

Dear editors Wang and Reviewers:

Thank you for your valuable comments and advice. These comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our research. We have studied the comments carefully and have made corrections that we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responses to the reviewer's comments are as follows:

Reviewer #1:

1. The discussion does fulfill most of the journal's (aforementioned) criteria and is considered to be appropriate; it should be edited for brevity. It is lengthy & extensive which isn't characteristic of clinical case reports. The authors should revise the discussion section firstly for brevity and consider highlighting key academic points or learning pearls.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's positive evaluation of our work. Thank you for your suggestion. As suggested by the reviewer, we have carefully revised the discussion section according to the characteristics of clinical case reports to make it more concise and highlight the key academic points or learning pearls as much as possible.

2. However, the reference list is also lengthy uncharacteristic of case reports; I advise the authors to review the current reference list and consider decreasing the number of sources in coordination with their revised "discussion" section appropriately. As aforementioned, the discussion section is lengthy; please reconsider revising the section being cautious to highlight key academic pearls. Please see a few edits included in the original word document—highlighted in red & yellow.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. As suggested by the reviewer, we have carefully revised the discussion section and edited the references. We carefully reviewed and modified some edits highlighted in red and yellow in the original Word document.

Reviewer #2:

1. This case can provide useful information for clinicians. In Figure 3, the images of chest X-ray should be shown clearly.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's positive evaluation of our work. Thank you for your suggestion. We are very sorry that the chest X-rays in Figures 1 and 3 were taken by the NICU bedside with a movable X-ray machine. This X-ray machine has been working for several years, so the quality of the picture is a little poor. We have reviewed original images and made modifications, hoping to improve the quality of the image. Additionally, we also provide black-and-white inverted X-ray images for editors to choose.

2. Several grammatical errors were found. The manuscript should be checked by a native speaker.

Response: Thank you for your careful review. We are very sorry for the mistakes in this manuscript and the inconvenience they caused in your reading. If the revised manuscript is accepted, we will send the revised manuscript to a professional English editing company again as required to further polish the manuscript and provide a new language certificate.