

Assignment: SHEQRW-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Structure Review

Overall manuscript

> The paper is written in line with the intended audience.

Comment: na

> The author needs to do some rearrangement, rewriting, expansion or summary of sections. Please refer to the following comments:

Comment: The manuscript contains multiple instances of plagiarism, many of which need to paraphrased.

Title & Abstract

Also, it has been checked carefully to ensure that it clearly conveys the aim of the study.

Comment: na

> The abstract of this study is appropriate and follows a logical structure.

Comment: na

> The title is sufficiently informative and concise.

Comment: na

Introduction

> Statements clarifying the purpose and approach of the study are included in the Introduction.

Comment: na

> The introduction clearly states relevance of the findings.

Comment: na

The limits of the problem and investigation are stated appropriately.

Comment: na

Case Report

> The Case Report section does not contain any points that should have been included in the Discussion section.

Comment: na

All important and relevant positive and negative findings are stated.

Comment: na

➤ All the pertinent subsequent investigation/s have been described adequately.

Comment: na

> The condition of the patient/s after treatment and subsequent progress have been described adequately.

Comment: na

> The diagnosis has been presented clearly.

Comment: na

The history of the presenting condition (and/or other relevant history) has been described adequately and in a chronological order.

Comment: na





Assignment: SHEQRW-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

> The patient description in this case report is adequate.

Comment: na

> The treatment and outcome have been described clearly and adequately.

Comment: na

Discussion

> The content presented in the Discussion section is appropriate and does not repeat information from the Results section.

Comment: na

> There are no generalizations beyond the findings of this investigation.

Comment: na

> The conclusions are clear.

Comment: na

> The discussion is of appropriate length.

Comment: na

> All key results are discussed in light of whether they did or did not support the hypothesis, and the discussion is convincing.

Comment: na

Peripheral Text Review

Figures and/or Tables

> Every figure and/or table can stand alone without reference to the main text.

Comment: na

> The Figures and Tables complement the main text, without any discrepancy.

Comment: na

> The legends are complete and accurate.

Comment: na

> They are cited in the main text at appropriate places.

Comment: na

Bibliography

> All references cited follow a consistent format.

Comment: na

> The literature cited is very pertinent to the investigation.

Comment: na

> Most statements of fact have supporting references.

Comment: na



Assignment: SHEQRW-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Content, Terminology, Style, and Format Review

Content

> There are no gaps in content in this paper.

Comment: na

> Where applicable, the necessary information has been added.

Comment: na

Terminology

> The scientific nomenclature (gene names, protein names) is in order throughout the text.

Comment: na

> The scientific terminology and representation in the paper are appropriate and consistent.

Comment: na

> The terms used in the paper are valid in the field.

Comment: na

Style

> The capitalization/italicization/number style follows conventions of the subject area.

Comment: na