

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 74837

**Title:** Role of biliary complication in chronic graft rejection after living donor liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04015916

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-18 02:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-18 14:21

Review time: 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Without seeing the full text of the paper, it is difficult to draw correct conclusions.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 74837

**Title:** Role of biliary complication in chronic graft rejection after living donor liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05260725

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS (Gen Surg)

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-15 06:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-26 09:46

Review time: 11 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)       [ ] Accept (General priority)         [ Y] Minor revision       [ ] Major revision       [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) you should remove this sentence that 'Generally, Factors exposing patients to higher odds for CGR include the number and gravity of acute rejection incidents, episodes of CGR that require re-transplantation and prolonged cold ischemia time. Further, patient-related factors like advanced donor age and constellations with male donors and female recipients play a crucial role. Lastly, genetically unrelated donors display higher risk of CGR compared to genetically related donors in living donor liver transplantation (LDLT)'. 2) what do you mean for sentence that ' We hereby acknowledge the histological and clinical challenges in differentiating between CGR and other causes of post-transplant cholangiopathy (PTC)'. is the post-transplant cholangiopathy a conclusion from CGR or cause ? I thing you firstly should emphasize.