

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77189

Title: A case of diagnosed corrected transposition of great arteries after cesarean section

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05374991

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Instructor, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-11 05:15

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-21 21:05

Review time: 10 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statementsConflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [**Y**] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors reported a case of a congenitally corrected transposition of great vessels detected after a second cesarean section. The article is informative and well-written. I think the educational value of this article resides in the rarity of the scenario; it could help raise clinical suspicion for those cases. I only have some minor comments: 1) The running title is imprecise. It should be corrected to "cTGA diagnosed after cesarean section", because obviously the malformation is congenital, and its development has nothing to do with cesarean sections. 2) In the case presentation section of the main text, please clarify what you mean by the phrase "The ensuing progress was favourable".

3) It is remarkable that the patient weight was very low (37 KG). It would be better to mention her height or her BMI (since she is probably underweight). Additionally, could you find out whether she was malnourished? Were the patient's weight or general status better before her second pregnancy? I.e., is it reasonable to attribute heart failure to the low weight of the patient?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77189

Title: A case of diagnosed corrected transposition of great arteries after cesarean section

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05376168

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-22 07:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-30 08:35

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors reported a case of corrected transposition of the great arteries (cTGA) detected in a patient with post-cesarean pregnancy who had undergone elective cesarean section and was experiencing an episode of acute heart failure. It is helpful to carefully examine the congenital cardiac malformation in the perinatal period. This manuscript has a clear structure and conforms to the standards for writing case reports. I only have a minor comment on the title which is too long and could be refined.