

Response to Reviewer and Editorial Office's comments and suggestions

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Incontinentia pigmenti with intracranial arachnoid cyst: A case report" (Manuscript NO. 76348). Those comments were highly insightful and enabled us to greatly improve the quality of our manuscript. Revised portions have been marked with yellow highlights in the manuscript. In the following pages are our point-by-point responses to each of the comments of the editor as well as the reviewer's comments.

Responses to the comments of Reviewer #1

(1) Response to comment: Nice case report, images are good. Need some grammatical corrections

Response: Many thanks to the reviewer for these supportive comments. After carefully reading your comments, we also feel our own shortcomings. We have actively revised the manuscript according to your valuable comments.

(2) Response to comment: Need some grammatical corrections.

Response: Thanks you for the comment for us to improve the manuscript. After proofreading the manuscript repeatedly, we believe your comments on the sentences are very valuable. We have polished the manuscript further by MedE Medical Editing Group Inc according to your suggestion and provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

Responses to Editorial Office's comments and suggestions

(1) Responses to comment: The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Response: Many thanks to the Science editor for the supportive comments.

(2) Responses to comment: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases

Response: Many thanks to the Company editor-in-chief for the supportive comments.

(3) Responses to comment: Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents.

Response: All figures have been added titles as required, and figure legends have been modified as required. Please refer to the uploaded revised article. Revisions in the text are shown using yellow highlights.

(4) Responses to comment: Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Thanks you for the comment for us and original pictures have been uploaded through "76348-Figures.pptx" according to your requirements.

(5) Responses to comment: Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document.

Response: Thanks you for the comment for us and form have been uploaded through “76348-Approved Grant Application Form” according to your requirements.

(6)Responses to comment: Authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA.

Response: Thank you to the editor for recommending such an efficient literature search database. We have completed the registration of RCA according to your tips and used RCA to improve the quality of the references.

Finally, we would like to thank you from the bottom of our hearts for your valuable comments to us. These comments are very beneficial for us to improve the quality of the manuscript. We appreciate for your warm work earnestly and hope that the correction will be approved.

If you have any more questions or have any suggestions for our manuscript, please do not hesitate to let us know, we are always waiting for your reply.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Wenchao Li

Corresponding author:

Tao Sun