World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2022 July 27; 14(7): 632-730





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJGS

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 7 July 27, 2022

REVIEW

632 From advanced diagnosis to advanced resection in early neoplastic colorectal lesions: Never-ending and trending topics in the 2020s

Auriemma F, Sferrazza S, Bianchetti M, Savarese MF, Lamonaca L, Paduano D, Piazza N, Giuffrida E, Mete LS, Tucci A, Milluzzo SM, Iannelli C, Repici A, Mangiavillano B

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

656 Adult patients with allied disorders of Hirschsprung's disease in emergency department: An 11-year retrospective study

Jiang S, Song CY, Feng MX, Lu YQ

670 Impact of comorbid renal dysfunction in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma on long-term outcomes after curative resection

Sakamoto Y, Shimada S, Kamiyama T, Sugiyama K, Asahi Y, Nagatsu A, Orimo T, Kakisaka T, Kamachi H, Ito YM, Taketomi A

685 Individualized risk estimation for postoperative pulmonary complications after hepatectomy based on perioperative variables

Xu LN, Xu YY, Li GP, Yang B

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

696 Skeletal muscle metastasis from colorectal adenocarcinoma: A literature review

Kulkarni N, Khalil A, Bodapati S

CASE REPORT

706 Percutaneous aspiration and sclerotherapy of a giant simple hepatic cyst causing obstructive jaundice: A case report and review of literature

He XX, Sun MX, Lv K, Cao J, Zhang SY, Li JN

Indocyanine green fluorescence imaging for spleen preservation in laparoscopic splenic artery aneurysm 714 resection: A case report

Cheng J, Sun LY, Liu J, Zhang CW

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 720 Total mesopancreas excision is the better staging tool of the mesopancreas in pancreatic head carcinoma Peparini N
- 723 Three-dimensional visualization and virtual reality simulation role in hepatic surgery: Further research warranted

Ahmed F, Jahagirdar V, Gudapati S, Mouchli M



Conte	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
	Monthly Volume 14 Number 7 July 27, 2022
727	Signs and syndromes in acute appendicitis: A pathophysiologic approach
	Yale SH, Tekiner H, Yale ES



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Monthly Volume 14 Number 7 July 27, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Francesco Saverio Mari, FACS, MD, PhD, Doctor, Lecturer, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Fellow, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences and Translational Medicine, School of Medicine and Psychology, University Sapienza of Rome, Rome 00060, Italy. frasavmari@yahoo.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.505; IF without journal self cites: 2.473; 5-year IF: 3.099; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.49; Ranking: 104 among 211 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q2; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
PUBLICATION ETHICS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
ONLINE SUBMISSION
https://www.f6publishing.com
H C H F H F H C H C H C H C H C H C H C

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S W J

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2022 July 27; 14(7): 723-726

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v14.i7.723

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Three-dimensional visualization and virtual reality simulation role in hepatic surgery: Further research warranted

Faiza Ahmed, Vinay Jahagirdar, Sravya Gudapati, Mohamad Mouchli

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Dell'Amore A, Italy; Litvin A, Russia; Wang P, China

Received: January 17, 2022 Peer-review started: January 17, 2022 First decision: March 12, 2022 Revised: April 5, 2022 Accepted: June 23, 2022 Article in press: June 23, 2022 Published online: July 27, 2022



Faiza Ahmed, Division of Clinical and Translational Research, Larkin Community Hospital, South Miami, FL 33143, United States

Vinay Jahagirdar, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Missouri Kansas City School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO 64108, United States

Sravya Gudapati, Department of Gastroenterology, The Illinois Center for Digestive and Liver Health, Chicago, IL 60660, United States

Mohamad Mouchli, Department of Gastroenterology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH 44195, United States

Corresponding author: Faiza Ahmed, BSc, MD, MSc, Instructor, Research Scientist, Senior Researcher, Division of Clinical and Translational Research, Larkin Community Hospital, 7031 SW 62nd Ave, South Miami, FL 33143, United States. dr.faiza.ahmed11@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is the study of algorithms that enable machines to analyze and execute cognitive activities including problem solving, object and word recognition, reduce the inevitable errors to improve the diagnostic accuracy, and decision-making. Hepatobiliary procedures are technically complex and the use of AI in perioperative management can improve patient outcomes as discussed below. Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of images obtained via ultrasound, computed tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging, can help surgeons better visualize the surgical sites with added depth perception. Preoperative 3D planning is associated with lesser operative time and intraoperative complications. Also, a more accurate assessment is noted, which leads to fewer operative complications. Images can be converted into physical models with 3D printing technology, which can be of educational value to students and trainees. 3D images can be combined to provide 3D visualization, which is used for preoperative navigation, allowing for more precise localization of tumors and vessels. Nevertheless, AI enables surgeons to provide better, personalized care for each patient.

Key Words: Artificial intelligence; Three-dimensional printing; Liver surgery; Virtual reality; Preoperative planning; Simulation

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



WJGS https://www.wjgnet.com

Core Tip: One of the applications of artificial intelligence in hepato-biliary and pancreatic surgery is to generate three-dimensional (3D) imaging, models, and virtual reality for preoperative planning. 3D visualization and navigation can facilitate identification of the exact location of tumors and vessels, reducing vascular injury, operative time, and postoperative complications, thereby leading to better patient outcomes. Upcoming surgeons and students can utilize 3D models and virtual reality to gain expertise in the field of hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery and share their experiences with their peers.

Citation: Ahmed F, Jahagirdar V, Gudapati S, Mouchli M. Three-dimensional visualization and virtual reality simulation role in hepatic surgery: Further research warranted. World J Gastrointest Surg 2022; 14(7): 723-726 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v14/i7/723.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v14.i7.723

TO THE EDITOR

We have read with great interest the paper "Role of Artificial Intelligence in Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery", published by Bari et al[1] in your well-regarded journal "World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery". Concerning the data reported on three-dimensional visualization (3DV) and virtual simulation on hepatic patients, we would like to make a contribution towards the discussion and draw your attention to several interesting aspects from recently published literature.

The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare delivery has become an increasingly important avenue of medical research and practice. AI is a vast field, which includes machine learning as a subfield, is steadily being integrated into healthcare settings to provide a more precise and individualized approach[2]. At present, before the surgery to determine treatments, hepatobiliary and pancreatic (HPB) surgeons utilize ultrasonography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging which provide two-dimensional (2D) views. Surgeons utilize the shadows, textures, and shades from the 2D displays to extrapolate three-dimensional (3D) information in their brains. This 2D image commonly causes loss of depth perception and exerts more workload on the operating physicians^[3]. 3DV, a new type of computer-assisted imaging technology, exhibits clear and accurate images for postprocessing to help surgeons stratify surgical risks and outline their surgical plan for intraoperative navigation[4].

We came across two recent studies that compared 3D and 2D visualization reconstruction techniques in liver diseases. Bari *et al*[1] referenced in their paper, the research conducted by Fang *et al*[5] which demonstrated significantly shorter operation time (P = 0.028), less hepatic inflow occlusion (P = 0.029), and decreased high grade (Clavien Grade III - V) postoperative complications in hepatocellular carcinoma patients using 3D models. Zhang et al[6] and Zhang et al[7] also reported similar benefits. Zhang *et al*[7] is the first to conduct research in the Tibet population for hepatic echinococcosis and his results revealed the 3DV technology contributing towards improved diagnosis and treatment of patients. Moreover, the 3DV technology accurately formulated a preoperative plan with a high compliance rate and reduced surgical time (210 vs 135; $P \le 0.05$). Also, fewer cases were seen with blood flow blockage (83 vs 50), reduced blood flow blockage time (30.1 min vs 18.2 min), reduced volume of intraoperative blood transfusion and hemorrhage [(550 mL vs 310 mL) and (613 mL vs 312 mL); $P \le$ 0.05)], and a significantly lower incidence of postoperative biliary fistula was noted. A meta-analysis on video-assisted hepatectomy by Zhang et al^[7] indicated significant shorter operating time [mean difference (MD = -34.39; 95% CI: -59.50, -9.28; P = 0.007), less blood loss (MD = -106.55; 95% CI: -183.76, -29.34; *P* = 0.007), small transfusion volume (MD = -88.25; 95% CI: -141.26, -35.24; *P* = 0.001)], and reduced postoperative complications [odds ratio (OR) = 0.57; 95%CI: 0.35, 0.91] with the utilization of 3D application. Furthermore, 3D video-assisted system is a better option than a 2D system since it provides a simple anatomical image combined with improved depth perception, allowing surgeons to operate precisely and in a shorter time.

Another new tool, the immersive 3D virtual reality (VR), allows for preoperative 3D liver models via an immersive VR application. It is not well investigated, so there is limited available literature on this modality. Most obtainable publications on hepatic models are described by means of 3D prints or 3D portable document formats (PDFs) for preoperative planning[8-10]. To date, we found three current studies comparing 3D PDFs, 3D printed models (PR), and 3DV models in liver surgery.

Boedecker et al[10] engineered a VR application that allows liver resection planning via a preoperative 3D liver. The study summarized that the drawbacks of visualization on a 2D screen and surface reflection, which arise from 3D print models, are avoided in the VR technique. VR not only includes almost all the benefits of 3D printing but also allows viewing of the various interactions of overlapping pathologies and hepatic vessels. This is not possible with a 3D print. Furthermore, when it comes to education, 3D models are widely used due to their availability and sustainability^[11]. Nascent HPB trainees can utilize the benefits of immersive VR, including the ability to interact with other trainees and

WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

mentors who are a long distance away, as supported by Kenngott et al[12] in their research, where they describe the benefits of VR application in medical education. However, the disadvantage of using VR is that it is unable to make volume calculations, which is only possible through a 3D PDF format. Also, the haptic interaction with the 3D model and surgeon's own hands is limited to the VR application[10]. This needs further investigations.

Out of all three modalities, the fastest and most cost-efficient tool is 3D PDF[10]. Often the 3D PR models are billed per case. Though the VR application equipment is more expensive than the PR model, VR technology is a better choice since they are only a one-time investment. Additionally, stereolithography files can be dragged and dropped to create the 3D VR model almost instantly without any delay. Prior to choosing a tool for preoperative surgical planning, the above factors must be reviewed.

Huettl et al[13] concluded that even though 3D PDF is more cost-effective, the 3D PDFs and 3D VR models have the advantage of providing more precise tumor localization. Comparatively, the majority of surgeons preferred VR application over the other modalities. The study also reported 3D PR as superior for faster tumor localization while 3D PDF and 3D PR showed no difference.

Overall, Bari *et al*[1] put in great efforts towards outlining the potential of applying currently available 3D presentation modalities in the perioperative evaluation of those who come in for HPB surgery. Further research is necessary to evaluate the reliability and validity of the results already existing on the 3DV and VR technology. This will help surgeons better understand these modalities, utilize, and design personalized surgical plans for each patient.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: All authors contributed to the conception, writing, and review of the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Faiza Ahmed 0000-0002-6751-5178; Vinay Jahagirdar 0000-0001-6685-1033; Sravya Gudapati 0000-0003-4557-0288; Mohamad Mouchli 0000-0002-5343-2427.

S-Editor: Ma Y L-Editor: A P-Editor: Ma Y

REFERENCES

- 1 Bari H, Wadhwani S, Dasari BVM. Role of artificial intelligence in hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery. World J Gastrointest Surg 2021; 13: 7-18 [PMID: 33552391 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v13.i1.7]
- Schlanger D, Graur F, Popa C, Moiș E, Al Hajjar N. The role of artificial intelligence in pancreatic surgery: a systematic 2 review. Updates Surg 2022; 74: 417-429 [PMID: 35237939 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-022-01255-z]
- Hanna GB, Shimi SM, Cuschieri A. Randomised study of influence of two-dimensional versus three-dimensional imaging 3 on performance of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Lancet 1998; 351: 248-251 [PMID: 9457094 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08005-7]
- 4 Rowe SP, Chu LC, Fishman EK. 3D CT cinematic rendering of the spleen: Potential role in problem solving. Diagn Interv Imaging 2019; 100: 477-483 [PMID: 30928470 DOI: 10.1016/j.diii.2019.03.005]
- Fang CH, Tao HS, Yang J, Fang ZS, Cai W, Liu J, Fan YF. Impact of three-dimensional reconstruction technique in the 5 operation planning of centrally located hepatocellular carcinoma. J Am Coll Surg 2015; 220: 28-37 [PMID: 25456781 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.09.023]
- 6 Zhang J, Dawa J, Suolang D, Lei Y, Wang J, Basang D. The Application of Preoperative Three-Dimensional Reconstruction Visualization Digital Technology in the Surgical Treatment of Hepatic Echinococcosis in Tibet. Front Surg 2021; 8: 715005 [PMID: 34490337 DOI: 10.3389/fsurg.2021.715005]
- Zhang S, Huang Z, Cai L, Zhang W, Ding H, Zhang L, Chen Y. Three-dimensional versus two-dimensional video-assisted hepatectomy for liver disease: a meta-analysis of clinical data. Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne 2021; 16: 1-9 [PMID: 33786111 DOI: 10.5114/wiitm.2020.100678]
- Bangeas P, Tsioukas V, Papadopoulos VN, Tsoulfas G. Role of innovative 3D printing models in the management of 8 hepatobiliary malignancies. World J Hepatol 2019; 11: 574-585 [PMID: 31388399 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v11.i7.574]



- 9 Yang T, Lin S, Xie Q, Ouyang W, Tan T, Li J, Chen Z, Yang J, Wu H, Pan J, Hu C, Zou Y. Impact of 3D printing technology on the comprehension of surgical liver anatomy. Surg Endosc 2019; 33: 411-417 [PMID: 29943060 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6308-8]
- 10 Boedecker C, Huettl F, Saalfeld P, Paschold M, Kneist W, Baumgart J, Preim B, Hansen C, Lang H, Huber T. Using virtual 3D-models in surgical planning: workflow of an immersive virtual reality application in liver surgery. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2021; 406: 911-915 [PMID: 33710462 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-021-02127-7]
- 11 Pietrabissa A, Marconi S, Negrello E, Mauri V, Peri A, Pugliese L, Marone EM, Auricchio F. An overview on 3D printing for abdominal surgery. Surg Endosc 2020; 34: 1-13 [PMID: 31605218 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-019-07155-5]
- 12 Kenngott HG, Pfeiffer M, Preukschas AA, Bettscheider L, Wise PA, Wagner M, Speidel S, Huber M, Nickel F, Mehrabi A, Müller-Stich BP. IMHOTEP: cross-professional evaluation of a three-dimensional virtual reality system for interactive surgical operation planning, tumor board discussion and immersive training for complex liver surgery in a head-mounted display. Surg Endosc 2022; 36: 126-134 [PMID: 33475848 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-020-08246-4]
- 13 Huettl F, Saalfeld P, Hansen C, Preim B, Poplawski A, Kneist W, Lang H, Huber T. Virtual reality and 3D printing improve preoperative visualization of 3D liver reconstructions-results from a preclinical comparison of presentation modalities and user's preference. Ann Transl Med 2021; 9: 1074 [PMID: 34422986 DOI: 10.21037/atm-21-512]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

