Dear Editorial Office,

In response to your decision letter dated 9th May 2022, please find the notes pertaining to each of the authors comments detailed below.

Field: Author's Response to World Journal of Gastroenterology Manuscript NO: 76971 – Notification on manuscript revision

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good) Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing) Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is a minireview on enhanced EUS imaging for pancreatic lesions. The authors cover elastography, contrast enhanced EUS, and AI in the field of EUS. The review is concise yet the relevant information and literature review are completed. The content of this review is helpful to the readers. Minor comments 1. There are a few typos and missing space between two words, please recheck. 2. Page 8, "The major advantage of CELMI-US over CEHMI-EUS..." CELMI-US should be changed to CELMI-EUS.

Author's Response to Reviewer #1's comments:

- The typos and missing spaces between words have been addressed
- Whole sentences have also been paragraphed appropriately to ensure ease of readability
- CELMI-EUS typo has been corrected
- Please find all aforementioned minor typos and space rectifications under tracked changes under "Supplementary Material", the entire revised document on MS Word can be found here.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good) Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing) Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This is a very interesting paper with the authors providing us an overview of the advantages and the disadvantages of EUS (including contrast-enhanced) in the diagnosis of early stage pancreatic lesions/cancer, as well as the potential role of AI. Could the authors provide in a section before the conclusions, their proposals for the best possible use of these modalities, possibly as an algorithm for clinical practice/

Author's Response to Reviewer #2's comments:

- Please find an additional segment inserted just before "Conclusion" entitled: "Summary Recommendations"; as per Reviewer #2's advice.
- An additional reference has been added in this segment as well.
- The authors thought that it would be interesting and artfully stimulating to the readers of WJG to include a 'non-conventional algorithm' in the form of an abstract drawing / graphical representation to represent current use of EUS image enhancers and the potential of AI as depicted in Figure 5.

Thank you to both Reviewers for their patience in reviewing our paper and for their comments. Apologies for accidentally not selecting the accept button for Reveiwer #2's comments on the portal, it was not intentional.

Sincerely, Marco and Glenn.