PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 76162

Title: Predictors for malignant potential and deep submucosal invasion in colorectal laterally spreading tumors

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03765625

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS (Gen Surg), MBChB

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-10 11:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-23 07:00

Review time: 12 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Endoscopic resection is widely used to treat colorectal neoplasms with a negligible risk of lymph node metastasis. En bloc resection is indicated for early colorectal cancer. Endoscopic methods for achieving en bloc resection includes endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection. Morphologic subtype is the initial consideration when selecting treatment for colorectal laterally spreading tumours. In this study, the authors determined the predictors for carcinoma, invasion depth and endoscopically unresectable lesions for colorectal laterally spreading tumours and to perform risk assessments for each morphologic subtype. Overall, this study is very well designed, and the research was well performed. The methods are described in detail, the results are interesting. The predictors for carcinoma are well analysed. After a minor revision this study can be accepted for publication. Comments: 1. The tables are missing, please check and add the tables. 2. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 3. References list should be edited.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 76162

Title: Predictors for malignant potential and deep submucosal invasion in colorectal laterally spreading tumors

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05433353

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Arab Emirates

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-10 11:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-23 07:01

Review time: 12 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study about the predictors for malignant potential and deep submucosal invasion in colorectal laterally spreading tumours. The study is very well designed, and the results are very interesting. After a minor editing, it can be accepted. Please check the tables.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is very interesting. The authors should make an editing for the manuscript.