

World Journal of *Translational Medicine*

World J Transl Med 2022 August 6; 10(2): 14-28



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- 14 Cell-free mitochondrial DNA quantification in ischemic stroke patients for non-invasive and real-time monitoring of disease status

Fathima N, Manorenj S, Vishwakarma SK, Khan AA

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Translational Medicine*, Marvin A Soriano-Ursúa, MD, PhD, Full Professor, Research Scientist, Department of Physiology, Escuela Superior de Medicina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Del. Miguel Hidalgo 11340, Mexico. msoriano@ipn.mx

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Translational Medicine (WJTM, World J Transl Med)* is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of translational medicine with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJTM mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of translational medicine and covering a wide range of topics including critical medicine, orthopedic anesthesia, tumors and anesthesia, airway management, pediatric anesthesia, geriatric anesthesia, anesthesia for organ transplantation, regional anesthesia, anesthesia for neurosurgery, cardiothoracic anesthesia, obstetric anesthesia, pain diagnosis and treatment, and pharmacology in anesthesia.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJTM* is now abstracted and indexed in Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Li-Li Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Translational Medicine

ISSN

ISSN 2220-6132 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

June 12, 2012

FREQUENCY

Continuous Publication

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Nelson Shu-Sang Yee, Xiao-Long Liu

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-6132/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

August 6, 2022

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Basic Study

Cell-free mitochondrial DNA quantification in ischemic stroke patients for non-invasive and real-time monitoring of disease status

Nusrath Fathima, Sandhya Manorenj, Sandeep Kumar Vishwakarma, Aleem Ahmed Khan

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): C, C
Grade D (Fair): D
Grade E (Poor): 0**P-Reviewer:** Liang Z, China; Wang Y, China**Received:** April 2, 2022**Peer-review started:** April 2, 2022**First decision:** May 31, 2022**Revised:** June 14, 2022**Accepted:** July 16, 2022**Article in press:** July 16, 2022**Published online:** August 6, 2022**Nusrath Fathima, Sandeep Kumar Vishwakarma, Aleem Ahmed Khan,** Central Laboratory for Stem Cell Research and Translational Medicine, Centre for Liver Research and Diagnostics, Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad 500058, Telangana, India**Sandhya Manorenj,** Department of Neurology, Princess Esra Hospital, Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad 500058, Telangana, India**Corresponding author:** Aleem Ahmed Khan, PhD, Senior Scientist, Central Laboratory for Stem Cell Research and Translational Medicine, Centre for Liver Research and Diagnostics, Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad 500058, Telangana, India.
aleem_a_khan@rediffmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Acute ischemic stroke (AIS) is one of the major causes of the continuous increasing rate of global mortality due to the lack of timely diagnosis, prognosis, and management. This study provides a primitive platform for non-invasive and cost-effective diagnosis and prognosis of patients with AIS using circulating cell-free mitochondrial DNA (cf-mtDNA) quantification and validation.

AIM

To evaluate the role of cf-mtDNA as a non-invasive, and affordable tool for real-time monitoring and prognosticating AIS patients at disease onset and during treatment.

METHODS

This study enrolled 88 participants including 44 patients with AIS and 44 healthy controls with almost similar mean age group at stroke onset, and at 24 h and 72 h of treatment. Peripheral blood samples were collected from each study participant and plasma was separated using centrifugation. The cf-mtDNA concentration was quantified using nanodrop reading and validated through real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) of NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 (ND1) relative transcript expression levels.

RESULTS

Comparative analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration in patients at disease onset showed significantly increased levels compared to control individuals for both nanodrop reading, as well as ND1 relative expression levels ($P < 0.0001$).

Intergroup analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration using nanodrop showed significantly reduced levels in patients at 72 h of treatment compared to onset ($P < 0.01$). However, RT-qPCR analysis showed a significant reduction at 24 h and 72 h of treatment compared to the disease onset ($P < 0.001$). The sensitivity and specificity were relatively higher for RT-qPCR than nanodrop-based cf-mtDNA quantification. Correlation analysis of both cf-mtDNA concentration as well as ND1 relative expression with National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score at baseline showed a positive trend.

CONCLUSION

In summary, quantitative estimation of highly pure cf-mtDNA provides a simple, highly sensitive and specific, non-invasive, and affordable approach for real-time monitoring and prognosticating AIS patients at onset and during treatment.

Key Words: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1; Ischemic stroke; Circulating biomarker; National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score; Stroke assessment; Severity and outcome

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Several blood biomarkers have been studied to determine the severity and outcome of ischemic stroke with limited applications. Hence, we need to establish molecular markers which can provide more comprehensive information on the stroke pathophysiology and treatment response. Dynamic quantification of plasma cell-free DNA appears to be a valid and reliable option. Hence, we compared the real-time expression of cell-free mitochondrial DNA (NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 gene) in ischemic stroke patients with healthy controls and studies its prognostic value during the treatment. This study could aid in the development of clinical values for assessing real-time, non-invasive mode of ischemic stroke status in the future.

Citation: Fathima N, Manorenj S, Vishwakarma SK, Khan AA. Cell-free mitochondrial DNA quantification in ischemic stroke patients for non-invasive and real-time monitoring of disease status. *World J Transl Med* 2022; 10(2): 14-28

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-6132/full/v10/i2/14.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5528/wjtm.v10.i2.14>

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide[1]. Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study (GBD) 2017 has reported stroke as the third major cause of death and disability[2]. According to the World Health Organization, the effective prevention approaches to stroke involve decreasing the risk of hypertension, lipid levels, fasting plasma glucose levels, smoking, reduced physical activity, unhealthy diet, and high body-mass index, which is similar to the observations from GBD 2016 and GBD 2017[3,4]. The findings of GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators indicate that the majority of the global stroke burden (86% of deaths and 89% of disability-adjusted life-years [DALYs]) persists in lower-income and lower-middle-income countries[5]. Globally, over the past three decades, the number of stroke related DALYs has increased substantially (by 33.5 million, from 91.5 million in 1990 to 125 million in 2019).

Emergency stroke treatment depends on the type of stroke, either ischemic or hemorrhagic. The hemorrhagic stroke treatment depends on controlling the amount of bleeding and reducing pressure in the brain caused by the excess fluid. While intravenous administration of tissue plasminogen activator (TPA) remains the gold standard treatment for ischemic stroke[6]. Although progress in treating acute ischemic stroke (AIS) has been slow over the last decade, the goals for treating this frequent condition have grown. The therapeutic window should be increased from 3 to 6 h to improve the therapeutic efficacy because a small proportion of patients arrive for treatment after three hours. Hence, the beneficial clinical outcome of stroke patients relies on examining the proportion of patients receiving a timely and effective diagnosis.

Recently several studies have demonstrated that blood circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) analysis can efficiently distinguish ischemic stroke patients from other types of strokes or healthy populations[7, 8]. CfDNA is extremely fragmented and circulates freely in the blood. As a result, cfDNA can be quantified easily in the blood through standard blood DNA extraction methods[9]. Blood has been one

of the most widely used biofluids for both clinical and research purposes, mainly due to its abundance and easy access; however, both plasma and serum are considered reliable sources due to their stability after long-term storage at ultra-low temperatures (-20 °C or -80 °C). Under normal physiological conditions, small DNA fragments can cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and reach into plasma or serum[10]. However, pathological conditions may cause disruption of BBB which increases its permeability and allows the open flow of several molecules, cells, and DNAs between the central nervous system (CNS) and the peripheral circulation[10]. Therefore quantification of cfDNAs in circulation can reflect pathological processes that occur in the CNS[9,11,12]. Although several studies have reported the significance of cfDNA quantification in distinguishing stroke patients[13], none of the studies have evaluated its role before and after treatment with TPA or any other mode of acceptable treatment. Further, blood cfDNA has not traditionally been considered an ideal test for a condition like a stroke[14].

The above-mentioned limitations are accompanied by total DNA estimation in circulation which includes both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA. Accumulating evidences have shown that cell free-mitochondrial DNA (cf-mtDNA) is released from damaged mitochondria into circulation which acts as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) with inflammation in several pathological conditions [15,16]. Moreover, alterations in mitochondrial dynamics affect energy metabolism and post-stroke neuronal function by regulating the number, morphology, and function of mitochondria. The increased interest in mapping several crucial pathways linking stress, mitochondria, and pathophysiology is fueled by recent discoveries implicating mitochondrial signaling in cellular and physiological stress management and mental health[17].

Although cf-mtDNA haplogroups have been demonstrated for their association with stroke onset, the impact of other differential roles of cf-mtDNA on stroke outcomes remains unclear. Hence, individual quantification of cf-mtDNA in circulation may provide a more clear and sensitive tool to overcome the current dilemma of cfDNA in stroke patients[18,19]. However, an ideal clinical evaluation of cfDNA using a simple procedure with good predictive values is required which could be utilized in both prehospital and emergency departments. More importantly, for early and accurate diagnosis of stroke patients specifically with ischemic stroke using cf-mtDNA is critical for early access to interventional therapy and to increase the likelihood of a favorable outcome.

Hence, in this study, quantitative estimation of circulating cf-mtDNA has been performed and validated through quantifying mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation gene, NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 (ND1) expression analysis in plasma samples of patients with ischemic stroke. Furthermore, the patients with onset of ischemic stroke have been differentiated from the control population using cf-mtDNA quantity and relative expression levels of ND1 before and after 24 h and 72 h of treatment with either TPA or antiplatelet medications. The diagnostic and prognostic significance of both cf-mtDNA concentration and relative expression levels of ND1 has been evaluated and correlated among the different categories of stroke patients. The results of this study may provide a crucial early and non-invasive tool for diagnosis and prognosis of ischemic stroke patients in real-time for tracking clinical response and improved clinical management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants

All study procedures were carried out with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad. Informed consent forms were collected from each study participant. Our study group consisted of patients with AIS who were admitted to the stroke unit of our centre within the window period of 4.5 h after the onset of the stroke-related symptoms. A total of 88 individuals including 44 patients with AIS (27 men and 17 women) and 44 healthy controls (29 men and 15 women) with a mean age of 57.46 ± 13.16 years for AIS and 55.46 ± 11.13 years for healthy control were enrolled. All patients underwent a complete analysis of their neurological assessment. Stroke severity was assessed at the time of admission (referred to as baseline) using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS)[20]. We included only those patients who showed positive treatment responses to either TPA or to antiplatelet therapy. All other patients who were non-responsive to these treatments were excluded. Further, patients with encephalitis, multiple trauma, sepsis, meningitis, hypertensive encephalopathy, migraine, intracranial tumor, post-cardiac arrest, organ failure, endocrine disorders, psychiatric syndromes, shock with hypoperfusion, or drug overdose were also excluded. We also excluded those patients in which the time from the symptom onset to blood collection was more than 12 h.

Sample collection and extraction of circulating cf-mtDNA

A total of 2 mL of venous blood samples were collected in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-coated vacutainers from control individuals, and patients with AIS within 2 h window period (*i.e.*, within 4.5 h, referred to as a disease at onset), and after treatment at 24 h and 72 h. To ensure cell-free plasma collection, EDTA vacutainers were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. The

circulating cf-mtDNA was isolated from 300 μ L of plasma sample using an in-house protocol established in our laboratory. Briefly, 300 μ L of plasma was mixed with 1mL of mitochondrial isolation buffer (pH 7.8) and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and again centrifuged at 10000 \times g for 10-15min to collect the pellet containing mitochondria. The cf-mtDNA was extracted using an in-house rapid DNA extraction procedure and precipitated using cold 100% ethanol. Finally, the precipitated DNA pellet was air-dried and dissolved in 1X Tris-EDTA solution. The extracted cf-mtDNA was stored at -20 °C and used for quantification using nanodrop reading, and for mitochondrial DNA specific PCR of ND1 gene transcript.

Quantitative analysis of cf-mtDNA

Nanodrop reading: The extracted cf-mtDNA was quantified using a nanodrop reader at 260/280nm absorbance. A 260/280 ratio of approximately 1.8 and less than 2.0 was considered for pure DNA content without protein contamination and used for further analysis. The DNA concentration was recorded for each sample and reported in ng/ μ L.

RT-qPCR analysis: Cf-mtDNA concentration was further validated using SYBR Green-based real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Plasma cf-mtDNA was quantified for the ND1 gene in a CFX-96 Real-time system (1000[™] Thermal cycler, BIORAD) using gene-specific primers (forward: 5'-CTACTACAACCCTTCGCTGAC-3' and reverse: 5'-GGATTGAGTAAACGGCTAGGC-3'). Mitochondrial 12S gene-specific primers were used as endogenous control. The RT-qPCR conditions included a single step of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 54 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s followed by a melt-curve. All the reactions were performed in triplicates in two different cohort studies with 100% PCR efficiency.

Correlation analysis: The correlation was established between cf-mtDNA concentration as well as ND1 relative fold expression in controls and patients at disease onset, and during the treatment at 24 h, and 72 h by computing Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and identifying *P* values. Further, correlation of cf-mtDNA concentration as well as ND1 relative fold expression was performed with NIHSS score at the baseline in patients with AIS during the disease onset to predict its clinical significance.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed and data was recorded using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0, and 8.4.2). The data were presented as mean \pm SD. One-Way Analysis of Variance was used to compare the multiple groups, and a student t-test was used to compare two groups with a significance level *P* < 0.05 at a 95% confidence interval (CI). Relative operative curve (ROC) analysis was performed to predict the diagnostic value of cf-mtDNA concentration and ND1 expression in relative fold change of ND1 gene which was calculated using 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method²¹. Correlation analysis was performed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) among different groups. The *P* value was also calculated at 95%CI to demonstrate the statistical significance of positive and negative correlation between different groups.

RESULTS

Discriminative analysis and diagnostic value of cf-mtDNA concentration

Comparative analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration in patients at disease onset showed significantly increased levels compared to control individuals (mean difference (MD): -14.16 \pm 1.691; CI: -17.55 to -10.76; *P* < 0.0001; **Figure 1A**). Further, comparison of cf-mtDNA concentration at 24 h (MD: 8.292 \pm 2.146; CI: -12.65 to -3.933; *P* < 0.0001; **Figure 1B**) and 72 h (MD: 3.035 \pm 0.6559; CI: -4.378 to -1.692; *P* < 0.0001; **Figure 1C**) with control individuals also showed significant increased values. ROC analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration in patients at disease onset showed significantly higher predictive value (AUC: 0.9808; *P* < 0.0001; **Figure 1D**) representing 69.71% sensitivity and 76.34% specificity. Likewise, the ROC at 24 h of treatment also showed significantly higher diagnostic value of cf-mtDNA concentration with 64.68% sensitivity and 55.91% specificity (AUC: 0.7290; *P* = 0.029; **Figure 1E**); however, it represented 25.18% poor diagnostic value to discriminate patients according to their response to treatment at 24 h. In contrast, at 72 h of treatment cf-mtDNA didn't show positive predicative value for the diagnostic significance and represented only 71.42% sensitivity and 50.18% specificity (AUC: 0.7500; *P* = 0.1128; **Figure 1F**).

Intergroup analysis and diagnostic significance of cf-mtDNA concentration

Intergroup analysis showed significantly reduced levels of cf-mtDNA concentration in patients at 72 h of treatment compared to the disease onset (MD: 11.12; CI: 0.7827 to 21.46; *P* < 0.01; **Figure 2A**). However, patients after 24 h of treatment didn't show significant difference in patients at onset (MD: 5.864; CI: -1.059 to 12.79; *P* > 0.05). Similarly, no significant difference was observed between 24 h and 72 h of treatment (MD: 5.257; CI: -5.982 to 16.50; *P* > 0.05). The ROC analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration at




Figure 1 Difference in cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentrations and their diagnostic value in patients after treatment. A-C: Significantly increased levels of cell-free mitochondrial DNA (cf-mtDNA) were observed in patients at disease onset 24 h of treatment and 72 h of treatment compared to control individuals; D-F: Relative operative curve plots showing the diagnostic value of cf-mtDNA concentrations for discriminating the patients at disease onset 24 h of treatment, and 72 h of treatment. *P* < 0.0001. AUC: Area under curve; cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

24 h of treatment showed significantly higher predictive value (AUC: 0.7115; *P* < 0.044; **Figure 2B**) with 65.84% sensitivity and 55.12% specificity. Likewise, the ROC analysis at 72 h showed significantly higher diagnostic value of cf-mtDNA concentration with 84.25% sensitivity and 54.27% specificity (AUC: 0.8750; *P* = 0.0173; **Figure 2C**). However, no significant diagnostic value was observed for discriminating patients on treatment at 24 h and 72 h which is evident by only 57.14% sensitivity and 52.59% specificity (AUC: 0.5909; *P* = 0.6015; **Figure 2D**).




Figure 2 Intergroup differences in cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentrations and their diagnostic value in patients at disease onset and treatment. **A:** Significantly decreased cell-free mitochondrial DNA (cf-mtDNA) concentration was observed after 72 h of treatment compared to patients at disease onset ^a $P < 0.01$; **B-D:** Receiver operating characteristic plots showing the diagnostic significance of cf-mtDNA concentrations for discriminating patients at disease onset with 24 h of treatment, and 72 h of treatment. ^b $P < 0.001$; ^c $P < 0.0001$. AUC: Area under curve; cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

Discriminative analysis and diagnostic significance of relative ND1 expression levels

The concentrations of cf-mtDNA in plasma samples was further validated using SYBR Green-based RT-qPCR analysis of Ct values of mitochondrial DNA sequence ND1 relative to 12S endogenous control. This analysis showed significant difference between Ct values of ND1 between control individuals and patients at disease onset (MD: -7.457 ± 2.030; CI: -11.56 to -3.359; $P < 0.0001$; **Figure 3A**), at 24 h of treatment (MD: -0.5316 ± 0.1454; CI: -0.8281 to -0.2350; $P < 0.0001$; **Figure 3B**), and at 72 h of treatment (MD: -0.6572 ± 0.1983; CI: -1.067 to -0.2479; $P < 0.001$; **Figure 3C**). ROC analysis for relative ND1 expression levels at disease onset showed significantly higher diagnostic value with 68.70% sensitivity and 72.44% specificity (AUC: 0.9021; $P < 0.0001$; **Figure 3D**). Likewise, ROC analysis at 24 h of treatment showed significantly higher diagnostic value of ND1 expression (AUC: 0.8115, $P = 0.002$) with 69.47% sensitivity and 62.65% specificity; however, it was 9% lower compared to disease onset patients. Almost similar diagnostic value was observed at 72 h of treatment (AUC: 0.9083; $P = 0.002$) with 82.66% and 59.80% specificity for ND1 relative expression.

Intergroup analysis and diagnostic significance of relative ND1 expression levels

Intergroup analysis of relative expression levels of ND1 showed significantly reduced levels at 24 h (MD: 6.926; CI: - 1.874 to 11.98; $P < 0.001$), and at 72 h of treatment (MD: 6.800; CI: 1.614 to 11.99; $P < 0.001$) compared to patients at disease onset (**Figure 4A**). ROC analysis showed significantly higher




Figure 3 Intergroup differences in cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentrations. A-C: Changes in relative expression levels of NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 (ND1) compared to 12S expression between control individuals and patients at disease onset, 24 h of treatment, and 72 h of treatment; D-F: Receiver operating characteristic plot showing the diagnostic significance of ND1 relative expression levels in patients at disease onset, 24 h of treatment, and 72 h of treatment. ^a*P* < 0.001; ^c*P* < 0.0001. AUC: Area under curve; cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

diagnostic value of ND1 relative expression to discriminate patients at disease onset and at 24 h of treatment with 64.31% sensitivity and 57.75% specificity (AUC: 0.7147; *P* = 0.033; **Figure 4B**), and at 72 h of treatment (AUC: 0.8974; *P* = 0.0007; **Figure 4C**). While, no diagnostic significance of ND1 relative expression values was observed for discriminating patients at 24 h and at 72 h of treatment (AUC: 0.5139; *P* = 0.89; **Figure 4D**) and represented only 51.14% sensitivity and 50.28% specificity.

Correlation analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration and relative ND1 expression

Correlation analysis of circulating cf-mtDNA concentrations showed positive relationship between control and onset (*r* = 0.17; *P* = 0.404), control and 24 h of treatment (*r* = 0.06; *P* = 0.863; **Figure 5A** and **B**). However, negative correlation was observed between control and at 72 h of treatment (*r* = -0.36; *P* = 0.642), onset and at 24 h of treatment (*r* = -0.40; *P* = 0.228), and onset and at 72 h of treatment (*r* = -0.09; *P* = 0.908), and at 24 h and 72 h of treatment (*r* = -0.82; *P* = 0.181).




Figure 4 Multiple comparison test showing intergroup differences in NADH dehydrogenase 1 relative expression levels and its diagnostic significance. **A:** Significantly decreased NADH dehydrogenase 1 (ND1) relative expression levels were observed after 24 h and 72 h of treatment compared to patients at disease onset ($^bP < 0.001$) and were comparable to controls; **B-D:** Receiver operating characteristic plots show the association of ND1 expression levels between patients at disease onset, 24 h of treatment, and 72 h of treatment. $^bP < 0.001$; $^cP < 0.0001$. AUC: Area under curve; ND1: NADH dehydrogenase 1; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

Furthermore, correlation matrix analysis of relative expression levels of ND1 showed significantly negative correlation between control and stroke onset ($r = -0.61$; $P = 0.004$), control and at 24 h of treatment ($r = -0.62$; $P = 0.022$; **Figure 5C and D**). Although onset and at 72 h of treatment showed negative correlation; however, no statistical significance was achieved ($r = -0.27$; $P = 0.395$). While, correlations between other groups showed positive association including onset *vs* 24 h of treatment ($r = 0.05$; $P = 0.867$), and 24 h *vs* 72 h of treatment ($r = 0.30$; $P = 0.401$).

Multiple linear regression

Concentration of cf-mtDNA: The disparity of cf-mtDNA values didn't show significant deviation from its linear proportion and demonstrated continuous increasing trend for control *vs* patients with stroke onset (CI: 2.259 to 2.45; $P = 0.128$; **Figure 6A**), and control *vs* patients at 72 h of treatment (CI: 1.906 to 3.075; $P = 0.83$; **Figure 6C**). However, cf-mtDNA values for control *vs* patients at 24 h of treatment showed significant association in linear proportion with continuous increasing trend (CI: 2.255 to 2.543; $P = 0.050$; **Figure 6B**). Similarly, an increasing trend and non-significant deviation of cf-mtDNA concentration values were observed for stroke onset *vs* patients at 24 h of treatment (CI: 7.572 to 24.19; $P = 0.356$; **Figure 6D**), onset *vs* at 72 h of treatment (CI: 40.30 to 72.99; $P = 0.279$; **Figure 6E**), and at 24 h *vs* 72 h of treatment (CI: 17.01 to 78.58; $P = 0.74$; **Figure 6F**).




Figure 5 Correlation analysis showing differences in cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentration and relative expression levels of NADH dehydrogenase 1 among different groups. A: Heat map showing correlation coefficient r values represented within the heat map; B: P values showing differences in the association of cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentration among different groups; C: Heat map showing correlation coefficient r values represented within the heat map of ND1 relative expression; D: P values showing differences in the association of relative ND1 expression levels among different groups. Cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; ND1: NADH dehydrogenase 1.

Relative ND1 expression levels: Although significant deviation of ND1 relative expression levels was observed between control and stroke onset patients (CI: 0.4319 to 0.8875; $P = 0.039$; **Figure 7A**), and control and at 24 h of treatment patients (CI: 0.8292 to 2.550; $P = 0.031$; **Figure 7B**). The control and at 72 h of treated patients didn't show significant deviation from its linear proportion and demonstrated a continuously increasing trend (CI: -9.746 to 9.316; $P = 0.7664$; **Figure 7C**). However, a significant linear association with continuous increasing trend was reported between onset patients *vs* at 24 h of treatment (CI: 0.2670 to 1.525; $P = 0.005$; **Figure 7D**), onset patients *vs* at 72 h of treatment (CI: 35.12 to 87.97; $P = 0.0001$; **Figure 7E**), and at 24 h *vs* at 72 h of treatment (CI: 7.757 to 4.86; $P = 0.021$; **Figure 7F**).

Correlation of NIHSS score at baseline with cf-mtDNA concentration and relative ND1 expression

The correlation analysis of baseline NIHSS score with cf-mtDNA concentration in all the enrolled study patients showed positive relationship ($r = 0.6353$; $P = 0.0001$; **Figure 8A and B**), which was evident with the increasing or decreasing trend of cf-mtDNA concentration values in relation to the higher or lower NIHSS score, respectively. Similar observations were reported for ND1 relative expression values when correlated with the baseline NIHSS score of individual patients ($r = 0.7277$; $P = 0.0001$; **Figure 8C and D**).

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the ability of plasma cf-mtDNA levels to determine its role in diagnosing patients with AIS. For quantitative estimation of cf-mtDNA, we opted two separate widely used, and highly specific and sensitive tools: (1) Nanodrop reading for cf-mtDNA concentration; and (2) RT-qPCR analysis for relative fold change in ND1 expression. These analyses were conducted in patients with onset of ischemic stroke and at 24 h and at 72 h of treatment with TPA or antiplatelet therapy. The findings of our study revealed significantly higher values of cf-mtDNA concentration as well as differences in relative fold expression of ND1 gene in AIS patients at the disease onset compared to healthy control participants. Both the assays had > 64% sensitivity and > 55% specificity. Although both the sensitivity and specificity were higher for ND1 expression, ROC analysis showed the higher diagnostic significance of cf-mtDNA concentration estimated through nanodrop reading (AUC: 0.9808) than RT-qPCR (AUC: 0.9021). While both the tools provide optimum outcomes, quantifying cf-mtDNA through nanodrop reading can provide a more easy-to-use, cost-effective, and sensitive tool for diagnostic implications in patients with AIS. However, such outcome measures can be seen only when we selectively extract and quantify cf-mtDNA rather than total cfDNA (that includes both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA).




Figure 6 Multiple linear regression analysis. A-F: Multiple linear regression analysis of cf-mtDNA concentration between different groups showing their deviation and relationship with linear response. Cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA.

Although some of the earlier studies have reported a significant association of total cfDNA quantity in patients with stroke, they failed to demonstrate the individual role of cf-mtDNA and cf-nuclear DNA in discriminating AIS patients from the general population [7,12,13]. Some of the recent studies have also demonstrated significant involvement of cf-mtDNA in distinguishing stroke from healthy population [19]. Recent studies have also demonstrated that next-generation sequencing (NGS) can provide a more comprehensive tool for the quantification of mtDNA copy numbers [21-23]. However, the output from the NGS dataset depends on the ratio of sequencing reads of nuclear and mtDNA, but it allows analysis of thousands of available data sets which are shared by the research consortia. Although this technique enables high-sensitivity, high-throughput, and accurate assessment of mtDNA levels, a series of normalizations are required to correct for purity, counts, and batch biases [24,25]. Moreover, NGS poses a huge economic burden to the patients or their parents, hence tools presented in our study can be more widely applicable for exploring a non-invasive, simple, and cost-effective assessment with a reduced burden in developing countries.

In addition, we have also explored the usability of a quantitative assessment of cf-mtDNA before and during the treatment of AIS patients. Intergroup analysis of patients at onset and at treatment showed significantly reduced levels of both cf-mtDNA measured by nanodrop reading (84.25% sensitivity and 54.27% specificity), and ND1 relative expression (64.31% sensitivity and 57.75% specificity) assays at 72 h of treatment which was almost similar to the control individuals. These findings represent a highly significant diagnostic value for quantifying cf-mtDNA concentration as well as ND1 expression in prognostication of stroke patients. In accordance with our study results, a recent international, multicenter case-control study conducted on 3,498 cases of acute, first stroke from 25 countries showed buffy coat mtDNA copy number as a robust marker of post-stroke, and determinant of related outcomes [26]. Several other studies have also demonstrated the role of cf-mtDNA in various diseases and different types of cancers [27-29].

During the technical comparison, we observed that ND1 expression also provides a significant difference at 24 h, and at 72 h of treatment ($P = 0.0007$); however, nanodrop quantification of cf-mtDNA didn't reveal any such difference ($P = 0.6015$). Furthermore, cf-mtDNA concentration by nanodrop quantification failed to demonstrate a significant difference between onset and at 24 h of treatment patients ($P > 0.05$). However, RT-qPCR-based quantification of cf-mtDNA through ND1 expression showed a significant difference between values at stroke onset and at 24 h of treatment ($P < 0.001$) which also predicted significant diagnostic value between the groups during ROC curve analysis ($P = 0.0330$). This result revealed that RT-qPCR can provide more specific and sensitive information during prognostication of patients with AIS. Furthermore, correlation as well as multiple linear regression analysis in




Figure 7 Multiple linear regression analysis. A-F: Multiple linear regression analysis of ND1 relative expression levels between different groups showing their deviation and relationship with linear response. ND1: NADH dehydrogenase 1.

our study showed relatively higher positive and negative predictive values, and diagnostic and prognostic significance of RT-qPCR-based ND1 expression compared to nanodrop-based cf-mtDNA concentration. Similarly, a study by Hernández-Jiménez *et al*[30] showed that mtDNA estimation can predict its applicability in differentiating severe AIS stroke patients with or without infections.

Comparatively lower sensitivity and specificity of cf-mtDNA quantification using nanodrop reading in our study can be explained based upon their source of release and mechanism of cell death. Cell death can be triggered either in form of apoptosis or necrosis which in turn releases cf-mtDNA in circulation. However, changes in cerebral blood flow, ischemia to the brain parenchyma, inflammation, and neuronal cell damage all contribute to apoptosis and neurological impairment in stroke patients and ultimately affect the amount of mtDNA release[8]. Hence, there is a chance of variability from patient to patient in cf-mtDNA concentration using nanodrop-based quantification. In contrast to this, ND1 is a high copy number gene in the mitochondrial genome which is the initial component of the oxidative phosphorylation system. Hence, quantifying ND1 relative expression levels or copy numbers may provide more specific information to predict cellular response in real-time[31]. Several studies support our findings for increased sensitivity and specificity of RT-qPCR-based analysis of cf-mtDNA [32-34].

Besides these findings, the type of cells involved in the release of the source mtDNA must be determined focusing on the molecular mechanisms responsible for the AIS that may provide crucial insights into understanding the pathophysiology and response to the treatment. Furthermore, specifically quantifying cf-mtDNA with associated co-morbidities and patient outcomes in long-term follow-up may produce a more specific response. In our study, 80% of cases had anterior circulation, while the number of cases in the remaining 20% was very less, so we couldn't separate the data based on anterior and posterior circulation. We also couldn't correlate our findings with the primary outcome measure after stroke using a modified Rankin scale score which can categorize independent stroke survivors from dependents. Therefore, further studies with a larger sample size and prolonged follow-up in correlation with more appropriate clinical outcome measures are required to validate cf-mtDNA quantification as a precise and reproducible tool to measure AIS severity and outcome in real-time.

CONCLUSION

Quantification of cf-mtDNA in circulation using nanodrop reading or RT-qPCR-based assays may




Figure 8 Correlation analysis. A-D: Correlation analysis showing a positive association between baseline NIHSS score and cell-free mitochondrial DNA concentration, and ND1 relative expression. Cf-mtDNA: Cell-free mitochondrial DNA; ND1: NADH dehydrogenase 1; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

provide a simple, highly sensitive and specific, non-invasive, and affordable approach for real-time monitoring and prognostication of AIS patients at onset and during treatment. Hence, this approach may provide a widely acceptable and applicable platform at a relatively lower cost and less time for different clinical conditions other than AIS with further exploration.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Role of circulating cell-free mitochondrial DNA (cf-mtDNA) in assessing disease status and treatment response of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients. Quantitative discrimination of AIS patients from the general population using cf-mtDNA. Compared sensitivity and specificity of nanodrop reading and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) tools for quantifying cf-mtDNA.

Research motivation

AIS results in a continuously increasing rate of morbidity and mortality, and reduced quality of life worldwide. Cellular apoptosis and necrosis are major events during AIS. The amount of DNA present in circulation is directly proportional to the host cell's death and response.

Research objectives

To validate the quantitative role of cf-mtDNA in discriminating AIS patients from the general population and identifying the treatment response while comparing the sensitivity and specificity of nanodrop reading and RT-qPCR tools.

Research methods

Nanodrop reading and RT-qPCR were used to quantify cf-mtDNA in circulation. The sensitivity and specificity of both the assays were measured using relative operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Correlation analysis of cf-mtDNA was performed with NIHSS score.

Research results

The findings of our study revealed significantly higher values of cf-mtDNA concentration as well as differences in relative fold expression of ND1 gene in AIS patients at the disease onset compared to healthy control participants. ROC analysis showed the higher diagnostic significance of cf-mtDNA concentration estimated through nanodrop reading than RT-qPCR. Intergroup analysis of patients at onset and at treatment showed significantly reduced levels of both cf-mtDNA measured by nanodrop reading, and ND1 relative expression assays at 72 h of treatment. During the technical comparison, we observed that ND1 expression also provides a significant difference at 24 h, and at 72 h of treatment; however, nanodrop quantification of cf-mtDNA didn't reveal any such difference.

Research conclusions

Quantification of cf-mtDNA in circulation using nanodrop reading or RT-qPCR-based assays may provide a simple, highly sensitive and specific, non-invasive, and affordable approach for real-time monitoring and prognostication of AIS patients at stroke onset and during treatment.

Research perspectives

This approach may provide a widely acceptable and applicable platform at a relatively lower cost and time for different clinical conditions other than AIS with further exploration.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Fathima N performed lab experiments, collected the data, performed the statistical analysis, and wrote and formatted the manuscript; Manorenj S provided samples and clinical inputs for the study; Khan AA and Vishwakarma SK provided inputs, designed the study, helped in data analysis and presentation, and wrote and edited the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: All study procedures were carried out with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Institutional animal care and use committee statement: All animal experiments conformed to the internationally accepted principles for the care and use of laboratory animals.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

ARRIVE guidelines statement: All authors have read the ARRIVE guidelines, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the ARRIVE guidelines.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: India

ORCID number: Nusrath Fathima 0000-0001-9802-0146; Sandhya Manorenj 0000-0003-4699-3645; Sandeep Kumar Vishwakarma 0000-0001-5731-8210; Aleem Ahmed Khan 0000-0001-7075-9037.

S-Editor: Wang LL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Cai YX

REFERENCES

- 1 **GBD 2017 DALYs and HALE Collaborators.** Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1859-1922 [PMID: 30415748 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32335-3]
- 2 **Krishnamurthi RV, Ikeda T, Feigin VL.** Global, Regional and Country-Specific Burden of Ischaemic Stroke, Intracerebral Haemorrhage and Subarachnoid Haemorrhage: A Systematic Analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Neuroepidemiology* 2020; **54**: 171-179 [PMID: 32079017 DOI: 10.1159/000506396]

- 3 **Johnson W**, Onuma O, Owolabi M, Sachdev S. Stroke: a global response is needed. *Bull World Health Organ* 2016; **94**: 634-634A [PMID: 27708464 DOI: 10.2471/BLT.16.181636]
- 4 **GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators**. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1923-1994 [PMID: 30496105 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32225-6]
- 5 **GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators**. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Neurol* 2021; **20**: 795-820 [PMID: 34487721 DOI: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00252-0]
- 6 **Pandian JD**, Sudhan P. Stroke epidemiology and stroke care services in India. *J Stroke* 2013; **15**: 128-134 [PMID: 24396806 DOI: 10.5853/jos.2013.15.3.128]
- 7 **Bouvet JP**, Delrieu F. Polyarteritis nodosa associated with biclonal gammopathy of two-cell line origin and amyloidosis. *J Rheumatol* 1985; **12**: 168-170 [PMID: 2858589 DOI: 10.1080/02699052.2017.1312018]
- 8 **Tieu PT**, Lee MH, Dhawan T, Nguyen HH, Afraz S, Chung J, et al Cell-free DNA as a potential biomarker in stroke: a comprehensive review of observational studies. *J Transl Genet Genom* 2020; **4**:133-143 [DOI: 10.20517/jtgg.2020.18]
- 9 **Ranucci R**. Cell-Free DNA: Applications in Different Diseases. *Methods Mol Biol* 2019; **1909**: 3-12 [PMID: 30580419 DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-8973-7_1]
- 10 **Rainer TH**, Wong KS, Lam W, Lam NY, Graham CA, Lo YM. Comparison of plasma beta-globin DNA and S-100 protein concentrations in acute stroke. *Clin Chim Acta* 2007; **376**: 190-196 [PMID: 17027951 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2006.08.025]
- 11 **Vajpeyee A**, Wijatmiko T, Vajpeyee M, Taywade O. Cell free DNA: A Novel Predictor of Neurological Outcome after Intravenous Thrombolysis and/or Mechanical Thrombectomy in Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients. *Neurointervention* 2018; **13**: 13-19 [PMID: 29535894 DOI: 10.5469/neuroint.2018.13.1.13]
- 12 **Bustamante A**, Mancha F, Macher HC, García-Berrocso T, Giralt D, Ribó M, Guerrero JM, Montaner J. Circulating cell-free DNA is a predictor of short-term neurological outcome in stroke patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis. *J Circ Biomark* 2016; **5**: 1849454416668791 [PMID: 28936264 DOI: 10.1177/1849454416668791]
- 13 **Vajpeyee A**, Wijatmiko T, Vajpeyee M, Taywade O, Pandey S, Chauhan PS. Clinical Usefulness of Cell-Free DNA as a Prognostic Marker in Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Neurologist* 2020; **25**: 11-13 [PMID: 31876653 DOI: 10.1097/NRL.0000000000000249]
- 14 **Swarup V**, Rajeswari MR. Circulating (cell-free) nucleic acids--a promising, non-invasive tool for early detection of several human diseases. *FEBS Lett* 2007; **581**: 795-799 [PMID: 17289032 DOI: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.01.051]
- 15 **Zhang Q**, Raoof M, Chen Y, Sumi Y, Sursal T, Junger W, Brohi K, Itagaki K, Hauser CJ. Circulating mitochondrial DAMPs cause inflammatory responses to injury. *Nature* 2010; **464**: 104-107 [PMID: 20203610 DOI: 10.1038/nature08780]
- 16 **Zhang JZ**, Liu Z, Liu J, Ren JX, Sun TS. Mitochondrial DNA induces inflammation and increases TLR9/NF- κ B expression in lung tissue. *Int J Mol Med* 2014; **33**: 817-824 [PMID: 24535292 DOI: 10.3892/ijmm.2014.1650]
- 17 **Trumpff C**, Michelson J, Lagranha CJ, Taleon V, Karan KR, Sturm G, Lindqvist D, Fernström J, Moser D, Kaufman BA, Picard M. Stress and circulating cell-free mitochondrial DNA: A systematic review of human studies, physiological considerations, and technical recommendations. *Mitochondrion* 2021; **59**: 225-245 [PMID: 33839318 DOI: 10.1016/j.mito.2021.04.002]
- 18 **Tsai NW**, Lin TK, Chen SD, Chang WN, Wang HC, Yang TM, Lin YJ, Jan CR, Huang CR, Liou CW, Lu CH. The value of serial plasma nuclear and mitochondrial DNA levels in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Clin Chim Acta* 2011; **412**: 476-479 [PMID: 21130757 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2010.11.036]
- 19 **Wang HC**, Lin YT, Hsu SY, Tsai NW, Lai YR, Su BY, Kung CT, Lu CH. Serial plasma DNA levels as predictors of outcome in patients with acute traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. *J Transl Med* 2019; **17**: 329 [PMID: 31570098 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-019-2084-z]
- 20 **Adams HP Jr**, Davis PH, Leira EC, Chang KC, Bendixen BH, Clarke WR, Woolson RF, Hansen MD. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale score strongly predicts outcome after stroke: A report of the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). *Neurology* 1999; **53**: 126-131 [PMID: 10408548 DOI: 10.1212/wnl.53.1.126]
- 21 **Livak KJ**, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 2001; **25**: 402-408 [PMID: 11846609 DOI: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262]
- 22 **Longchamps RJ**, Castellani CA, Yang SY, Newcomb CE, Sumpter JA, Lane J, Grove ML, Guallar E, Pankratz N, Taylor KD, Rotter JI, Boerwinkle E, Arking DE. Evaluation of mitochondrial DNA copy number estimation techniques. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**: e0228166 [PMID: 32004343 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228166]
- 23 **Qian Y**, Butler TJ, Opsahl-Ong K, Giroux NS, Sidore C, Nagaraja R, Cucca F, Ferrucci L, Abecasis GR, Schlessinger D, Ding J. fastMit °Calc: an ultra-fast program to estimate mitochondrial DNA copy number from whole-genome sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2017; **33**: 1399-1401 [PMID: 28453676 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw835]
- 24 **Guo Y**, Li J, Li CI, Shyr Y, Samuels DC. MitoSeek: extracting mitochondria information and performing high-throughput mitochondria sequencing analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2013; **29**: 1210-1211 [PMID: 23471301 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt118]
- 25 **Chu HT**, Hsiao WW, Tsao TT, Chang CM, Liu YW, Fan CC, Lin H, Chang HH, Yeh TJ, Chen JC, Huang DM, Chen CC, Kao CY. Quantitative assessment of mitochondrial DNA copies from whole genome sequencing. *BMC Genomics* 2012; **13** Suppl 7: S5 [PMID: 23282223 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-S7-S5]
- 26 **Chong M**, Mohammadi-Shemirani P, Perrot N, Nelson W, Morton R, Narula S, Lali R, Khan I, Khan M, Judge C, Machipisa T, Cawte N, O'Donnell M, Pigeire M, Akhbar L, Paré G. GWAS and ExWAS of blood mitochondrial DNA copy number identifies 71 loci and highlights a potential causal role in dementia. *Elife* 2022; **11** [PMID: 35023831 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.70382]
- 27 **Yu M**. Generation, function and diagnostic value of mitochondrial DNA copy number alterations in human cancers. *Life Sci* 2011; **89**: 65-71 [PMID: 21683715 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2011.05.010]
- 28 **Wong J**, McLennan SV, Molyneaux L, Min D, Twigg SM, Yue DK. Mitochondrial DNA content in peripheral blood monocytes: relationship with age of diabetes onset and diabetic complications. *Diabetologia* 2009; **52**: 1953-1961 [PMID:

- 19629432 DOI: [10.1007/s00125-009-1424-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-009-1424-6)]
- 29 **Kung CT**, Hsiao SY, Tsai TC, Su CM, Chang WN, Huang CR, Wang HC, Lin WC, Chang HW, Lin YJ, Cheng BC, Su BY, Tsai NW, Lu CH. Plasma nuclear and mitochondrial DNA levels as predictors of outcome in severe sepsis patients in the emergency room. *J Transl Med* 2012; **10**: 130 [PMID: [22720733](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22720733/) DOI: [10.1186/1479-5876-10-130](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-130)]
- 30 **Hernández-Jiménez E**, Gutierrez-Fernández M, Cubillos-Zapata C, Otero-Ortega L, Rodríguez-Frutos B, Toledano V, Martínez-Sánchez P, Fuentes B, Varela-Serrano A, Avendaño-Ortiz J, Blázquez A, Mangas-Guijarro MÁ, Díez-Tejedor E, López-Collazo E. Circulating Monocytes Exhibit an Endotoxin Tolerance Status after Acute Ischemic Stroke: Mitochondrial DNA as a Putative Explanation for Poststroke Infections. *J Immunol* 2017; **198**: 2038-2046 [PMID: [28115526](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28115526/) DOI: [10.4049/jimmunol.1601594](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601594)]
- 31 **Iommarini L**, Ghelli A, Tropeano CV, Kurelac I, Leone G, Vidoni S, et al Unravelling the Effects of the Mutation m.3571insC/MT-ND1 on Respiratory Complexes Structural Organization. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; **19**: 764 [DOI: [10.3390/ijms19030764](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030764)]
- 32 **Refinetti**, P, Warren, D, Morgenthaler, S, Ekström PO. Quantifying mitochondrial DNA copy number using robust regression to interpret real time PCR results. *BMC Res Notes* 2017; **10** [DOI: [10.1186/s13104-017-2913-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2913-1)]
- 33 **Jackson CB**, Gallati S, Schaller A. qPCR-based mitochondrial DNA quantification: influence of template DNA fragmentation on accuracy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2012; **423**: 441-447 [PMID: [22683632](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22683632/) DOI: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.05.121](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.05.121)]
- 34 **Kilic HB**, Bulduk BK, Kocafe YC. A single-tube multiplex qPCR assay for mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) copy number assessment. *Turkish J Biochem* 2019; **44**: 769-777 [DOI: [10.1515/tjb-2018-0372](https://doi.org/10.1515/tjb-2018-0372)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

