

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75766

Title: Acute ischemic stroke combined with Stanford type A aortic dissection: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03769687

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Reader (Associate Professor), Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-19 05:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-27 12:07

Review time: 8 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should use standardized scales when reporting outcome (mRS) and pretreatment disability (NIHSS). It seems that the patient was initially quite severely neurologically impaired. Was mechanical thrombectomy considered? If yes, advanced imaging including a CTA should have been performed which would have revealed the underlying vascular condition. If no, the reasons should be given (logistic constraints I assume?). That should be discussed.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75766

Title: Acute ischemic stroke combined with Stanford type A aortic dissection: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03819674

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-01 06:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-14 07:20

Review time: 13 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article highlights a rare and serious cardiovascular disease , the AAD, which can mimick many other non specific diseases such as gastriculcer, cholecystesis.. Authors should emphazise the main factors that lead to AAD misdiagnosis in the Emergency room such as failure to perform adequate history taking or/and physical examination, failure to identify atypical symptoms, failure to order or to interpret a diagnostic test and failure to order an appropriate specialized consultation.. To improve the quality of the article, Medico-legal implications in cases of misdiagnosed AAD could be discussed.

Line 57: the AAD was complicated with AIS not the opposite.