

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The article describes a case with right renal mass and left proximal ureteral mass with hydronephrosis simultaneously. The author performed robot-assisted surgery on both sides during one operation. The total operation time was 268 minutes, with an estimated blood loss of 200 mL. Except for the serum creatinine level increased temporarily, There were no additional complications or symptoms, which is notable given the patient's age. There are no question about it.

: Thank you for your comment.

As you pointed out, our goal was to introduce more treatment options to the elderly through minimally invasive surgery.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This case describes the surgical management of a synchronous renal cell carcinoma and ureteral carcinoma on the other side. Similar cases are rare, and there is a lack of uniform treatment protocols, with more of an appropriate approach based on the patient's condition. The case history was presented in more detail and the surgical plan was the standard of care, namely partial nephrectomy and radical ureteral carcinoma. Robot-assisted surgery has the advantage of reducing the time of renal thermal ischemia. Concurrent surgery can reduce the surgical burden on the patient. It was successful in managing this patient. However, it was reported in the previous literature and this is the same view, which did not show a very prominent originality in this case. In addition, is it a clerical error that the left ureteral carcinoma is located in the proximal ureter but then described as distal ureter in the case description? Further clarification from the authors is needed.

: Thank you for your important comment.

The purpose of his study is to introduce methods and benefits to elderly patients through minimal invasive surgery. There was an error in describing the tumor location. I fixed the error and explained the location in more detail.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors reported a rare case with urothelial carcinoma in upper ureter with synchronous contralateral renal cell carcinoma in a

super-elderly patient. She received successful treatment by simultaneous robot-assisted approach without recurrence. This is an informative case for clinical physicians.

: Thank you for your comment.

Although the data have not yet been sufficiently accumulated, we hope that this case report will help physicians who considering more aggressive treatment in elderly patients like this case report.

6 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

This manuscript shows an 85-year-old female patient with synchronous renal cell carcinoma and ureteral carcinoma on the other side , and took a robotic flat-form surgery. The case is rare and reported in detail. The case can provide useful information for clinical physicians. Please add more references and discuss them in the Discussion part, highlight the new information this case can provide.

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

: Thank you very much for your important comment.

Although this paper has the significance you mentioned, it is a case report. To provide more information to our readers, we have researched literatures and added it to the discussion.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words). Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or

indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

: Thank you for your detailed advice.

First, the number of words in the title has been modified to meet the guideline.

Second, all figures are our original property. The unedited version was submitted as PowerPoint with copyright information added.

Third, we have updated the grant application form and number.