

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77292

Title: Locally advanced cervical rhabdomyosarcoma in adults: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109416

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-07 03:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-08 03:28

Review time: 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Instead of "Here, We present..... treatment." in background, I would like to recommend this correction. "We would like to share our experience in the treatment of a locally advanced primary embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma of cervix in a 39-year-old female." In case summary and examination, rather than using the word "crisp", please use "friable". We don't usually use the word "crisp" for this kind of description. Since it is a pretty large mass 5x5cm in size, what kind of biopsy was done? Cone biopsy? Do not just say "A tumor biopsy was performed". Please specify. 5x5cm is pretty huge size. It would be nice to see MRI images. In MRI description, we don't use the term "shorter T1" or "longer T2". Please use the term "hypointense" or hyperintense". Suggest to change the sentence like this, "Subsequently, she underwent laparoscopic radical hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection." in case summary. Please find citation for this sentence: "Adult patients appear to have worse prognosis." This tends to be debatable. Grammar errors: "...might be reasonable therapeutic options.." in conclusion. "... the tumor size was reduced to 3 x 3 cm.... " in interventions. "At each visit, history taking and clinical examination were carried...." in follow-up.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77292

Title: Locally advanced cervical rhabdomyosarcoma in adults: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03252941

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-06 23:15

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-09 09:06

Review time: 2 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Xu L, et al. reported a 39-year-old female patient diagnosed with embryonic type rhabdomyosarcoma of the uterine cervix. She underwent two courses of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) with VAC, radical hysterectomy with BSO and pelvic nodal dissection, and four courses of adjuvant chemotherapy with VAC, and has remained disease free for an additional four years. This report will provide valuable information for patients suffering from the same disease. I have a few comments to improve this manuscript. 1. Gross appearance of the resected tumor should be presented, which will help diagnose the tumor. 2. According to Figure 1B, tumor cells display spindle shape. I understand that spindle cell subtype has now got independent of embryonic type in the latest WHO classification. Please explain the reason why you diagnosed this tumor as embryonic but not spindle cell type. In addition, higher power view of the tumor cells, which will demonstrate the differentiation towards the striate muscle, such as oval, racket-like or tadpole-like cells with striated cytoplasm, had better be presented. 3. Results of MRI between before and after NAC should be presented for demonstrating the chemotherapeutic effect.