

Dear Reviewers,

Thank you for your comments. We have revised the paper according to your suggestion.

Best,

Xiao-Yang Liao

Reviewer #1: the authors presented a case of peripheral T-cell lymphoma which followed by noncirrhotic portal hypertension. the manuscript is well written. I recommend to accept it. I just revised the manuscript grammatically using track changes. please find the attached.

Answer: Dear professor, thank you for your question. We have accepted all your modifications and have checked the language again.

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 67, 83, 89, 97-98, 105, 124-125, 141-142, 145, 155, 231, 239, 246, 256-257, 263, 538, 540, 558, 562, 631, 649-650.

Reviewer #2: This case report is of great significance for expanding clinical thinking.

Answer: Dear professor, Thanks for your advice and encouragement.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Science editor: The authors report a case of peripheral T-cell lymphoma causing portal hypertension. This is a rare occurrence, and of scientific interest as it presents a major diagnostic challenge. The manuscript is within the scope of the journal, and no signs of academic or ethical misconduct were found. There are some necessary corrections in

writing in the English language, most of which were pointed out by reviewer 05937294. The report is generally well-written and detailed, with high-quality illustrations. Some aspects of the report must be clarified by the authors: when it is stated that gastroscopy revealed extraluminal compression, was this due to the enlarged left liver lobe, or was there another lesion? When the abdominal circumference of 98cm is mentioned, is that the value the patient's abdominal circumference increased to, or was that the value of the increase itself (which is written in the manuscript - in that case, what was the value of the patient's abdominal circumference after the increase?). When presenting the results of laboratory exams, it would be important to provide the normal reference values considered (presenting this information in a table could further improve the manuscript). While the information that the liver biopsy was undertaken during placement of a TIPS is present in the discussion section of the manuscript, it is not mentioned in the case presentation section itself, where it should also be stated.

1. There are some necessary corrections in writing in the English language, most of which were pointed out by reviewer 05937294.

Answer: Dear editor, thank you for your question. We have revised the English writing language as suggested by the reviewer and we have checked the language again. English editing was performed to improve the manuscript language. The editing certificate is shown in the annex (77111-Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate).

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 67, 83, 89, 97-98, 105, 124-125, 141-142, 145, 155, 231, 239, 246, 256-257, 263, 538, 540, 558, 562, 631,

649-650.

2. When it is stated that gastroscopy revealed extraluminal compression, was this due to the enlarged left liver lobe, or was there another lesion?

Answer: Dear editor, thank you for your question. Gastroscopy indicated that the compression site outside the lumen was located at the large curvature side of the gastric body, and the large curvature side was adjacent to the spleen when the patient lying in the left side. CT showed hepatosplenomegaly and no other lesions around the stomach. So we think the growth of the spleen is the most likely reason for the external pressure of the greater curvature of the stomach.

However, we could not sure whether we should add the explanation in the manuscript because these contents were shown in the “*History of present illness*”, I hope you can make a further decision, thank you.

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 107-111.

3. When the abdominal circumference of 98cm is mentioned, is that the value the patient's abdominal circumference increased to, or was that the value of the increase itself (which is written in the manuscript - in that case, what was the value of the patient's abdominal circumference after the increase?).

Answer: Dear editor, thank you for your question. The abdominal circumference of 98cm is that the value the patient's abdominal circumference increased to, we have revised it as “and the increase in abdominal circumference increased to 98 cm”

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 119-120.

4. When presenting the results of laboratory exams, it would be important to provide

the normal reference values considered (presenting this information in a table could further improve the manuscript).

Answer: Dear editor, thank you for your question. We have provided laboratory reference values in this article, and presented in the form of tables, Line 600-601.

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 123-133, 600-601.

5. While the information that the liver biopsy was undertaken during placement of a TIPS is present in the discussion section of the manuscript, it is not mentioned in the case presentation section itself, where it should also be stated.

Answer: Dear editor, thank you for your question. We have a brief introduction about “TIPS was used to perform portal pressure measurement and liver biopsy” in the case presentation section.

The revision could be found in 77111-Supplementary Material line 157-158, 170-173.