# World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2022 July 28; 28(28): 3535-3752





#### **Contents**

Weekly Volume 28 Number 28 July 28, 2022

#### **REVIEW**

3535 Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma as a therapeutic target for hepatocellular carcinoma: Experimental and clinical scenarios

Katoch S, Sharma V, Patial V

3555 Gut microbiota alteration and modulation in hepatitis B virus-related fibrosis and complications: Molecular mechanisms and therapeutic inventions

Li YG, Yu ZJ, Li A, Ren ZG

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

Combination approaches in hepatocellular carcinoma: How systemic treatment can benefit candidates to 3573 locoregional modalities

da Fonseca LG, Araujo RLC

Update on endoscopic ultrasound-guided liver biopsy 3586

Rangwani S, Ardeshna DR, Mumtaz K, Kelly SG, Han SY, Krishna SG

3595 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease-related hepatocellular carcinoma: Is there a role for immunotherapy?

Mattos ÂZ, Debes JD, Vogel A, Arrese M, Revelo X, Pase THS, Manica M, Mattos AA

3608 Potassium-competitive acid blockers and gastroesophageal reflux disease

Leowattana W, Leowattana T

3620 Making the case for multidisciplinary pediatric aerodigestive programs

Kanotra SP, Weiner R, Rahhal R

3627 Therapeutic potential of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of acute liver failure

Harrell CR, Pavlovic D, Djonov V, Volarevic V

3637 Effective combinations of anti-cancer and targeted drugs for pancreatic cancer treatment

Nishimoto A

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

3644 Mechanism of electroacupuncture and herb-partitioned moxibustion on ulcerative colitis animal model: A study based on proteomics

Qi Q, Zhong R, Liu YN, Zhao C, Huang Y, Lu Y, Ma Z, Zheng HD, Wu LY

#### World Journal of Gastroenterology

#### Contents

# Weekly Volume 28 Number 28 July 28, 2022

#### **Retrospective Study**

How has the disease course of pediatric ulcerative colitis changed throughout the biologics era? A 3666 comparison with the IBSEN study

Kwon Y, Kim ES, Choe YH, Kim MJ

3682 Gastric mucosal precancerous lesions in Helicobacter pylori-infected pediatric patients in central China: A single-center, retrospective investigation

Yu M, Ma J, Song XX, Shao QQ, Yu XC, Khan MN, Qi YB, Hu RB, Wei PR, Xiao W, Jia BL, Cheng YB, Kong LF, Chen CL, Ding SZ

#### **Observational Study**

3695 Secular trends of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in a high endemic area: A population-based study Lin CR, Lee YK, Chiang CJ, Yang YW, Chang HC, You SL

3706 Family-based Helicobacter pylori infection status and transmission pattern in central China, and its clinical implications for related disease prevention

Yu XC, Shao QQ, Ma J, Yu M, Zhang C, Lei L, Zhou Y, Chen WC, Zhang W, Fang XH, Zhu YZ, Wu G, Wang XM, Han SY, Sun PC, Ding SZ

#### **SCIENTOMETRICS**

3720 Global research on Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea: A visualized study

Zyoud SH

#### **CASE REPORT**

3732 Delayed immune-related sclerosing cholangitis after discontinuation of pembrolizumab: A case report

Tanaka T, Sakai A, Tsujimae M, Yamada Y, Kobayashi T, Masuda A, Kodama Y

#### **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

3739 Prednisolone induced pneumatosis coli and pneumoperitoneum

Goh SSN, Shelat V

3743 Is patient satisfaction sufficient to validate endoscopic anti-reflux treatments?

Bortolotti M

3747 Endoluminal vacuum-assisted therapy as a treatment for anastomotic leakage in colorectal surgery

II

Chiarello MM, Bianchi V, Fransvea P, Brisinda G

#### Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 28 July 28, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Giovanna Ferraioli, MD, FAIUM, Researcher, Department of Clinical Surgical, Diagnostic and Pediatric Sciences, Medical School University of Pavia, Viale Brambilla 74, Pavia 27100, Italy. giovanna.ferraioli@unipv.it

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

#### INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yu-Xi Chen, Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

#### NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

#### ISSN

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

#### LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

#### **FREQUENCY**

Weekly

#### **EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Andrzei S Tarnawski

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

#### **PUBLICATION DATE**

July 28, 2022

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

#### **INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

#### **GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

#### **GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

#### **PUBLICATION ETHICS**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

#### **PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

#### ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

# STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

#### **ONLINE SUBMISSION**

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2022 July 28; 28(28): 3743-3746

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Is patient satisfaction sufficient to validate endoscopic anti-reflux treatments?

#### Mauro Bortolotti

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i28.3743

# Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Chen SY, China; Jing X, China; Rogers MP, United States

Received: February 21, 2022 Peer-review started: February 21,

First decision: May 29, 2022 Revised: June 8, 2022 Accepted: July 8, 2022 Article in press: July 8, 2022 Published online: July 28, 2022



Mauro Bortolotti, Department of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, S. Orsola-Malpighi Polyclinic, University of Bologna, Bologna 40138, Italy

Corresponding author: Mauro Bortolotti, MD, Via Massarenti 48, Bologna 40138, Italy. bormau@tin.it

#### **Abstract**

Endoscopic anti-reflux treatment is emerging as a new option for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) treatment in patients with the same indications as for laparoscopic fundoplication. There are many techniques, the first of which are transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF) and nonablative radio-frequency (STRETTA) that have been tested with comparative studies and randomized controlled trials, whereas the other more recent ones still require a deeper evaluation. The purpose of the latter is to verify whether reflux is abolished or significantly reduced after intervention, whether there is a valid high pressure zone at the gastroesophageal junction, and whether esophagitis, when present, has disappeared. Unfortunately in a certain number of cases, and especially in the more recently introduced ones, the evaluation has been based almost exclusively on subjective criteria, such as improvement in the quality of life, remission of heartburn and regurgitation, and reduction or suspension of antacid and antisecretory drug consumption. However, with the most studied techniques such as TIF and STRETTA, an improvement in symptoms better than that of laparoscopic fundoplication can often be observed, whereas the number of acid episodes and acid exposure time are similar or higher, as if the acid refluxes are better tolerated by these patients. The suspicion of a local hyposensitivity taking place after antireflux endoscopic intervention seems confirmed by a Bernstein test at least for STRETTA. This examination should be done for all the other techniques, both old and new, to identify the ones that reassure rather than cure. In conclusion, the evaluation of the effectiveness of the endoscopic anti-reflux techniques should not be based exclusively on subjective criteria, but should also be confirmed by objective examinations, because there might be a gap between the improvement in symptoms declared by the patient and the underlying pathophysiologic alterations of GERD.

Key Words: Endoscopic anti-reflux treatment; Transoral incisionless fundoplication; Nonablative radio-frequency; Anti-reflux mucosectomy; Gastro-esophageal reflux disease; Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Endoscopic anti-reflux treatments are being increasingly used instead of anti-reflux surgery. However, most of them have been evaluated only on the ground of subjective symptoms, without performing any objective examination. Furthermore, some also appear to be more effective than surgery in improving acid reflux symptoms, even if their acid exposure is worse, suggesting a reduced sensitivity. The Bernstein test performed after nonablative radio-frequency seems to confirm this hypothesis. Hence, to verify the effectiveness of these esophageal anti-reflux interventions, in addition to evaluating the symptoms before and after the intervention, it is necessary to perform objective examinations.

**Citation:** Bortolotti M. Is patient satisfaction sufficient to validate endoscopic anti-reflux treatments? *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; 28(28): 3743-3746

**URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i28/3743.htm

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i28.3743

#### TO THE EDITOR

In the past few years, endoscopic anti-reflux treatments have increasingly caught the attention of many gastroenterological centers, as they offer a minimally invasive option for patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) who show refractoriness or intolerance to proton pump inhibitors (PPI), or who refuse to take lifelong medication, but want to avoid a surgical intervention, such as the classical laparoscopic fundoplication (LF). A recent article[1] has provided an up-to-date review of the technical aspects, clinical effectiveness, and safety of the main endoscopic anti-reflux procedures including transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF), nonablative radio-frequency (STRETTA), and Medigus ultrasonic surgical endostapler (MUSE), together with the following still experimental techniques, such as full-thickness endoscopic plication device, anti-reflux mucosectomy (ARMS), anti-reflux mucosal ablation, and band-assisted ligation techniques. However, two observations can be made after consulting the literature studies on the effectiveness of these systems.

First, in most studies the efficacy of an endoscopic anti-reflux technique has been evaluated almost exclusively considering subjective symptoms, such as the improvement in quality of life, remission of heartburn and regurgitation, and reduction or suspension of antacid and antisecretory drugs consumption, to the extent that it has been deemed a success when the patient halved the dose of PPI used prior to intervention.

Second, in most studies where these endoscopic interventions are compared with LF or PPI therapy, symptom improvement after endoscopic treatments is frequently greater than that obtained with LF, even when the 24 h pH recording shows a worse result.

This statement can be easily verified in the systematic review and network meta-analysis of Richter et al[2] from seven randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with a total of 1128 patients, concerning a comparison of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication (LNF) vs TIF or PPI treatment. The results indicate that TIF has the highest likelihood of increasing the patient's health-related quality of life (HRQL) followed by LNF, whereas the LNF has the highest likelihood of increasing the percentage of time with distal esophageal pH > 4, followed by PPI and then by TIF, which, in addition, has a higher likelihood than LNF for persistent esophagitis.

Also in the randomized controlled trial of Witteman *et al*[3] performed in 60 patients to evaluate the effectiveness of TIF in comparison with the PPI treatment, GERD symptoms after 6 mo are significantly more improved in the TIF group than in the PPI group, despite the similar improvement in distal esophageal acid exposure, whereas the pH normalization for TIF group is 50% with respect to 63% for the PPI group.

A systematic review and network meta-analysis[4] comprising 516 patients from 10 RCTs compared the anti-reflux efficacy of Stretta, TIF, and PPI. Both STRETTA and TIF are significantly superior to PPI in improving GERD-HRQL and heartburn scores, whereas PPI is better in decreasing the percentage of time with pH < 4.0 when compared with TIF.

Also in the study of He *et al*[5], the symptom score improvement was significantly higher in the STRETTA group of 26 patients compared with the PPI group of 21 patients after 6 mo, whereas both interventions improved, without significant differences, the 24 h pH parameters, including the number of acid episodes, acid exposure time, and DeMeester score.

Furthermore, the absence of correlation between the improvement in GER symptoms and the decrease in acid reflux in patients treated with STRETTA is highlighted by the following two studies.

Coron *et al*[6] in an article comparing the results in 20 patients undergoing STRETTA and 16 patients treated with PPI report that GERD-HRQL scores do not differ between groups, whereas no significant

change in esophageal acid exposure is noted between baseline and 6 mo after STRETTA treatment. So they came to the conclusion that the efficacy of the STRETTA treatment does not seem to be related to a decrease in esophageal acid exposure. In addition, the study of Arts et al[7] shows that 3 mo after STRETTA procedure on 11 patients, the symptom score was significantly improved, whereas no changes were observed in esophageal acid exposure.

In view of all these results, we may be led to believe that the greater improvements in GER symptoms observed after TIF and STRETTA applications as compared with LF and PPI treatments, despite the scarce improvement in GER objective parameters, likely depend on another factor, that could be identified with a decreased visceral sensitivity. The hypothesis that symptom improvement in these patients depends on a decreased esophageal acid sensitivity is confirmed, at least for STRETTA, by the study of Arts et al[8]. They found that 6 mo after the STRETTA procedure in 13 patients, the mean time needed to induce heartburn during esophageal acid perfusion of the Bernstein test increased from 9.5 ± 2.3 (mean  $\pm$  SD) to 18.1  $\pm$  4.4 min (P < 0.01) , whereas five had become insensitive to 30 min acid perfusion, vs none at baseline (P = 0.04).

Also in the case of TIF, the dissociation between symptoms and objective parameters, if compared with those of LF and PPI, suggests the post-intervention appearance of a hyposensitive condition, similar to that of STRETTA, although a Bernstein test is necessary to confirm this supposition.

The cause of this local hyposensitivity could lie in an effect of radiofrequency (RF) energy of STRETTA on the myenteric and submucosal nervous plexuses carrying sensory receptors, acetylcholine stimulatory and non-adrenergic, non-cholinergic (NANC) inhibitory neurons, as well as on the two branches of the vagus nerve, which pass in the subadventitial space at the esophagogastric junction level. RF energy inducing a thermal injury promoting submucosal fibrosis and muscularis propria hypertrophy, should also act on nervous tissues impairing the inhibitory NANC neurons with a decrease in transient LES relaxations, while increasing its yield pressures[9]. It is likely that RF energy could also act on sensitive neurons of the esophageal wall. In addition, a slight excess of RF energy or a tissue weakness may also cause damage to the vagus nerve passing below, causing delayed gastric emptying and gastroparesis reported by some authors[10-13]. With regard to the other endoscopic procedures, there are no appropriate comparative studies with LNF and PPI treatments, except for the study of Wong et al[14], who compared Nissen fundoplication with ARMS. But they did not perform objective measurements for reflux and only evaluated GERD-HRQL and reflux symptoms up to 2 years, without observing any difference between the two groups.

In conclusion, the evaluation of the effectiveness of any endoscopic anti-reflux technique should not be based exclusively on subjective criteria, such as a good response to PPI suspension, remission of heartburn, and GERD-HRQL improvement, but should also be established with objective examinations, such as 24 h pH monitoring, and, if possible, manometric measurement of the high pressure zone in the distal esophagus, besides endoscopy for the assessment of esophagitis. The good capacity of TIF and STRETTA to improve the reflux symptoms and the quality of life more than LF and PPI treatments, is a double-edged weapon, because a scarce prevention of acid reflux in the long term may expose the patient to the risk that more or less serious alterations will pass unnoticed. This risk could also be taken with the other more recent endoscopic techniques, which, as previously mentioned, should be compared with LF or PPI treatments and possibly examined by the Bernstein test.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author thanks Henry Monaco BA (Hons) for the assistance for the English language.

#### **FOOTNOTES**

**Author contributions:** Bortolotti M wrote the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No conflict of interest exists.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

ORCID number: Mauro Bortolotti 0000-0002-5273-9013.

S-Editor: Zhang H



L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Zhang H

#### REFERENCES

- Rodríguez de Santiago E, Albéniz E, Estremera-Arevalo F, Teruel Sanchez-Vegazo C, Lorenzo-Zúñiga V. Endoscopic anti-reflux therapy for gastroesophageal reflux disease. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27: 6601-6614 [PMID: 34754155 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i39.6601]
- Richter JE, Kumar A, Lipka S, Miladinovic B, Velanovich V. Efficacy of Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication vs Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication or Proton Pump Inhibitors in Patients With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 2018; 154: 1298-1308.e7 [PMID: 29305934 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.12.021]
- Witteman BP, Conchillo JM, Rinsma NF, Betzel B, Peeters A, Koek GH, Stassen LP, Bouvy ND. Randomized controlled trial of transoral incisionless fundoplication vs. proton pump inhibitors for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2015; 110: 531-542 [PMID: 25823768 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2015.28]
- 4 Xie P, Yan J, Ye L, Wang C, Li Y, Chen Y, Li G. Efficacy of different endoscopic treatments in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Surg Endosc 2021; 35: 1500-1510 [PMID: 33650003 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08386-1]
- 5 He S, Xu F, Xiong X, Wang H, Cao L, Liang N, Jing X, Liu T. Stretta procedure versus proton pump inhibitors for the treatment of nonerosive reflux disease: A 6-month follow-up. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020; 99: e18610 [PMID: 32011441 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000186101
- Coron E, Sebille V, Cadiot G, Zerbib F, Ducrotte P, Ducrot F, Pouderoux P, Arts J, Le Rhun M, Piche T, Bruley des Varannes S, Galmiche JP; Consortium de Recherche Indépendant sur le Traitement et L'exploration du Reflux Gastrooesophagien et de L'endobrachyoesophage (CRITERE). Clinical trial: Radiofrequency energy delivery in proton pump inhibitor-dependent gastro-oesophageal reflux disease patients. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008; 28: 1147-1158 [PMID: 18616516 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03790.x]
- Arts J, Bisschops R, Blondeau K, Farré R, Vos R, Holvoet L, Caenepeel P, Lerut A, Tack J. A double-blind shamcontrolled study of the effect of radiofrequency energy on symptoms and distensibility of the gastro-esophageal junction in GERD. Am J Gastroenterol 2012; 107: 222-230 [PMID: 22108449 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2011.395]
- 8 Arts J, Sifrim D, Rutgeerts P, Lerut A, Janssens J, Tack J. Influence of radiofrequency energy delivery at the gastroesophageal junction (the Stretta procedure) on symptoms, acid exposure, and esophageal sensitivity to acid perfusion in gastroesophagal reflux disease. Dig Dis Sci 2007; 52: 2170-2177 [PMID: 17436101 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-006-9695-y]
- Sowa P, Samarasena JB. Nonablative Radiofrequency Treatment for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (STRETTA). Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am 2020; 30: 253-265 [PMID: 32146945 DOI: 10.1016/j.giec.2019.12.006]
- Richards WO, Scholz S, Khaitan L, Sharp KW, Holzman MD. Initial experience with the stretta procedure for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2001; 11: 267-273 [PMID: 11642661 DOI: 10.1089/109264201317054546]
- Dughera L, Navino M, Cassolino P, De Cento M, Cacciotella L, Cisarò F, Chiaverina M. Long-Term Results of Radiofrequency Energy Delivery for the Treatment of GERD: Results of a Prospective 48-Month Study. Diagn Ther Endosc 2011; 2011: 507157 [PMID: 22110288 DOI: 10.1155/2011/507157]
- 12 Houston H, Khaitan L, Holzman M, Richards WO. First year experience of patients undergoing the Stretta procedure. Surg Endosc 2003; 17: 401-404 [PMID: 12436238 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-002-8923-6]
- Aziz AM, El-Khayat HR, Sadek A, Mattar SG, McNulty G, Kongkam P, Guda MF, Lehman GA. A prospective randomized trial of sham, single-dose Stretta, and double-dose Stretta for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Surg Endosc 2010; 24: 818-825 [PMID: 19730952 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0671-4]
- Wong HJ, Su B, Attaar M, Kuchta K, Stearns S, Linn JG, Haggerty SP, Denham W, Ujiki MB. Anti-reflux mucosectomy (ARMS) results in improved recovery and similar reflux quality of life outcomes compared to laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. Surg Endosc 2021; 35: 7174-7182 [PMID: 33237465 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-020-08144-9]

3746



# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

