical implications and recommendations based on this case series? What is the prognosis?