

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77786

Title: One-stage resection of four genotypes of bilateral multiple primary lung

adenocarcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06290122 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-23 07:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-25 07:08

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reports a rare case of bilateral simultaneous multiple primary lung adenocarcinoma of four different genotypes. The manuscipt is well written, however, you should present the time of the admission to your hosptial and the details of follow-up period in this manuscript.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World J	ournal of Clinical Cases
--------------------------	--------------------------

Manuscript NO: 77786

Title: One-stage resection of four genotypes of bilateral multiple primary lung

adenocarcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06250974 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Instructor, Lecturer, Staff Physician, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-29 08:11

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-06 05:10

Review time: 7 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. -1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes -2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? Yes. -3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes. -4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes -5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Not applicable. -6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? Yes -7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Yes -8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Yes -9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not applicable. -10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? Yes -11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Yes -12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? -English writing should be improved throughout the article. For example, a fragmented sentence was found in Case presentation section as follows: "including blood routine examination, liver and kidney function, electrolyte test, ..." -It should not be mixed with the American and British English spelling. For example, "tumours" in personal and family history and "tumor" in



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Laboratory examinations. -13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement -Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and -The CARE checklist mentions the "strengths and limitations in your approach to this case." Therefore, please state the strengths and limitations of the approach to this case in the manuscript in the discussion section. -14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes