

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77563

Title: Iatrogenic aortic dissection during transradial intervention salvaged by transluminal angioplasty in a patient with aberrant right subclavian artery

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-07 09:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-07 14:44

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Iatrogenic acute type B aortic dissection during right transradial intervention in a patient with an aberrant right subclavian artery salvaged by transluminal angioplasty: A case report This manuscript demonstrates the uncommon complication after the right transradial intervention in the patient with an aberrant right subclavian artery and the salvaged therapy for this situation. The author wrote the manuscript well, concisely, and easy to read. The demonstrated pictures are interesting. However, the authors should be commended on their work. There are a few areas where additional information would enhance the manuscript. 1. In the “abstract,” the author mentioned, “However, after 2 days, the right lower limb was suddenly undetectable”. What did it mean? 2. Author described that this patient had a history of hypertension twice (at “chief complain” and “history of past illness,” which looks redundant. 3. It would be more impressive if the author provides more information about the “physical examination.” 4. In the “treatment” section, “After two days in the ICU, the right FA pulse suddenly weakened, and the blood pressure of the right lower limb was no longer measurable.” Did the patient complain of any symptoms of limb ischemia (such as pain)? 5. Please provide the information on renal recovery after transluminal angioplasty or at the follow-up.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77563

Title: Iatrogenic aortic dissection during transradial intervention salvaged by transluminal angioplasty in a patient with aberrant right subclavian artery

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04433149

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-13 10:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-15 17:50

Review time: 2 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☒] Anonymous [☐] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very nice case presentation. Do you think it was aggressive manipulation of the guiding catheter and wire that caused the dissection as the diagnostic catheter passed smoothly? I would recommend grammar and language revision.