

**Dear editor and Reviewers:** Thank you for your letter and for the reviewer's insightful comments concerning our manuscript entitled "NFκB: A novel therapeutic pathway for gastroesophageal reflux disease? " ((Manuscript NO.: 76813, Opinion Review) ). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have carefully taken the reviewer's comments into account and have made corrections, hoping to meet with approval. We hope this revision can make our paper more acceptable. The corrections were addressed point by point.

**Respond to the comments of Reviewe 1:**

1.I make the suggestion to include in the introduction of the effects of reflux also in the oral cavity and teeth (observe and add: DOI: 10.1080/17474124.2021.1890030)

Response: Thanks for your constructive comments. According to your suggestions, We have added the content of this article to the body of the article.

2.Conduct English language review:

Response: Thanks for your advice. We have sent this article to the language company to polish and get the certificate.

**Respond to the comments of Reviewe 2:**

1.there is no clear explanation outlining the advantages of the author's work in comparison to those previously reported studies.

Response: Thanks for your advice. We have highlighted the strengths of our paper and previous research according to the recommendations.

2. It would be an overstatement to use "novel" in the title. As the author also states, this have been shown to be effective in animal studies, and there is no clear clinical data, so there is no novelty. If possible, I would like to include the possibility that authors can present from their recent research.

Response: Thanks for your advice. Several recent articles have been added and analyzed, and possibilities have been suggested based on these articles