PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76812

Title: Unexpected Difficult Airway Due to Severe Upper Trachea Distortion-a case study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02954140

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-08 04:26

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-11 03:49

Review time: 2 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

| **statements** | Conflicts-of-Interest: [   ] Yes   [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report describing unexpected severe tracheal deformity in patients receiving general anesthesia is interesting. Its information is important for all anesthesiologists. I would recommend it for acceptance after the minor corrections. In Picture 3, shooting location of three images is not clear. Each location should be indicated in Picture 2. In addition, "Picture 1, 2 and 3" is unusual word in scientific report. Use "Figure" instead of "Picture".

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76812

Title: Unexpected Difficult Airway Due to Severe Upper Trachea Distortion-a case study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05870137

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Additional Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-24 11:55

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-28 14:39

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title may be modified to Unexpected Difficult Airway Due to Severe Upper Trachea Distortion-a case study   Justification for 6 authors; pl check guideline for publishing a case study   statements like 'anesthesiologists should clinically pay close attention to unexpected difficult airways, especially in emergency surgery patients' to be avoided.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76812

Title: Unexpected Difficult Airway Due to Severe Upper Trachea Distortion-a case study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05126185

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-22 04:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-29 05:44

Review time: 7 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

| statements | Conflicts-of-Interest: [   ] Yes   [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is interesting, the design was fine, the results were good and the discussion was convincing.