

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78172

Title: Endovascular treatment versus drug therapy alone in patients with mild ischemic

stroke and large infarct cores

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05660079 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chairman

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-07 05:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-12 23:57

Review time: 5 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the efficacy of endovascular treatment and drug therapy alone in mild ischemic stroke patients with large infarct cores. The study is very well designed and the results are interesting. Methods are described in detail. The reviewer recommends to accept this manuscript after a minor editing.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78172

Title: Endovascular treatment versus drug therapy alone in patients with mild ischemic

stroke and large infarct cores

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05824505 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: N/A, Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-07 05:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-12 23:57

Review time: 5 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Recent evidence on whether endovascular therapy should be recommended to patients with large artery occlusive disease are lacking. Although patients with acute ischemic stroke caused by anterior large vessel occlusion often prefer endovascular therapy which can increase recanalization rates and improve the clinical outcomes, controversy remains regarding whether acute ischemic stroke-large vessel occlusion patients need endovascular therapy. This study was designed to discuss the efficacy of endovascular therapy in patients with acute ischemic stroke-large vessel occlusion and large infarct cores. The study is performed well. The results are discussed with updated references. Minor comments: 1. A minor editing is required. 2. Subtitles should be added to the methods section. 3. The references should be edited according to the journal's guideline.