

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77830

Title: Ectopic pregnancy and failed oocyte retrieval during IVF stimulation: A report of two cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03985747

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DPhil

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Postdoc

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-26 07:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-26 08:58

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1- Improve the introduction section and consider previous reports about like EP during controlled ovarian stimulation. 2- Discuss more details regarding the cause of this type EP during IVF procedure and if related to doses of medicine for ovarian stimulation in previous research.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77830

Title: Ectopic pregnancy and failed oocyte retrieval during IVF stimulation: A report of two cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04012716

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sudan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-30 08:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-30 09:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

dear editor; I am very pleased that you have directed me to evaluate your article. First of all, it is very nice that the article is written beautifully and simply and clearly. Especially in the table and figure, the characteristics of both cases are expressed clearly and simply. I just want to make three points. 1. both cases have a history of tubal obstruction, but by which method was this diagnosis made (HSG ? or diagnostic L/S ?) 2. If the diagnosis was made with a particularly diagnostic surgical method, if the diagnosis was hydrosalpenc or a similar infective process, why was salpingectomy not performed before IVF? 3. Were both cases not recommended coitd prohibition during the coitd COS treatment process, if not, could hcg preparations similar to cracking needles have been applied to these cases?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77830

Title: Ectopic pregnancy and failed oocyte retrieval during IVF stimulation: A report of two cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05374991

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Instructor, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-31 21:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-13 23:32

Review time: 13 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The cases are interesting but the presentation lacks context and important clinical information. It is hard to follow the clinical scenario of each case since the histories of the two cases were mixed in the text. Please write the two cases separately.