

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (High priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** I am really grateful for reviewing this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published in current form. This study made a rare attempt to examine a relationship between religious factors and diabetes management. I would like to point out that this is a great achievement.

**Author Response:** Thank you for your review and commendation. The manuscript has been edited to improve the language and scientific quality further.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** 1 Title.: Potential Influences of Religiosity and Religious Coping Strategies on People with Diabetes - this title reflect the content of the article. It is well chosen. OK 2 Abstract. -summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. This review explored the potential influences of religiosity and religious coping strategies on people with diabetes. This study used a literature review approach to investigate how religiosity and religious coping strategies can influence the effective management of diabetes among patients. - OK 3 Key words. Diabetes mellitus; Religiosity; Religious belief; Religious coping strategies; Self-care management; Social support - OK 4 Background. research has focused on the influence of religion on poor health management and chronic diseases, such as DM. This review sought to assess the influence of religiosity and religious coping strategies on people with diabetes. - OK 5 Methods - OK for a minireview 6 Results. reflected by Table 2 Results on potential influence of religious coping on people with diabetes 7 Discussion. This manuscript can be viewed from different perspective. For sure an atheist will see different this article than an non-atheist. The authors try to be neutral, but it seems that they advocate for benefits of religiosity. Even so, this mini-review is acceptable because the issue is intriguing from any perspective, either as an atheist or as an religious viewer. On the other side, more important is the view of the patient and not of the physician or researcher.

**Author Response:** Thank you for your review and commendation. The manuscript has been edited to improve the language and scientific quality further.

**(1) Science editor:**

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

**Author Response:** Thank you for your review and commendation. The manuscript has been edited to improve the language and scientific quality further.

**(2) Company editor-in-chief:**

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights.

**Author Response:** Thank you for your review and commendation. The manuscript has been edited to improve the language and scientific quality further. Also, the Figure is original and we have indicated this with the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table

should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Author Response: The Figure is original and we have indicated this with the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. We have made sure that the contents of each cell in the table conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table has been aligned. No carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and we did not segment cell content.

If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights. For example, "Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]". And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

Author Response: The Figure is original and we have indicated this with the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Author Response: We applied the new tool, the RCA to further improve the content of the manuscript. Further searches were done at <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.