

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77427

Title: Acute mesenteric ischemia due to percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00722786

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-23 13:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-23 21:04

Review time: 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to the authors on their hard work in writing this very interesting Dear, case report. The manuscript is clearly written, well documented, but I have the following questions and suggestions for the authors: 1. Abstract - "An urgent abdominal CT scan revealed extensive pneumoperitoneum in her hilar system with extensive bowel wall necrosis". Did the patient have pneumoperitoneum or hepatic portal venous gas, or both? 2. Has a PCR-SARS CoV2 test been performed. COVID-19 infection may explain the overall clinical picture. 3. Have haemocultures been performed? 4. Did the patient have chronic renal failure? Alternative causes of troponin elevation should also be discussed. 5. It is necessary to discuss more extensively other reasons for acute mesenteric ischemia, apart from PCI, because the patient had hypotension and sepsis. 6. Figure 4-Delete patient data in photographs, if not already 7. Footnotes-"Informed consent statement: Informed consent has been obtained from the patient for publication of the case report and accompanying images". How was the informed consent taken, since the patient had dementia and altered state of consciousness? Best regards,



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77427

Title: Acute mesenteric ischemia due to percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05232752

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-23 12:11

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-26 07:26

Review time: 2 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The main question for the authors would be: how can we be sure that abdominal vascular incident wasn't consequence of the patient's ill state and shock rather than PCI intervention? The same condition possibly precipitated the myocardial infarction, knowing the fact that the coronary vessels imaging didn't show any abnormalities? 2. You stated that the informal consent was obtained from the patient. How?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77427

Title: Acute mesenteric ischemia due to percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00722786

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-28 14:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-28 15:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, I think that manuscript now can be published in WJCC. Best regards



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77427

Title: Acute mesenteric ischemia due to percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05232752

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-31 14:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-08 08:52

Review time: 7 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for you answers and clarification. In my opinion you should state more clear in your manuscript probable reasons for initials shock state.