

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76351

**Title:** Impact of being underweight on peri-operative and post-operative outcomes of total knee or hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-14

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-02 14:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-02 14:35

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Please change the title to be under 20 words, as this is one of the characteristics of a distinguished title. 2. The abstract is too long and should be shorter, with its results section containing only the most important results. 3. Make sure that the introduction was written using recent references, preferably from 2015 to the present. 4. Ensure that the final paragraph of the introduction is dedicated to clarifying the study's purpose. 5. It is necessary to consider the use of coherent sentences in the material and methods section, rather than points followed by side dots as shown on the paper. 6. In the results section, avoid using references. 7. Ensure that the study's weaknesses, strengths, and future directions are available in the final paragraph of the discussion section. 8. Rewrite the conclusion section to make it more relevant to the study's goal. 9. References should be updated as needed. 10. Some typographical errors have appeared and must be corrected. Kind regards,



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76351

**Title:** Impact of being underweight on peri-operative and post-operative outcomes of total knee or hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05866874

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Physiotherapist, Professor, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-14

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 14:24

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-07 13:12

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: Congratulations on your work, I would like to point out some areas for improvement: - You should indicate the acronyms at the beginning of the text and include all of them, as I have not located some of them, please check. - In the conclusion section you should not put the limitation of the research, but at the end of the discussion section. - From my point of view, you should remove the research question, as it is not necessary in this type of work, it seems more like a final thesis or similar... - The inclusion criteria should indicate a minimum criterion in the quality assessment scale of the articles (e.g. >7) and in the same way in the exclusion criteria (e.g. <7). They should explain why they remove articles in languages other than English, they are not of quality because they are not in English????. - They should also look at whether the articles take into account preoperative pathology and risks in surgery..... Thank you for your effort, best regards.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76351

**Title:** Impact of being underweight on peri-operative and post-operative outcomes of total knee or hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03516088

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor, Reader (Associate Professor)

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-14

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 07:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-08 07:26

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very interesting and well written paper