



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

Manuscript NO: 75942

Title: Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05080957

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MBBS, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-15 10:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 06:24

Review time: 5 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Greetings I read the manuscript with interest. The authors have chosen a vital topic as a quality improvement program. In my opinion patient-oriented discharge summary for ICU patients are needed and will be helpful for the quality healthcare delivery. The author have developed the summary involving multiple stakeholders and tested it as pilot project. The results are satisfactory. The methodology employed and tested is good and I do not have any major comments to make. However, a few minor aspect can be addressed. 1. Abstract can be shortened slightly, so the background. 2. I would suggest presenting data as absolute number and percentage both in the abstract and result section. 3. A few journal names are not mention as per abbreviated form, it needs to be uniform. Over all the language and presentation is good ad happy to recommend the article for further processing for possible acceptance. Best of luck



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

Manuscript NO: 75942

Title: Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446627

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACP, MD, MPhil

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-26 03:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-01 20:56

Review time: 6 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is clinically important, but out of more than 300 patients only 9 participated including the family members, which makes it a huge limitation. Moreover, one of the comments from the nurse was very practical as this takes an enormous time. I would suggest that author can provide some data on the use of previous models of efficient discharge methods on readmission reduction rate, improved health status with taking medication in proper time and proper technique (especially inhalers) and understanding the side effect. Authors need to make a case of cost-benefit of putting extra time and effort upfront with efficient discharge, rewarded with decrease in readmission and healthcare cost



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

Manuscript NO: 75942

Title: Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02590390

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: Canada

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-22 03:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-04 18:42

Review time: 13 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have made a good attempt on developing an instrument for a relatively neglected healthcare issue. This will prompt future validation studies in this tegrad.