

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #	
TITLE				
Title	1.	Identify the report as a systematic review	1	
ABSTRACT				
Structured summary	2	the structured abstract included as background, aim, methods, results and conclusion.	3	
INTRODUCTION				
Rationale	3	Describe in the INTRODUCTION	4-6	
Objectives	4	Describe in the INTRODUCTION	7	
METHODS	<u>'</u>			
Protocol and registration	5	The research strategy is described in the Methods. Registration does not apply	7	
Eligibility criteria	6	criteria for eligibility are described in METHODS	7	
Information sources	7	databases with dates of coverage are described in METHODS	7	
Search	8	research item are described in METHODS	7	
Study selection	9	the process for selecting studies is described in METHODS	7	
Data collection process	10	data extracted by independent the reviewers	7	
Data items	11	data items presented in RESULTS	8	
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	quality of individual studies was assessed	7	
Summary measures	13	data extraction from every individual studies	7	
Synthesis of results	14	independent the reviewers extracted and summarized data	7	



PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Page 1 of 2

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Risk of bias across studies	15	quality of studies was assessed	7-8
Additional analyses	16	No additional analyses	
RESULTS			
Study selection	17	study selection results can be found in RESULTS and a flow diagram.	7
Study characteristics	18	Data were extracted by the reviewers and eventually reported using summary statistics, as shown in Tables	7-8
Risk of bias within studies	19	level assessment of available studies can be found in RESULTS and Table 1	8
Results of individual studies	20	the data extracted from individual studies presented in RESULTS and Tables	8-9
Synthesis of results	21	summary data can be found in RESULTS and Tables	8-9
Risk of bias across studies	22	does not apply	
Additional analysis	23	outcomes of qualitative assessment of studies were described in RESULTS and Tables	8
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the success rate of endoscopic repair for RVF	11
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations of this review	11-12
Conclusions	26	give a conclusion on technical advantages and the requirement of future research	12-13
FUNDING			
Funding	27	No funding sources	

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097