

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75096

Title: Gallbladder neuroendocrine carcinoma diagnosis, treatment and prognosis based on the SEER database: A literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05345731

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-16 02:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-16 02:44

Review time: 1 Hour

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: ☐ Yes ☒ No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Grammar and spelling need more work!

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75096

Title: Gallbladder neuroendocrine carcinoma diagnosis, treatment and prognosis based on the SEER database: A literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05910223

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-18 03:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-23 05:40

Review time: 5 Days and 2 Hours

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

World Journal of gastroenterology- 75096-66915 Thank you for having an opportunity to review this case report by Dr. Cai, et al. They reported a literature review of gallbladder neuroendocrine carcinoma. The authors summarized the diagnosis, clinical features, treatment and prognosis of this rare tumor. Although their report is valuable, there are several points to be revised for the acceptance. Major 1. The authors lack Method section. Please describe how to search, include and exclude previous reports in a more detailed way and their plan of statistical analysis. Flow chart of eligibility can assist reader's understanding of this manuscript. 2. All tables are not well-constructed and bit less easy to understand. Some modifications will be needed, for example, turning tables sideways in Word file. 3. Introduction section looks not enough. Please describe and clarify unsolved subjects and problems of previous reports. 4. Some specific ultrasonographic, CT, and MRI images of GB-NEC should be provided. 5. In Table 1, please describe units of survival time. 6. "BG-NEC"s are typo in Abstract and Manuscript. 7. Throughout the manuscript, English quality looks not good. Some grammar mistakes are found. Please have an English proofreading again.