

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76240

Title: Do inflammatory bowel disease patient preferences from treatment outcomes differ by ethnicity and gender? A cross-sectional observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05665395

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-08 14:15

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-10 07:33

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is not an interesting manuscript. Authors can not succeed to present their data in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. the manuscript can not be published .

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76240

Title: Do inflammatory bowel disease patient preferences from treatment outcomes differ by ethnicity and gender? A cross-sectional observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06101020

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-18 10:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-18 16:53

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study aims to compare patients' preferences and treatment goals between two different ethnic groups, Arabs and Jews. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and prepared following the STROBE statement for reporting cross-sectional studies. English quality of writing is great. However, there is a need for some clarification on data clustering and overall relevance for IBD consultation. Major revisions - Cluster analysis should be explained in more detail. The authors describe that a "cluster analysis was used to classify patients into homogeneous subgroups according to their preferred IBD items". However, they fail to detail the metrics of this clustering (i.e. how many patients fell into each cluster; how many patients of each cluster were included for each parameter analysis (Figure2), etc.). - Cluster analysis should be more clear. For example, authors state "Cluster 2 was characterized by more women (146 (62.4%) vs. 42 (40.8%)", what does 42 (40.8%) refers to: men in cluster 2, or women in cluster 1? And so on for the other parameters analyzed. - For the multivariable regression analysis, authors state that "64 patients were not included". Why? - Overall, it is not clear what this study will bring to clinical practice in IBD consultation. How will patients benefit from taking these questionnaires? Should IBD physicians use this when consider treatment options for each patient? Can treatment options be decided taking these questionnaires into consideration? Authors should expand this topic in the Discussion section. Minor revisions - Introduction, line 85, "(IBD" should be "(IBD)" - Material and Methods, line 121, "previously-used" should be "previously used" - Material and Methods, Table 2 (Mean priority score given to each IBD Disk item) is presented before Table 1 (Demographic, socioeconomic and disease characteristics of all

IBD patients). Authors should rename Table 2 to Table 1, and Table 1 to Table 2. - Results, line 185, “including240” should be “including 240” - Results, line 217, 220, 221 “vs.[number]” should be spaced “vs. [number]” - Results, line 230, authors should insert the abbreviation of “odds ratio” - All “p” (of p-values) and “vs” should be in italic

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76240

Title: Do inflammatory bowel disease patient preferences from treatment outcomes differ by ethnicity and gender? A cross-sectional observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03700188

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-18 00:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-19 21:56

Review time: 1 Day and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title 'Treatment outcome preferences and ethnicity' reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes well the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study heightening the importance of knowing patient's expectations and needs. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. The research objectives were achieved by the experiments used in this study. The discussion was accurate and the paper's scientific relevance to clinical practice was sufficiently discussed. The manuscript meets the requirements of biostatistics. The manuscript meets the requirements of use of SI units. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well and coherently organized. The language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. I think that this paper is important because: First, this paper showed us that it is important to listen to patients in order to try to achieve the outcomes that is important for them. Second, according to current practices, in which shared decision-making is important, I believe that following the authors' example is important for us to better study the outcomes that are really expected by patients.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76240

Title: Do inflammatory bowel disease patient preferences from treatment outcomes differ by ethnicity and gender? A cross-sectional observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05665395

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ying-Ying Liu (Quit 2022)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-09 07:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-09 08:06

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting manuscript. the manuscript can be published .