

March 25, 2022

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed our revised manuscript entitled “*Nursing a patient with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) with insulin-related lipodystrophy, allergy, and exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: a case report*”. We have addressed all the points raised by the reviewers in the attached response to reviewers and have revised the manuscript accordingly.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a revised version of our manuscript and we look forward to a favourable response to our revised submission to *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Sincerely yours,

Lingling Xu, MD

Department of Endocrinology,

Key Laboratory of Endocrinology of National Health Commission,

Department of Endocrinology,

Peking Union Medical College Hospital,

Chinese Academy of Medical Science, Beijing, China,

Beijing 100730, P.R. China

Tel: 86-13681348849 (China)

E-mail: llxuwsh@163.com

POINT-BY-POINT RESPONSES TO REFEREE COMMENTS

Manuscript: 75407 (Original Article)

Manuscript title: Nursing a patient with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) with insulin-related lipodystrophy, allergy, and exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: a case report

The authors thank the editor for all the comments about the article.

COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHOR:

Reviewer 1#

Dear authors, thanks a lot for giving me the opportunity to review your manuscript. As I read, the case has been partly published in a Chinese Journal, but has now the focus on nursing. I don't know in what kind of Journal the case has been published but there is no doubt for me that I can review your manuscript in the direction of nursing. The title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The background of the topic is adequately described with a present status and significance of the case report. I can't see a method which has to been used in a study (i.e. intervention), but that's not poor, because you described a case. All data you use in the manuscript are clear described and important to understand the case. There are no results in the meaning of results of an (interventional) study, but under Point 3 of the manuscript you described the key points of nursing, which I would resume as the results of the case. The key points are concisely, clearly and logically. Your remarks are relevant to clinical practice. The figures and tables are sufficient and in good quality and with appropriate legends. Biostatistics are not used. Citations are appropriate. I couldn't find self citations of the authors. All in all the manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. Style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The authors did prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research method of a case report. I was wondering, why there is no note for submitting the case in an ethical committee.

I would recommend to get an answer to the editor in chief and to me why this submission has not been done.

Response: Thanks for pointing out this. We added it.

Reviewer 2#:

Discussion of related work should be improved by clearly stating what the differences/similarities are to this work

Response: Thanks for pointing out this. For this patient, the total insulin dosage before the desensitization test was 52IU, but the total dosage decreased to 18IU after the desensitization. IAA titers reduction was the possible cause. Due to this reason, diabetes specialist nurse should not rush to add insulin to the original dose after successful insulin desensitization, but should explore a new dose based on blood glucose monitoring instead. We added “4 **Key points of nursing after the desensitization test**” part.