

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75894

Title: Rare giant corneal keloid presenting 26 years after trauma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06111120

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-10 07:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-22 18:43

Review time: 12 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, authors presented a 36-year-old patient with chief complaint of an enlarging left eye mass for the past 4 years. The mass was excised with superficial keratectomy. Subsequent histology and Immunohistochemistry studies confirmed the diagnosis of corneal keloid formation. This study is relevant. The organization & flow of the paper looks good. Please discuss different surgical interventions which are more commonly used for treatment of corneal keloid excision and their indications based on the depth of infiltration, in discussion segment. Overall, the manuscript is unique and well-conducted. However, I would like to bring to your attention the existence of some minor linguistic issues that exist throughout the submitted manuscript, such as inconsistent use of capital and lower-case letters after columns and some grammatical/syntax errors that I noticed. These issues are very minor, however a thorough proofreading and correction where necessary would greatly improve overall presentation.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75894

Title: Rare giant corneal keloid presenting 26 years after trauma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04153245

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BM BCh, MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-24 16:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-24 21:36

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Please, delete "Literature review" from title. No systematic review is found. Page 5: "(Figure 3 A and 3 B)" is to be written (Figures 3A,3B). You may correct all similar points like (Figure 3 C and 3 D). Page 6: This phrase "during the 4-mo follow-up", Please, write months instead of mo. Page 7: Conclusion: This phrase "The genesis of such", please, add the word "keloids" following "such". References: Please, write all references with the same style; Some journal names are written with complete names; others appear with abbreviations.