

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77137

Title: Clinical Profile, Diagnostic Yield and Procedural Outcomes of Patients undergoing Single Balloon Enteroscopy – A Tertiary Care Hospital Experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05174548

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-17 10:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-17 11:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors conducted a retrospective study about the clinical yield of SBE. The study, considering the obvious limitations of a retrospective, single centre study (well explained in the Discussion section), is well designed as Authors used the change in diagnosis and management as a quantitative evaluation of clinical yield. The manuscript is fluent and well written. There are only some minor concerns to be noted prior to publication. First, since most of the procedures described were non-operative, capsule endoscopy as a preliminary exam prior to device-assisted enteroscopy should be discussed in the paper (Introduction section or Discussion as well). References about this are a bit outdated. Most updated guideline should be cited (Endoscopy 2018, doi: 10.1055/a-0576-0566), as well as a very recent report of diagnostic yield and safety of capsule endoscopy in a large cohort (Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.clinre.2020.03.011). Second, double check for some typos (i.e. page 9 SPSS instead of SPPS). I have nothing to add.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77137

Title: Clinical Profile, Diagnostic Yield and Procedural Outcomes of Patients undergoing Single Balloon Enteroscopy – A Tertiary Care Hospital Experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06277896

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-19 04:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-27 19:14

Review time: 8 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The title of the manuscript doesn't clearly status the objective of the study, need some modifications. 2. The problem identified under background ie. scarcity of published research on disease outcome, was not addressed under result or discussion section. 3. What was the operational definition for "outcome" the authors inferring? 4. Under method, the study design, sampling method, measurements, validity of the tests were not clarified. 5. The discussion was replication of the result which is not necessary. 6. conclusion part has biased some of the findings despite the result found was similar or inferior to the other literature. eg. the procedure associated complication rate which is 4.9%, compared a review by Wadhwa et al. findings which was negligible. Additionally, it doesn't include outcome result.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77137

Title: Clinical Profile, Diagnostic Yield and Procedural Outcomes of Patients undergoing Single Balloon Enteroscopy – A Tertiary Care Hospital Experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05174548

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-12 08:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-12 08:58

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think the Authors have amended the manuscript properly and now it can be considered for publication without further editing.