

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78209

**Title:** Coptis, Pinellia and Scutellaria as a promising new drug combination for the treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection as demonstrated by data mining and network pharmacology

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05072111

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Poland

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-07-24 07:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-07-28 11:08

**Review time:** 4 Days and 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe that the manuscript is very well written and has many interesting results. Below I would like to present a short list of modifications, the introduction of which will additionally improve the quality of the article: - Why was this article classified as "review"? In my opinion, it should be categorized as an original article - this is because both experimental research and in silico research have been carried out. - In "Abstract" and "Conclusions" please change "H. Pylori" to "H. pylori" - Section "2.2.2. H. pylori culture": a) "cagA+" -> CagA+ b) Please add information about the concentration of blood used to prepare agar plates c) Please add more information about "Brucella agar" and "culture box" (company, and so on) - "along with 399, 499, and 1908" -> along with 399, 499, and 1908 - "Heat-clearing drugs include Scutellaria, Coptis chnensis, and Rhubarb" -> please add a reference - "Mild medicines are Pinelliae, Evodia rutaecarpa and Clove" -> please add a reference - "In the study, based the high frequency ..." -> In the study, based on the high frequency ... - "Chen et al. revealed that baicalin and baicalein both ... vacA gene" -> Chen et al. revealed that baicalin and baicalein both ... vacA gene (the name of the gene should be written using italics) - "... exert bacteriolytic effects against H. pylori" -> ... exert bacteriolytic effects against H. pylori ("against" should be written without using italics)

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78209

**Title:** Coptis, Pinellia and Scutellaria as a promising new drug combination for the treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection as demonstrated by data mining and network pharmacology

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05504262

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** DA, DNB, MBBS, MNAMS

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Indonesia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-05 08:28

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-05 08:31

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Then paper is very well written , but English language and punctuation is not adequate at many places especially in discussion part. Kindly do a through proof reading.