

77564- Answering Reviewers

First and foremost, thank you to all those who provided very helpful feedback to help us improve our original manuscript submission. Please see below for the author feedback on how each point was addressed.

Reviewer #1

1. Register manuscript in PROSPERO and reflect in the manuscript
 - a. PROSPERO (ID: 299833)
2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for articles in this review
 - a. Inclusion and exclusion criteria created according to PRISMA guidelines and noted as such in the methods section.
 - b. Full explanation on exclusion criteria also provided in the methods
3. Assess reliability of selected articles (PEDro?)
 - a. MINORS criteria table provided in the tables document to assess bias and reliability of included studies
4. Introduction needs additional information and references
 - a. Introduction has been revised to include additional citations and background information.
5. Current Data- include a graphic table to show the articles studied by variables, sample, results, and conclusion are shared.
 - a. Table 1 was formatted to demonstrate a concise summary of the included articles, with specific categories as suggested by reviewer.

Reviewer #2

No specific comments for revisions

Editor-in Chief

- 1.) Shorten Manuscript Title (No more than 18 words)
 - a. Patient reported dissatisfaction following second side in staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review (15 words)
- 2.) Original figure documents prepared using powerpoint
 - a. Figures placed in powerpoint document and attached with revision files
- 3.) Attach copyright label to the figures
 - a. Copyright label attached to figure slides
- 4.) Table formatting: three-line tables, row and column alignment
 - a. Tables revised according to recommendations
- 5.) Application of RCA
 - a. Attempted to apply tool to included articles. Would appreciate additional guidance on the best way to document this in final text.