

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75675

Title: Application of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of infectious diseases of the central nervous system after empirical treatment

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05086539

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Research Scientist, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-10 13:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-15 03:13

Review time: 4 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Consistency in writing are needed. Data presentation was confusing. Please add p-Value for the difference of using mNGS and traditional methods to prevent overclaiming methods.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75675

Title: Application of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of infectious diseases of the central nervous system after empirical treatment

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429012

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Jordan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-25 01:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-02 14:37

Review time: 8 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all, the study is good in its nature, methods and findings. The following points have to considered before its acceptance: - The abstract should be re-written and reduced to 250 words. - All figures and table are not cited in the text and have no titles. -language needs to be edited by a native English speaker. - Conclusion is very long, and should be rewritten and reduced.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75675

Title: Application of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of infectious diseases of the central nervous system after empirical treatment

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429012

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Jordan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-28 16:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-28 17:36

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Some improvements have been introduced to the manuscript, however there are some points to be considered including: 1- abstract is still long. 2- conclusion is still long. 3-both figures and tables are not provided.