

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76422

Title: Macular Hole Following Phakic Intraocular Lens Implantation: a Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06271151 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Research Fellow, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ecuador

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-16 11:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-20 03:45

**Review time:** 3 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting case and rare case in this age group. It describes the appropriate treatment for these types of rare complications. The discussion is interesting, clear, and practical.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76422

Title: Macular Hole Following Phakic Intraocular Lens Implantation: a Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06254068 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Somalia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-21 11:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 11:17

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well organized manuscript suitable for publication.