PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Diabetes*

Manuscript NO: 76551

Title: Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the pediatric population of a third-level care hospital in Mexico city, 2013-2018

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06310981

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia

Author's Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-29 09:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-15 11:16

Review time: 16 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting longitudinal pilot study whose results deserve to be published. Good analysis of the results with a discussion that would deserve to give more details on the possible reasons for the increase of type 2 diabetes between the two study periods in relation to the socio-economic level of the subjects explored.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript was an engaging and informative read! The authors have presented the increasing incidence of T2DM in pediatric population really well. Also, the abstracts clearly reflects the work described in the manuscript. However, there are few things which I would like to highlight: 1. The methodology doesn't contain any exclusion criteria - Can you define the eligibility criteria more clearly? 2. Results are well written, you just need to mention the number of participants at each stage of your study. 3. As this research addresses a rising issue, in what way do you think this will help the clinicians in their clinical practice - please mention that. 4. What do you think are the limitations of your study?