

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77383

Title: Complete Colonic Duplication Presenting as Hip Fistula in an adult with pelvic

malformation: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03537202 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 08:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-03 11:43

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported a rare case of alimentary tract duplication (ATD) with a fistula and multiple malformations. Authors emphasize the difficulty in diagnosing this disease and explain why it tends to be challenging to diagnose and treat it. STATUS: ACCETTABLE FOR PUBBLICATION PENDING MINOR REVISIONS General considerations: This is a CASE REPORT article. The work is interesting, the paper is very well-written and there are only a few articles in literature about this topic. Abstract: the abstract appropriately summarize the manuscript without discrepancies between the abstract and the remainder of the manuscript. Keywords: inadequate. Please, reach at least five. Pelvic malformation? What mean? Paper On some aspects, the authors should address: 1)In the Introduction paragraph you wrote: "To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports or research on cases involving a body fistula combined with a pelvic malformation". I still don't understand what you mean when refer to pelvic malformation. Please, specify it. 2)Differential diagnostics of hip bulging should be discussed. In this regard, I recommend that you discuss about the hip bursitis, a patology which can sometimes mimic anterior hip bulge. 3)In the approach to hip bulge, have you thought about perform an ultrasound examination? Not infrequently, ultrasound can rule out hernias or other causes of bulging. In this regard, I recommend that you read and cite the following article: -Usefulness of dynamic ultrasound in the diagnosis of epigastric herniation of stomach. Ultrasound. 2022 May;30(2):162-166. doi: 10.1177/1742271X211026013. Epub 2021 Jul 16. PMID: 35509293; PMCID: PMC9058391. Reference: inadequate. Please, add the suggested one. Figures: good. -In Fig. 1 B is a CT image. Why is it not reported? -Why in Fig. 1 C is the contrast



agent appearing in the fistula located posteriorly? Was the fistula not anterior? Or so it seems from the clinical images. Please, specify it in the text.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77383

Title: Complete Colonic Duplication Presenting as Hip Fistula in an adult with pelvic

malformation: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00069988 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Research Associate, Senior Scientist, Staff

Physician, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-12 10:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-12 13:09

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All queries are put directly in the word document of the manuscript as "Comments"