

Response to Editor & Reviewer's Comments

(Manuscript ID#: 77959)

July 30, 2022

Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Ja Hyeon Ku, Bao-Gan Peng, and Maurizio Serati.
Editors-in-Chief
World Journal of Clinical Cases

Dear Editor:

We would like to re-submit the attached manuscript entitled "Recovery of brachial plexus injury after bronchopleural fistula closure surgery based on electrodiagnostic study : A case report. The manuscript NO is 77959.

The manuscript has been carefully rechecked and appropriate changes have been made in accordance with the editors' and reviewers' suggestions. As requested, the revisions have been indicated in **red text** in the revised manuscript. The responses to their comments have been prepared and attached herewith.

We thank you and the reviewers for the thoughtful suggestions and insights, which have enriched the manuscript and produced a more balanced and better account of the research. We hope that the revised manuscript is now suitable for publication in your journal.

Thank you for your consideration. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,
Da-Sol Kim, MD
Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Jeonbuk National University Medical School, 20,
Geonjiro, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54907, Republic of Korea.
Email: dsolkim@jbnu.ac.kr
Fax: 82-63-254-4145

REVIEWER & ASSOCIATE EDITOR COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Response: Thank you for your comments. We hope that this paper will be of great help to clinicians in various fields. For the better language quality as you commented, we have made English proofreading through professional English language editing company once again. Editing certificate is attached below.



(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

1. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights.

Response: We provided the original figures in a single PowerPoint file as recommended. All the figures we provided are original, and we understood about the indication of the author's copyright.

2. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in Revised manuscript reflects English proofreading. PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Response: All the figures we provided are original, and we added the copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture.

3. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response: All the tables are provided according to the instruction you commented.

4. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript.

Response: Thank you for your comments. We have provided latest study results in the manuscript.

5. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Response: We appreciate your information. This RCA tool will be of great help to our research.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: It is a well-design study adding new information to the literature. According to my knowledge, it is a novel paper in its field opening new horizons for further evidence. Authors, succeed to present their findings in a clear way. In addition, the object as well as the results are appropriately discussed in the context of previous literature explaining the importance of the manuscript in its field. Authors succeed to present their data in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. Therefore, I have no corrections or further work to propose for the improvement of the manuscript and therefore it can be published unaltered.

Response: We appreciate your thoughtful comments. We hope that this paper will be of great help to clinicians in various fields. For the better language quality as you commented, we have made English proofreading through professional English language editing company once again. Editing certificate is attached below.



Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is a good report about surgical injury of brachial plexus. The illustrations are good. Methodology is good. Introduction and discussion sections are adequate.

References are up to date

Response: We sincerely appreciate your comments. We hope that this paper will be of great help to clinicians in various fields.