World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 November 6; 10(31): 11214-11664





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Content	ts Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022
	REVIEW
11214	Diabetes and skin cancers: Risk factors, molecular mechanisms and impact on prognosis
	Dobrică EC, Banciu ML, Kipkorir V, Khazeei Tabari MA, Cox MJ, Simhachalam Kutikuppala LV, Găman MA
11226	Endocrine disruptor chemicals as obesogen and diabetogen: Clinical and mechanistic evidence
	Kurşunoğlu NE, Sarer Yurekli BP
11240	Intestinal microbiota in the treatment of metabolically associated fatty liver disease
	Wang JS, Liu JC
	MINIREVIEWS
11252	Lactation mastitis: Promising alternative indicators for early diagnosis
	Huang Q, Zheng XM, Zhang ML, Ning P, Wu MJ
11260	Clinical challenges of glycemic control in the intensive care unit: A narrative review
	Sreedharan R, Martini A, Das G, Aftab N, Khanna S, Ruetzler K
11273	Concise review on short bowel syndrome: Etiology, pathophysiology, and management
	Lakkasani S, Seth D, Khokhar I, Touza M, Dacosta TJ
11283	Role of nickel-regulated small RNA in modulation of Helicobacter pylori virulence factors
	Freire de Melo F, Marques HS, Fellipe Bueno Lemos F, Silva Luz M, Rocha Pinheiro SL, de Carvalho LS, Souza CL, Oliveira MV
11292	Surgical intervention for acute pancreatitis in the COVID-19 era
	Su YJ, Chen TH
	ORIGINAL ARTICLE
	Clinical and Translational Research
11299	Screening of traditional Chinese medicine monomers as ribonucleotide reductase M2 inhibitors for tumor treatment
	Qin YY, Feng S, Zhang XD, Peng B
	Case Control Study
11313	Covered transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt <i>vs</i> large volume paracentesis in patients with cirrhosis: A real-world propensity score-matched study

Dhaliwal A, Merhzad H, Karkhanis S, Tripathi D



Cantan	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022
	Retrospective Cohort Study
11325	Endoscopic submucosal tunnel dissection for early esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: A propensity score analysis
	Zhu LL, Liu LX, Wu JC, Gan T, Yang JL
	Retrospective Study
11338	Nomogram for predicting overall survival in Chinese triple-negative breast cancer patients after surgery
	Lin WX, Xie YN, Chen YK, Cai JH, Zou J, Zheng JH, Liu YY, Li ZY, Chen YX
11240	
11349	Early patellar tendon rupture after total knee arthroplasty: A direct repair method
	Li 15, Sun 51, Du 10, Snen 5M, Zhung B11, Zhou 10
11358	Coxsackievirus A6 was the most common enterovirus serotype causing hand, foot, and mouth disease in Shiyan City, central China
	Li JF, Zhang CJ, Li YW, Li C, Zhang SC, Wang SS, Jiang Y, Luo XB, Liao XJ, Wu SX, Lin L
11371	Dynamic changes of estimated glomerular filtration rate are conversely related to triglyceride in non- overweight patients
	Liu SQ, Zhang XJ, Xue Y, Huang R, Wang J, Wu C, He YS, Pan YR, Liu LG
11381	C-reactive protein as a non-linear predictor of prolonged length of intensive care unit stay after gastrointestinal cancer surgery
	Yan YM, Gao J, Jin PL, Lu JJ, Yu ZH, Hu Y
11201	Clinical Trials Study
11391	Dan Bai Xiao Formula combined with glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide for pediatric lupus nephritis: A pilot prospective study
	Cao TT, Chen L, Zhen XF, Zhao GJ, Zhang HF, Hu Y
	Observational Study
11403	Relationship between lipids and sleep apnea: Mendelian randomization analysis
	Zhang LP, Zhang XX
11411	Efficacy and safety profile of two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in cancer patients: An observational study in China
	Cai SW, Chen JY, Wan R, Pan DJ, Yang WL, Zhou RG
	Programmeting Chudu
11410	Prospective Study
11419	controlled trial
	Seol G, Jin J, Oh J, Byun SH, Jeon Y
	Randomized Controlled Trial
11427	Effect of intradermal needle therapy at combined acupoints on patients' gastrointestinal function following surgery for gastrointestinal tumors
	Guo M, Wang M, Chen LL, Wei FJ, Li JE, Lu QX, Zhang L, Yang HX



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

11442 Video-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves the quality of chest compressions during simulated cardiac arrests: A systemic review and meta-analysis

Pan DF, Li ZJ, Ji XZ, Yang LT, Liang PF

META-ANALYSIS

11454 Efficacy of the femoral neck system in femoral neck fracture treatment in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Wu ZF, Luo ZH, Hu LC, Luo YW

11466 Prevalence of polymyxin-induced nephrotoxicity and its predictors in critically ill adult patients: A metaanalysis

Wang JL, Xiang BX, Song XL, Que RM, Zuo XC, Xie YL

CASE REPORT

11486	Novel compound heterozygous variants in the LHX3 gene caused combined pituitary hormone deficiency: A case report
	Lin SZ, Ma QJ, Pang QM, Chen QD, Wang WQ, Li JY, Zhang SL
11493	Fatal bleeding due to an aorto-esophageal fistula: A case report and literature review
	Ćeranić D, Nikolić S, Lučev J, Slanič A, Bujas T, Ocepek A, Skok P
11500	Tolvaptan ameliorated kidney function for one elderly autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patient: A case report
	Zhou L, Tian Y, Ma L, Li WG
11508	Extensive right coronary artery thrombosis in a patient with COVID-19: A case report
	Dall'Orto CC, Lopes RPF, Cancela MT, de Sales Padilha C, Pinto Filho GV, da Silva MR
11517	Yokoyama procedure for a woman with heavy eye syndrome who underwent multiple recession-resection operations: A case report
	Yao Z, Jiang WL, Yang X
11523	Rectal cancer combined with abdominal tuberculosis: A case report
	Liu PG, Chen XF, Feng PF
11529	Malignant obstruction in the ileocecal region treated by self-expandable stent placement under the fluoroscopic guidance: A case report
	Wu Y, Li X, Xiong F, Bao WD, Dai YZ, Yue LJ, Liu Y
11536	Granulocytic sarcoma with long spinal cord compression: A case report
	Shao YD, Wang XH, Sun L, Cui XG
11542	Aortic dissection with epileptic seizure: A case report
	Zheng B, Huang XQ, Chen Z, Wang J, Gu GF, Luo XJ



. .	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022
11549	Multiple bilateral and symmetric C1-2 ganglioneuromas: A case report
	Wang S, Ma JX, Zheng L, Sun ST, Xiang LB, Chen Y
11555	Acute myocardial infarction due to Kounis syndrome: A case report
	Xu GZ, Wang G
11561	Surgical excision of a large retroperitoneal lymphangioma: A case report
	Park JH, Lee D, Maeng YH, Chang WB
11567	Mass-like extragonadal endometriosis associated malignant transformation in the pelvis: A rare case report
	Chen P, Deng Y, Wang QQ, Xu HW
11574	Gastric ulcer treated using an elastic traction ring combined with clip: A case report
	Pang F, Song YJ, Sikong YH, Zhang AJ, Zuo XL, Li RY
11579	Novel liver vein deprivation technique that promotes increased residual liver volume (with video): A case report
	Wu G, Jiang JP, Cheng DH, Yang C, Liao DX, Liao YB, Lau WY, Zhang Y
11585	Linear porokeratosis of the foot with dermoscopic manifestations: A case report
	Yang J, Du YQ, Fang XY, Li B, Xi ZQ, Feng WL
11590	Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: A case report
	Wang J, Sun LT
11597	Hemorrhagic shock due to ruptured lower limb vascular malformation in a neurofibromatosis type 1 patient: A case report
	Shen LP, Jin G, Zhu RT, Jiang HT
11607	Gastric linitis plastica with autoimmune pancreatitis diagnosed by an endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine-needle biopsy: A case report
	Sato R, Matsumoto K, Kanzaki H, Matsumi A, Miyamoto K, Morimoto K, Terasawa H, Fujii Y, Yamazaki T, Uchida D, Tsutsumi K, Horiguchi S, Kato H
11617	Favorable response of primary pulmonary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma to sintilimab combined with chemotherapy: A case report
	Zeng SY, Yuan J, Lv M
11625	Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo with congenital nystagmus: A case report
	Li GF, Wang YT, Lu XG, Liu M, Liu CB, Wang CH
11630	Secondary craniofacial necrotizing fasciitis from a distant septic emboli: A case report
	Lee DW, Kwak SH, Choi HJ
11638	Pancreatic paraganglioma with multiple lymph node metastases found by spectral computed tomography: A case report and review of the literature
	Li T, Yi RQ, Xie G, Wang DN, Ren YT, Li K



Conton	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022
11646	Apnea caused by retrobulbar anesthesia: A case report
	Wang YL, Lan GR, Zou X, Wang EQ, Dai RP, Chen YX
11652	Unexplained septic shock after colonoscopy with polyethylene glycol preparation in a young adult: A case report
	Song JJ, Wu CJ, Dong YY, Ma C, Gu Q
11658	Metachronous isolated penile metastasis from sigmoid colon adenocarcinoma: A case report

Yin GL, Zhu JB, Fu CL, Ding RL, Zhang JM, Lin Q



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 31 November 6, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Muhammad Hamdan Gul, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kentucky, Chicago, IL 60657, United States. hamdan3802@hotmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Xu Guo; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Clinical Cases	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Thrice Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE November 6, 2022	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2022 November 6; 10(31): 11313-11324

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11313

Case Control Study

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Covered transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt vs large volume paracentesis in patients with cirrhosis: A real-world propensity score-matched study

Amritpal Dhaliwal, Homoyoon Merhzad, Salil Karkhanis, Dhiraj Tripathi

Amritpal Dhaliwal, Dhiraj Tripathi, Department of Hepatology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Specialty type: Gastroenterology Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom and hepatology Amritpal Dhaliwal, Dhiraj Tripathi, National Institute of Health and Care Research, Biomedical Provenance and peer review: Research Centre Birmingham, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2WB, United Invited article; Externally peer Kingdom reviewed. Homoyoon Merhzad, Salil Karkhanis, Department of Radiology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Peer-review model: Single blind Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom Peer-review report's scientific Corresponding author: Dhiraj Tripathi, MD, Professor, Department of Hepatology, Queen quality classification Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Mindelsohn Way, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom. Grade A (Excellent): 0 dhiraj.tripathi@uhb.nhs.uk Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Abstract Grade E (Poor): 0 BACKGROUND P-Reviewer: Perazzo JC, Argentina; Refractory ascites has a 1-year survival rate of 50%. In selected patients, treatment Sintusek P, Thailand

Received: May 14, 2022 Peer-review started: May 14, 2022 First decision: June 19, 2022 Revised: July 5, 2022 Accepted: September 20, 2022 Article in press: September 20, 2022 Published online: November 6, 2022



options include liver transplantation (LT) or transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt (TIPSS).

AIM

To assess the outcomes of patients who underwent a TIPSS compared to large volume paracentesis (LVP).

METHODS

Retrospective study of patients who underwent a covered TIPSS or LVP for refractory or recurrent ascites over 7 years. Primary outcome was transplant-free survival (TFS). Further analysis was done with propensity score matching (PSM).

RESULTS

There were 150 patients [TIPSS group (n = 75), LVP group (n = 75)]. Seven patients in the TIPSS group underwent LT vs 22 patients in the LVP group. Overall median follow up, 20 (0.47-179.53) mo. In the whole cohort, there was no difference in TFS [hazard ratio (HR): 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.54-1.21]; but lower de novo hepatic encephalopathy with LVP (HR: 95%CI: 0.20-0.96). These findings were confirmed following PSM analysis. On multivariate analysis albumin and hepato-

cellular carcinoma at baseline were associated with TFS.

CONCLUSION

Covered TIPSS results in similar TFS compared to LVP in cirrhotic patients with advanced liver failure. Liver transplant assessment should be considered in all potential candidates for TIPSS. Further controlled studies are recommended to select appropriate patients for TIPSS.

Key Words: Portal hypertension; Liver cirrhosis; Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; Ascites; Large volume paracentesis

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Refractory ascites is a serious complication of cirrhosis and portal hypertension with a one-year mortality of 50%. The only curative treatment for refractory ascites is liver transplantation, whilst the nonsurgical treatments for refractory ascites include large volume paracentesis (LVP) and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt (TIPSS). A randomized controlled trial showed covered TIPSS can improve survival compared to LVP. In our real world cohort of selected patients with cirrhosis and advanced liver failure, we demonstrate that covered TIPSS results in similar transplant free survival compared to LVP following propensity score matching. This suggests that all patients with refractory ascites that are eligible for TIPSS should be considered for liver transplantation.

Citation: Dhaliwal A, Merhzad H, Karkhanis S, Tripathi D. Covered transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stentshunt vs large volume paracentesis in patients with cirrhosis: A real-world propensity score-matched study. World J Clin Cases 2022; 10(31): 11313-11324

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i31/11313.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11313

INTRODUCTION

Liver cirrhosis is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the United Kingdom and worldwide [1-3]. Clinically significant portal hypertension leads to decompensation, with ascites often the first evidence of hepatic decompensation^[4]. Ascites is initially treated with diuretics however for those with diuretic intractable ascites, frequent large-volume paracentesis with albumin cover is the remaining option. Patients with refractory ascites have a 1-year survival rate of 50%. In a selection of these patients, treatment options include liver transplantation and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt (TIPSS)[5].

Ascites occurs due to two main mechanisms: Portal hypertension and sodium and water retention. Liver cirrhosis alters the normal hepatic architecture by progressive collagen deposition (fibrosis) and nodular regeneration within the hepatocytes and distortion of the hepatic vasculature[6]. As a result, all these changes, hepatic sinusoids have a reduction in their compliance and there is an increase in resistance to portal flow. Splanchnic vasodilation, mediated by nitrous oxide (extra-hepatic production increases in cirrhosis), and soluble guanylyl cyclase dependent protein kinase G signalling, and other vasoactive mediators, contributes to hyperdynamic circulation manifested as increased cardiac output and heart rate, with a decreased systemic vascular resistance and a low arterial blood pressure. This leads to greater blood flow through the portal vein, which in presence of increased resistance, contributes to portal hypertension. Clinically significant portal hypertension, (defined as a hepatic venous portal gradient ≥ 10 mmHg)[7], whereby there is an increase in the hydrostatic pressure within hepatic sinusoids, compounds this. Hence there is further transduction of fluid into the peritoneal cavity and subsequent ascites[8]. The hyperdynamic circulation results in reduced central blood volume due to splanchnic vasodilation. This triggers increases in renal sympathetic activity including activation of renin-angiotensin and aldosterone systems, and antidiuretic hormone to improve central blood volume. This enhances sodium reabsorption within the renal tubules and collecting ducts resulting in increased sodium and water retention[8-11].

The only curative treatment option in refractory ascites is liver transplantation. This is suitable for selected patients through a rigorous screening and assessment process[12-14]. Whilst diuretic therapy and large volume paracenteses provide a therapeutic approach, more definitive treatment with TIPSS is an option in selected patients[14-16]. TIPSS reduces portal pressure with an initial increase in cardiac output, right atrial pressure, and pulmonary artery pressure leading to a secondary reduction in systemic vascular resistance and effective arterial blood volume. These haemodynamic changes have been reported to return to pre TIPSS level with time. Additionally, there is an increase in urinary



sodium excretion and glomerular filtration rate[13,17-20]. It is well established that covered TIPSS is superior to non-covered TIPSS with significantly reduced stent dysfunction and recurrence of portal hypertension-related complications[21,22]. Patient selection for TIPSS has several considerations including the severity of liver disease, renal function, vascular anatomy, nutritional status, risk factors for hepatic encephalopathy (HE), and cardiac function[16]. Patient selection is paramount to a beneficial outcome. One of the most important and disabling complications of TIPSS is the development of de novo HE which occurs in 30%-50% of patients[13].

For those with diuretic intractable ascites, and who are unsuitable for TIPSS and liver transplantation, frequent palliative large volume paracentesis (LVP) remains the main course of symptom management. These patients often have end-stage liver disease, with high short-term mortality, so LVP remains a safe and effective management strategy [5,8]. There is recent interest in long term abdominal drains, which may reduce the need for hospital visits but can be complicated by increased infections. Further research is required[14].

This study aims to assess the outcome of those who underwent covered TIPSS vs LVP for the treatment of refractory ascites. We aimed to ascertain the following: (1) Transplant-free survival (TFS); (2) Clinical or biochemical variables that predict survival; and (3) Risk factors for developing HE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

A retrospective cohort study was performed at the Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham. Our study groups consisted of two groups. Group 1 comprised patients who underwent a covered TIPSS between April 2010 to November 2017. Group 2 (the standard of care group) comprised patients who underwent frequent LVP with albumin cover between January 2011 to November 2017. Patients were identified using our institute's electronic information technology informatics system and electronic patient database through coding methods.

We included patients with liver cirrhosis, and an age greater than or equal to 18. For Group 1, we included those who had covered TIPSS for refractory or recurrent ascites as an elective procedure. For Group 2 we included those who had > 1 LVP per month.

We excluded patients who did not have a diagnosis of liver cirrhosis, those who underwent a TIPSS insertion for variceal haemorrhage, those who underwent frequent paracentesis due to malignancy including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with malignant spread or underwent liver transplantation before first LVP.

The primary outcome measure of this study was TFS. We had several secondary endpoints which included effectiveness of TIPSS compared with LVP as quantified by the number of LVP per month and complications of TIPSS in the form of *de novo* HE. Follow up was carried out until 2017 or until death had occurred.

Treatments

TIPSS group: After the patient's informed consent, TIPSS (Viatorr® stent-graft; GORE, Flagstaff, AR, United States) was placed in the standard method described previously, and the tract between right hepatic and portal veins was dilated to 8-10 mm^[16]. Pre-TIPSS (either portal pressure or hepatic venous pressure gradient) and post-TIPSS pressures were measured. Patients were monitored for any immediate complications for at least 48 h post-procedure. None of these patients received anticoagulation post-TIPSS. The patency was routinely assessed by follow up 6-12 mo doppler US at clinic review and venography if indicated by the US scan or clinical deterioration.

LVP group: LVP was performed according to the local guidelines with 20 g of albumin administered for every 2 L to 3 L ascites fluid drained, in concordance with the local and national guidance. The procedures were performed as a day case for most patients. All patients were followed up routinely in the outpatient clinic at 3-6 mo intervals.

Parameters

We compared biochemical and clinical parameters for each group. The clinical parameters were obtained from clinical medical electronic records. These included mortality rates, complications of portal hypertension (HE and gastric or oesophageal varices), development of HCC, and use of non-selective beta-blockers (NSBB). We collected laboratory records to assess severity staging in the form of model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) and United Kingdom model for end-stage liver disease (UKELD), liver function, hematology and renal function parameters. These were recorded at the time of the index intervention [*i.e.* TIPSS or first LVP $(1 \pm 1 d)$].

Statistics

Categorical variables such as gender were expressed as a number and percentage. Numerical data were expressed as a mean ± standard deviation for normally distributed data. Data was also expressed as



median with a range where appropriate.

Comparisons between the two groups were performed using an unpaired *t* test or *chi-squared* test. Both univariate and multivariable analyses were used to control for differences in selected independent parameters such as MELD score. A Cox regression analysis was used to identify clinical and biochemical variables predicting survival. Actuarial probability survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan Meier method and compared with the *log-rank* test. To confirm the validity of the results in matched cohorts, a propensity score analysis was performed. Propensity score matching (1:1) with matched tolerance of 0.02 was performed to account for covariates (platelet count, MELD, gender, sodium and age). Further supplemental sensitivity analysis was done using the propensity score weighting method [23]. Statistical significance was established at a P < 0.05. SPSS statistical software (version 27) was used to perform the analyses.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

There were 106 patients identified as receiving a covered TIPSS. We excluded 31 patients due to other indications such as a repeated procedure with an existing TIPSS in situ, and who did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. Thus, the TIPSS group comprised 75 patients. There were 89 patients with liver cirrhosis who underwent frequent LVP with albumin cover within our hospital, however, 14 were excluded as they met the exclusion criteria. Hence, the LVP group comprised of n = 75 (Figure 1, Table 1).

With regards to the severity of liver disease, compared to the TIPSS group, the LVP group had a significantly higher mean UKELD (51.5 \pm 4.2 vs 54.6 \pm 4.8) and MELD (11.5 \pm 3.9 vs 15.9 \pm 5.3) (Table 2). There was no difference in the use of NSBB, presence of varices, HCC, or history of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) at baseline.

Table 1 also shows the characteristics of the propensity score-matched cohort. The TIPSS group and LVP group comprised 40 patients each. The baseline characteristics were well matched although fewer patients underwent liver transplantation and had refractory ascites in the TIPSS group. These differences were also present in the PSM cohort but to a lesser degree. Table 2 shows that laboratory data and clinical scoring systems were similar in both groups.

Clinical outcomes during follow up

Effectiveness of TIPSS: The portal pressure gradient (PPG) decreased from 15.7 ± 4.9 mmHg to 6.7 ± 2.7 mmHg following TIPSS implantation with a mean PPG reduction of 54.7% ± 17.6 %. In 59 and 16 patients the stent was dilated to 8 mm and 10 mm respectively. TIPSS resulted in a significant reduction in the requirement for paracentesis per month compared with the LVP group (0.1 ± 0.6 vs 1.2 ± 0.6 , P < 0.001). Diuretics were required during the clinical course in all patients in the LVP group, and in only 14.7% of patients in the TIPSS group. Further LVP was not required in 74.7% of patients in the TIPSS group. There was no difference in the baseline aetiology of liver disease (P = 0.44), MELD (P = 0.69), Childs Pugh score (CPS) (P = 0.24), bilirubin (P = 0.05), platelets (P = 0.53), albumin (P = 0.98), age (P = 00.96), gender (P = 0.39), history of SBP (P = 0.6), presence of varices (P = 0.24), TIPSS diameter (P = 0.30) and PPG % reduction post TIPSS (P = 0.80), PPG post TIPSS (P = 0.58) between those who did or did not require further LVP post TIPSS.

Transplantation free survival

Whole cohort: The actuarial rate of TFS at 6 mo, 12 mo, 24 mo and 60 mo for each group is as follows: TIPSS group 76%, 64%, 53%, 20%; LVP group 78%, 55%, 36%, 15%, respectively. Figure 2A shows the Kaplan Meier curve to represent this. The causes of death are detailed in Table 3. Analysis using log-rank statistics did not reveal any significant difference in TFS (HR: 1.24, 95% CI: 0.83-1.86). In the TIPSS arm, an increased number of paracentesis per month (HR: 1.35, 95% CI: 1.02-1.77) and 8 mm stent diameter (HR: 2.93, 95% CI: 1.31-6.52) was associated with a worse TFS.

Univariate analysis demonstrated that albumin, HCC at baseline and CPS predicted TFS (Table 4). Multivariable analyses showed that only HCC at baseline and albumin were significant as predictors of survival (Tables 4 and 5). Further analysis excluding patients with HCC at baseline, which can cause significant confounding, demonstrated that there remained no significant differences in TFS.

Propensity score-matched cohort: The actuarial rate of TFS at 6 mo,12 mo, 24 mo and 60 mo for each group is as follows: TIPSS group 66%, 50%, 41%, and 17%; LVP group 81%, 54%, 34% respectively (Figure 2B). There was no significant difference in TFS (HR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.58-1.73). A sensitivity analysis using propensity score weighting method confirmed the lack of significance between the cohorts (HR: 0.95, 95% CI: 0.60-1.53).

Hepatic encephalopathy

Whole cohort: The actuarial rate of *de novo* HE at 6 mo, 12 mo, and 24 mo for each group are as follows;



Table 1 Baseline characteristics in each cohort									
		Whole cohort			Propensity score - matched cohort				
		TIPSS (<i>n</i> = 75)	LVP (<i>n</i> = 75)	P value	TIPSS (<i>n</i> = 40)	LVP (<i>n</i> = 40)	P value		
Age (mean yr)		59.1 ± 9.4	61.8 ± 11.5	0.119	61.2 ± 9.1	61.5 ± 12.2	0.885		
Gender	Male	41	46	0.275	23	26	0.616		
	Female	34	29	0.275	17	14	0.491		
Aetiology	ArLD	60	47	0.174	32	22	0.297		
	AIH	1	1		0	1			
	NAFLD	9	9		3	8			
	Cryptogenic	2	5		2	4			
	HCV	1	7		3	2			
	HBV	0	2		0	1			
	PBC	0	3		0	1			
	PSC	0	1		0	1			
	Other	2	0		2	1			
	History of HCC	7	5	0.220	3	2	0.644		
History of spontaneo	ous bacterial peritonitis	17	15	0.849	13	6	0.079		
Type of ascites (recu	rrent/refractory)	61/14	35/40	< 0.001	22/18	31/9	0.03		
Liver transplantation		7	22	< 0.001	4	12	0.029		
Varices at baseline		21	26	0.304	12	13	0.135		
Use of non-selective beta-blockers		16	20	0.484	10	13	0.459		
Mean follow up (mo)		27.5 ± 29.3	16.4 ± 16.4	0.005	26.3 ± 35.3	15.5 ± 14.9	0.08		
Median follow up (range, mo)		16.5 (0.47-179.53)	10.3 (1.4-73.86)	0.050	8.2 (0.47-179.53)	10.3 (1.5-73.86)	0.823		

TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; LVP: Large volume paracentesis; ArLD: Alcohol related liver disease; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; NAFLD: Non alcoholic fatty liver disease; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; PBC: Primary biliary cholangitis; PSC: Primary sclerosing cholangitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

> TIPSS: 28%, 28%, 31%. LVP group 5%, 7%, and 16% (Figure 2C). This was a statistically significant difference in favour of LVP (HR: 0.44, 95% CI: 0.20-0.96). In the TIPSS arm, neither diameter of the stent (P = 0.35), PPG post-TIPSS (P = 0.88), or degree of PPG reduction (0.74) influenced the rate of *de novo* HE. TIPSS reduction was performed successfully in two patients due to refractory HE.

> Propensity score-matched cohort: The actuarial rate of *de novo* HE at 6 mo, 12 mo, and 24 mo for each group are as follows; TIPSS: 33%, 33%, 33%. LVP group 5%, 11%, and 11% (Figure 2D). This was a statistically significant difference in favour of LVP [Figure 2D; HR: 0.30 95% CI: 0.10-0.94, P = 0.03 (logrank)]. A sensitivity analysis using a propensity score weighting method confirmed the difference in favour of LVP (HR: 0.38, 95%CI: 0.15-0.95).

Liver transplantation

Seven patients in the TIPSS group underwent liver transplantation vs twenty-two patients in the LVP group (P = 0.002). The mean time to transplantation during follow up was 13.4 ± 16.5 mo, with no difference between the groups.

DISCUSSION

We have shown in our retrospective study of 150 patients, which is one of the largest comparative series in the literature, that TFS following covered TIPSS for refractory ascites is similar to LVP, with increased HE with TIPSS. We also found that the control of ascites was significantly better with TIPSS.

We controlled for confounders by using a propensity score matching, which corroborated these findings. We found that the long-term outcomes are indeed poor in both groups, with 5-year TFS in



Table 2 Demographic table showing baseline laboratory data

.		Ŭ		· ·						
	Whole coh	ort				Propensity score-matched cohort				
	TIPSS (<i>n</i> = 75)		LVP (<i>n</i> = 75)			TIPSS (<i>n</i> = 40)		LVP (<i>n</i> = 40)		
	mean	SD	mean	SD	P value	mean	SD	mean	SD	P value
UKELD	51.51	4.17	54.57	4.84	< 0.001	51.55	4.08	52.45	4.07	0.326
MELD	11.47	3.86	15.93	5.31	< 0.001	12.78	4.492	12.78	3.62	1
INR	1.25	0.19	1.46	0.33	< 0.001	1.25	0.21	1.35	0.23	0.048
Bilirubin (µmol/l)	20.28	16.77	42.13	40.49	< 0.001	22.9	20.027	27.43	21.205	0.33
Creatinine (µmol/l)	96.77	57.13	97.99	78.11	0.914	108.1	73.88	89.75	40.45	0.172
Sodium (mmol/L)	135.40	4.84	134.79	4.67	0.431	135.98	4.092	136.07	4.548	0.918
Platelets (× $10^9/L$)	167.95	73.21	141.16	75.66	0.029	160.43	73.856	154.95	90.098	0.767

UKELD: United Kingdom model for end-stage liver disease; TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; LVP: Large volume paracentesis; SD: Standard deviation; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; INR: International normalized ratio.

Table 3 Causes of death							
Cause of death	TIPSS (<i>n</i> = 54)	LVP (<i>n</i> = 45)					
End-stage liver disease	29	31					
НСС	7	4					
Sepsis	5	7					
Cerebrovascular accident	2	1					
Other	11	2					

TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; LVP: Large volume paracentesis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

Table 4 Univariate analysis of selected pertinent variables predicting transplant-free survival (whole cohort)									
	В	SE	Wald	Df	P value	Hazard ratio	95%CI		
Age	0.011	0.010	1.259	1	0.262	1.011	0.992-1.031		
Albumin	-0.086	0.021	17.127	1	< 0.001	0.917	0.880-0.955		
Recurrent Ascites	-0.033	0.211	.025	1	0.874	0.967	0.640-1.462		
TIPSS	-0.213	0.207	1.055	1	0.304	0.808	0.539-1.213		
HCC at baseline	1.424	0.328	18.788	1	< 0.001	4.152	2.181-7.903		
MELD	0.010	0.021	0.248	1	0.619	1.010	0.970-1.053		
Sex (1 = male, 2 = female)	0.104	0.202	0.263	1	0.608	1.109	0.746-1.649		
CPS	0.169	0.065	6.716	1	0.010	1.184	1.042-1.345		
SBP	-0.068	0.251	0.074	1	0.786	0.934	0.571-1.527		

B: Beta coefficient; SE: Standard error; Df: Discriminative function; CI: Confidence interval; TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; CPS: Childs Pugh score; SBP: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

> TIPSS and LVP cohorts of 20% and 15% respectively. This compares with 5-year survival post-liver transplantation in Europe of 71% [24]. This supports and reinforces the view that liver transplantation is the best option in eligible patients with end stage liver disease (ESLD).

> There is only one randomized controlled trial of covered TIPSS vs LVP which showed improved TFS without increased risk of HE[25]. This trial only included patients with recurrent ascites. We include

Baishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 5 Multivariable analysis of selected independent variables predicting transplant-free survival (whole cohort)										
	В	SE	Wald	Df	P value	Hazard ratio	95%CI			
Age	0.006	0.011	0.267	1	0.605	1.006	0.984-1.028			
Albumin	-0.081	0.027	9.185	1	0.002	.923	0.876-0.972			
Recurrent ascites	0.041	0.252	0.026	1	0.872	1.042	0.635-1.708			
TIPSS	-0.219	0.303	0.521	1	0.471	0.804	0.444-1.456			
HCC at baseline	-1.548	0.363	18.232	1	< 0.001	0.213	0.104-0.433			
MELD	-0.033	.035	0.900	1	0.343	0.968	0.904-1.036			
Sex (1 = male, 2 = female)	0.134	0.222	0.364	1	0.546	1.144	0.739-1.769			
CPS	0.085	0.127	0.446	1	0.504	1.088	0.849-1.395			
SBP	-0.054	0.264	0.042	1	0.837	0.947	0.565-1.589			

B: Beta coefficient; SE: Standard error; Df: Discriminative function; CI: Confidence interval; TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; CPS: Childs Pugh score; SBP: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11313 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Patient recruitment, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt, large volume paracentesis. TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; LVP: Large volume paracentesis.

> both recurrent and refractory ascites and did not find the presence of recurrent ascites influenced TFS. The impact on TFS remains uncertain based on a recent network meta-analysis of 287 participants which showed that TIPSS resulted in greater resolution of ascites compared to LVP but no difference in mortality or adverse events [26]. Our real-world cohort reinforces these findings. A recent retrospective study of TIPSS vs LVP showed that TIPSS was not independently associated with TFS.

> Hepatic encephalopathy is one of the symptoms that significantly affect those with advanced liver failure. It can manifest both covertly and overtly in patients. Whilst a thorough assessment for the presence of HE is required before consideration for TIPSS, it remains a challenge to manage. We found that de novo HE rates were higher with TIPSS in the whole cohort and the cohort after excluding TIPSS contraindications. Bucsics et al [27] also found in their retrospective study of TIPSS vs LVP similar rates of de novo HE in both LVP and TIPSS cohorts.

> A recent study concluded that covered TIPSS resulted in superior control of ascites without increasing the risk for overt HE as compared to LVP[27]. We believe our data reflects the real-world experience, and has the strength of a larger sample size and follow up. There is interest in the role of rifaximin prophylactically before TIPSS in reducing the risk of HE after TIPSS[28]. We did not use rifaximin to prevent HE post TIPSS, as the evidence of benefit was published after the recruitment





DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11313 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 Kaplan Meier graph. A: Kaplan Meier graph of transplant-free survival for the whole cohort, (P = 0.30, log-rank); B: Kaplan Meier graph of transplantfree survival for propensity score-matched cohort, (P = 0.990, log-rank); C: Kaplan Meier graph of de-novo hepatic encephalopathy for the whole cohort, (P = 0.03, log-rank); D: Kaplan Meier graph of de novo hepatic encephalopathy for propensity score-matched cohort, (P < 0.029, log-rank). HE: Hepatic encephalopathy; TIPSS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt; LVP: Large volume paracentesis.

> period for our study. Controlled expansion stents can also reduce the risk of passive dilatation of stents and HE but further controlled data is required[29].

> We only had 7 patients (9%) of our TIPSS cohort undergo liver transplantation, which is far less than in the LVP cohort where 22 (29%) patients underwent transplantation. This suggests that patients with TIPSS may have been less likely to be referred for liver transplantation due to control of ascites. Furthermore, the current scoring methods of liver disease are not as helpful in refractory ascites. Our data would strongly suggest that all patients undergoing TIPSS must be considered for transplant at an early stage, in particular, those not responding to TIPSS or where there is deteriorating liver function.

> Serum albumin and hepatocellular carcinoma emerged as independent predictors of survival in keeping with recently published data[27]. However, there were differences in the rate of HCC at baseline which were not controlled by propensity score matching, unlike our study. We also performed a separate analysis excluding HCC and found no differences in TFS.

> An important finding of a recent study was the superior control of ascites post TIPSS with early TIPSS insertion at lower paracentesis frequencies and creatinine levels. Persistent ascites post-TIPSS was a predictor of liver transplantation and death[30]. We found that lack of effect of TIPSS, as judged by the increased need for LVP post TIPSS was associated with poor TFS. This would suggest that patients with poor efficacy after TIPSS should be considered for liver transplantation at an early stage.

> The diameter of the stent is an important consideration. There is conflicting literature on the impact of stent diameter on outcomes, and no recommendation can be made at this time[14]. In most of our



patients, the stents were dilated to 8 mm, and interestingly the use of 10mm diameter was associated with better TFS. We would advise caution in the interpretation of this finding due to the small numbers of patients with 10 mm stents. We did not find the post TIPSS PPG or proportional reduction of PPG post TIPSS associated with TIPSS efficacy, and this was also the case in the recent study by Piecha *et al* [30]. It is also worth noting that passive dilatation of TIPSS stent occurs even with 8 mm dilatation, although recent controlled expansion stents are much less prone to this phenomenon[29,31]. Therefore, the stent diameter and PPG at the time of TIPSS insertion is likely to change significantly over time.

The recognition of sarcopenia is an evolving consideration in those with ESLD. Sarcopenia is associated with increased mortality in those with ESLD and nutritional assessment is now recommended in patients considered for elective TIPSS[32]. However, the data for patients with sarcopenia and advanced cirrhosis and undergoing TIPSS is inconsistent. A recent study found that sarcopenia (defined as muscle mass alone) did not have an impact on survival in a similar cohort of patients with refractory ascites undergoing TIPSS[33]. It is important to recognise the lack of functional elements in this definition of sarcopenia as this may demonstrate different outcomes. Frailty, which incorporates this functional element[34] which should also be a consideration in future work. Whilst we did not comment on the degree of sarcopenia in our cohort, it may have been a contributing factor and should be a future consideration to research.

Our study does have some limitations. The retrospective nature introduces selection bias, but we selected consecutive patients in a real-world setting from a single institution, and the large sample size is a major strength. Moreover, we carefully controlled for key confounders using propensity score matching and still retained a total of 80 patients. The increased rate of transplantation in the LVP group also introduces bias concerning competing risks. However, we selected TFS to minimise this bias. PSM resulted in similar follow up for TIPSS and LVP groups which could help to minimise this confounder.

CONCLUSION

We found that after controlling for confounding factors, our retrospective real-world data shows that TFS was similar following covered TIPSS for refractory or recurrent ascites compared with LVP. The presence of HCC, low albumin, and poor response to TIPSS are associated with poor survival. The long term outcomes following TIPSS are poor. From this, we can conclude that liver transplantation must be considered for refractory ascites in selected patients. For patients not suitable for liver transplantation, other interventions for refractory ascites could be considered palliative. Further prospective studies are required in multicentre controlled trials to identify prognostic markers to aid patient selection for interventions for refractory ascites.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Refractory ascites has a 1-year survival rate of 50%. In selected patients, treatment options include liver transplantation (LT) or transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt (TIPSS).

Research motivation

We aimed to assess the outcomes of patients who underwent a TIPSS compared to large volume paracentesis (LVP).

Research objectives

We devised a retrospective study of patients who underwent a covered TIPSS or LVP for refractory or recurrent ascites over 7 years.

Research methods

Primary outcome was transplant-free survival (TFS). Further analysis was done with propensity score matching (PSM).

Research results

There were 150 patients [TIPSS group (n = 75), LVP group (n = 75)]. Seven patients in the TIPSS group underwent LT *vs* 22 patients in the LVP group. Overall median follow up, 20 (0.47-179.53) mo. In the whole cohort, there was no difference in TFS [hazard ratio (HR): 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.54-1.21], but lower *de novo* hepatic encephalopathy with LVP (HR: 0.44, 95% CI: 0.20-0.96). These findings were confirmed following PSM analysis. On multivariate analysis albumin and HCC at baseline were associated with TFS.

Zaishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Research conclusions

Covered TIPSS results in similar TFS compared to LVP in cirrhotic patients with advanced liver failure. Liver transplant assessment should be considered in all potential candidates for TIPSS.

Research perspectives

Future research should be targeted at controlled studies are recommended to select appropriate patients for TIPSS.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Tripathi D conceptualized the study design; Dhaliwal A collected the study data; Tripathi D performed the analysis; Dhaliwal A drafted the manuscript; Dhaliwal A, Tripathi D, Karkhanis S and Merhzad H edited and revised the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was performed following authorisation by the Clinical Audit and Registries Management Service, University Hospitals of Birmingham Research Governance and Audit team.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Dhiraj Tripathi has received speaker fees from GORE medical.

Data sharing statement: All data was anonymised and no patient identifiable information has been used. Consent for data use obtained by authorisation by the Clinical Audit and Registries Management Service, University Hospitals of Birmingham Research Governance and Audit team.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United Kingdom

ORCID number: Amritpal Dhaliwal 0000-0001-5919-6456; Homoyoon Merhzad 0000-0001-5413-2074; Salil Karkhanis 0000-0003-2119-8530; Dhiraj Tripathi 0000-0001-9043-6382.

S-Editor: Wang DM L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang DM

REFERENCES

- Blachier M, Leleu H, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Valla DC, Roudot-Thoraval F. The burden of liver disease in Europe: a 1 review of available epidemiological data. J Hepatol 2013; 58: 593-608 [PMID: 23419824 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.12.005]
- 2 Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. Lancet 2014; 383: 1749-1761 [PMID: 24480518 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60121-5]
- GBD 2017 Cirrhosis Collaborators. The global, regional, and national burden of cirrhosis by cause in 195 countries and 3 territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 245-266 [PMID: 31981519 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30349-8]
- 4 D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Pagliaro L. Natural history and prognostic indicators of survival in cirrhosis: a systematic review of 118 studies. J Hepatol 2006; 44: 217-231 [PMID: 16298014 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2005.10.013]
- Moore KP, Wong F, Gines P, Bernardi M, Ochs A, Salerno F, Angeli P, Porayko M, Moreau R, Garcia-Tsao G, Jimenez W, Planas R, Arroyo V. The management of ascites in cirrhosis: report on the consensus conference of the International Ascites Club. Hepatology 2003; 38: 258-266 [PMID: 12830009 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50315]
- Schuppan D, Afdhal NH. Liver cirrhosis. Lancet 2008; 371: 838-851
- 7 Suk KT. Hepatic venous pressure gradient: clinical use in chronic liver disease. Clin Mol Hepatol 2014; 20: 6-14 [PMID: 24757653 DOI: 10.3350/cmh.2014.20.1.6]
- Moore KP, Aithal GP. Guidelines on the management of ascites in cirrhosis. Gut 2006; 55 Suppl 6: vi1-v12 [PMID: 16966752 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2006.099580]
- Bernardi M, Fornalè L, Di Marco C, Trevisani F, Baraldini M, Gasbarrini A, De Collibus C, Zacà F, Ligabue A, Colantoni A. Hyperdynamic circulation of advanced cirrhosis: a re-appraisal based on posture-induced changes in hemodynamics. J Hepatol 1995; 22: 309-318 [PMID: 7608482 DOI: 10.1016/0168-8278(95)80284-3]
- 10 Lang F, Tschernko E, Schulze E, Ottl I, Ritter M, Völkl H, Hallbrucker C, Häussinger D. Hepatorenal reflex regulating kidney function. Hepatology 1991; 14: 590-594 [PMID: 1916660 DOI: 10.1016/0270-9139(91)90043-u]
- Jalan R, Hayes PC. Sodium handling in patients with well compensated cirrhosis is dependent on the severity of liver 11



disease and portal pressure. Gut 2000; 46: 527-533 [PMID: 10716683 DOI: 10.1136/gut.46.4.527]

- 12 Haydon GH, Neuberger J. Liver transplantation of patients in end-stage cirrhosis. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2000; 14: 1049-1073 [PMID: 11139354 DOI: 10.1053/bega.2000.0146]
- 13 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 406-460 [PMID: 29653741 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.024]
- 14 Aithal GP, Palaniyappan N, China L, Härmälä S, Macken L, Ryan JM, Wilkes EA, Moore K, Leithead JA, Hayes PC, O'Brien AJ, Verma S. Guidelines on the management of ascites in cirrhosis. Gut 2021; 70: 9-29 [PMID: 33067334 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321790
- Fagiuoli S, Bruno R, Debernardi Venon W, Schepis F, Vizzutti F, Toniutto P, Senzolo M, Caraceni P, Salerno F, Angeli P, 15 Cioni R, Vitale A, Grosso M, De Gasperi A, D'Amico G, Marzano A; AISF TIPS Special Conference. Consensus conference on TIPS management: Techniques, indications, contraindications. Dig Liver Dis 2017; 49: 121-137 [PMID: 27884494 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2016.10.011]
- 16 Tripathi D, Stanley AJ, Hayes PC, Travis S, Armstrong MJ, Tsochatzis EA, Rowe IA, Roslund N, Ireland H, Lomax M, Leithead JA, Mehrzad H, Aspinall RJ, McDonagh J, Patch D. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt in the management of portal hypertension. Gut 2020; 69: 1173-1192 [PMID: 32114503 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-320221]
- 17 Quiroga J, Sangro B, Núñez M, Bilbao I, Longo J, García-Villarreal L, Zozaya JM, Betés M, Herrero JI, Prieto J. Transjugular intrahepatic portal-systemic shunt in the treatment of refractory ascites: effect on clinical, renal, humoral, and hemodynamic parameters. *Hepatology* 1995; 21: 986-994 [PMID: 7705810]
- 18 Sanyal AJ, Freedman AM, Luketic VA, Purdum PP 3rd, Shiffman ML, DeMeo J, Cole PE, Tisnado J. The natural history of portal hypertension after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. Gastroenterology 1997; 112: 889-898 [PMID: 9041251 DOI: 10.1053/gast.1997.v112.pm9041251]
- Ochs A, Rössle M, Haag K, Hauenstein KH, Deibert P, Siegerstetter V, Huonker M, Langer M, Blum HE. The transjugular 19 intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt procedure for refractory ascites. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 1192-1197 [PMID: 7700312 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199505043321803]
- 20 Boyer TD, Haskal ZJ; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. The role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal hypertension. *Hepatology* 2005; **41**: 386-400 [PMID: 15660434 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20559]
- 21 Maleux G, Perez-Gutierrez NA, Evrard S, Mroue A, Le Moine O, Laleman W, Nevens F. Covered stents are better than uncovered stents for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts in cirrhotic patients with refractory ascites: a retrospective cohort study. Acta Gastroenterol Belg 2010; 73: 336-341 [PMID: 21086935]
- 22 Qi X, Tian Y, Zhang W, Yang Z, Guo X. Covered versus bare stents for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2017; 10: 32-41 [PMID: 28286557 DOI: 10.1177/1756283X16671286]
- Ridgeway G, McCaffrey DF, Morral AR, Burgette LF, Griffin BA. Toolkit for Weighting and Analysis of Nonequivalent 23 Groups: A Tutorial for the R TWANG Package. RAND Corporation 2014 [DOI: 10.7249/tl136.1]
- 24 Adam R, Karam V, Cailliez V, O Grady JG, Mirza D, Cherqui D, Klempnauer J, Salizzoni M, Pratschke J, Jamieson N, Hidalgo E, Paul A, Andujar RL, Lerut J, Fisher L, Boudjema K, Fondevila C, Soubrane O, Bachellier P, Pinna AD, Berlakovich G, Bennet W, Pinzani M, Schemmer P, Zieniewicz K, Romero CJ, De Simone P, Ericzon BG, Schneeberger S, Wigmore SJ, Prous JF, Colledan M, Porte RJ, Yilmaz S, Azoulay D, Pirenne J, Line PD, Trunecka P, Navarro F, Lopez AV, De Carlis L, Pena SR, Kochs E, Duvoux C; all the other 126 contributing centers (www.eltr.org) and the European Liver and Intestine Transplant Association (ELITA). 2018 Annual Report of the European Liver Transplant Registry (ELTR) - 50-year evolution of liver transplantation. Transpl Int 2018; 31: 1293-1317 [PMID: 30259574 DOI: 10.1111/tri.13358
- 25 Bureau C, Thabut D, Oberti F, Dharancy S, Carbonell N, Bouvier A, Mathurin P, Otal P, Cabarrou P, Péron JM, Vinel JP. Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunts With Covered Stents Increase Transplant-Free Survival of Patients With Cirrhosis and Recurrent Ascites. Gastroenterology 2017; 152: 157-163 [PMID: 27663604 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.09.016]
- Benmassaoud A, Freeman SC, Roccarina D, Plaz Torres MC, Sutton AJ, Cooper NJ, Iogna Prat L, Cowlin M, Milne EJ, 26 Hawkins N, Davidson BR, Pavlov CS, Thorburn D, Tsochatzis E, Gurusamy KS. Treatment for ascites in adults with decompensated liver cirrhosis: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020; 1: CD013123 [PMID: 31978257 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013123.pub2]
- 27 Bucsics T, Hoffman S, Grünberger J, Schoder M, Matzek W, Stadlmann A, Mandorfer M, Schwabl P, Ferlitsch A, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Trauner M, Karner J, Karnel F, Reiberger T. ePTFE-TIPS vs repetitive LVP plus albumin for the treatment of refractory ascites in patients with cirrhosis. Liver Int 2018; 38: 1036-1044 [PMID: 29091351 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13615]
- 28 Bureau C, Thabut D, Jezequel C, Archambeaud I, D'Alteroche L, Dharancy S, Borentain P, Oberti F, Plessier A, De Ledinghen V, Ganne-Carrié N, Carbonell N, Rousseau V, Sommet A, Péron JM, Vinel JP. The Use of Rifaximin in the Prevention of Overt Hepatic Encephalopathy After Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Intern Med 2021; 174: 633-640 [PMID: 33524293 DOI: 10.7326/M20-0202]
- Coronado WM, Ju C, Bullen J, Kapoor B. Predictors of Occurrence and Risk of Hepatic Encephalopathy After TIPS Creation: A 15-Year Experience. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2020; 43: 1156-1164 [PMID: 32435836 DOI: 10.1007/s00270-020-02512-7
- 30 Piecha F, Radunski UK, Ozga AK, Steins D, Drolz A, Horvatits T, Spink C, Ittrich H, Benten D, Lohse AW, Sinning C, Kluwe J. Ascites control by TIPS is more successful in patients with a lower paracentesis frequency and is associated with improved survival. JHEP Rep 2019; 1: 90-98 [PMID: 32039356 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2019.04.001]
- 31 Schepis F, Vizzutti F, Garcia-Tsao G, Marzocchi G, Rega L, De Maria N, Di Maira T, Gitto S, Caporali C, Colopi S, De Santis M, Arena U, Rampoldi A, Airoldi A, Cannavale A, Fanelli F, Mosconi C, Renzulli M, Agazzi R, Nani R, Quaretti P, Fiorina I, Moramarco L, Miraglia R, Luca A, Bruno R, Fagiuoli S, Golfieri R, Torricelli P, Di Benedetto F, Belli LS, Banchelli F, Laffi G, Marra F, Villa E. Under-dilated TIPS Associate With Efficacy and Reduced Encephalopathy in a



Prospective, Non-randomized Study of Patients With Cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 16: 1153-1162.e7 [PMID: 29378312 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.01.029]

- 32 Tandon P, Ney M, Irwin I, Ma MM, Gramlich L, Bain VG, Esfandiari N, Baracos V, Montano-Loza AJ, Myers RP. Severe muscle depletion in patients on the liver transplant wait list: its prevalence and independent prognostic value. Liver Transpl 2012; 18: 1209-1216 [PMID: 22740290 DOI: 10.1002/lt.23495]
- Benmassaoud A, Roccarina D, Arico F, Leandro G, Yu B, Cheng F, Yu D, Patch D, Tsochatzis E. Sarcopenia Does Not 33 Worsen Survival in Patients With Cirrhosis Undergoing Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt for Refractory Ascites. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115: 1911-1914 [PMID: 33156111 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000959]
- Lai JC, Sonnenday CJ, Tapper EB, Duarte-Rojo A, Dunn MA, Bernal W, Carey EJ, Dasarathy S, Kamath BM, Kappus 34 MR, Montano-Loza AJ, Nagai S, Tandon P. Frailty in liver transplantation: An expert opinion statement from the American Society of Transplantation Liver and Intestinal Community of Practice. Am J Transplant 2019; 19: 1896-1906 [PMID: 30980701 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.15392]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

