

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75965

Title: Retinoblastoma in an older child with secondary glaucoma as the first clinical presenting symptom: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06130469

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-23 15:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-26 08:43

Review time: 2 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, a case of Retinoblastoma resending with secondary glaucoma is described. The tile and the abstract is informative and complete. The introduction part is well written however in Page 3 line 51-55: "Currently, the survival rate of Rb patients in developed countries has reached 95%.....it diagnosis is critical for the prognosis of the patients": reference is missing in this sentence and should be added. The case presentation is well written and clear. Was a genetic exam performed to exclude mutation of RB ? Why a brain MRI was not performed? Discussion is complete and informative. I would suggest creating a table with a recap of RB stage and the treatment for each stage. However, this case is not completely novel and to make it more complete I suggest adding a literature review of previously published similar cases.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75965

Title: Retinoblastoma in an older child with secondary glaucoma as the first clinical presenting symptom: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05937294

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-24 08:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-05 16:14

Review time: 9 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented an interesting case of neglected retinoblastoma. there are only a few minor issues that should be addressed before publication. 1.the abstract is too long for a case report. it has been said that the abstract of case report should be less than 150 world. 2. during the introduction part you claimed that "atypical symptoms may result in the wrong diagnosis and an inappropriate treatment plan". please provide several of these uncommon presentation. 3. during the introduction you didn't say anything regarding the management while you mentioned and discussed about it during the foregoing sections of case presentation and discussion 4. the foregoing article may help you. (<https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9745701>) please use it in your manuscript. 5. I revised the manuscript grammatically using track changes. please find the attached.