

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78306

Title: Clinical characteristics and prognosis of NSCLC patients with liver metastasis: a population-based study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04966996

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-21 14:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-21 16:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an excellent manuscript, well design and performed, and nicely written. It is based on a well contrasted data base. The results obtained provide data meaningful and informative for clinical decision-making. Only minor questions should be corrected: Material and Methods Section - As the study is based on SEER data, please state in the text that it covers US population. - Authors mentioned that "Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 4475 eligible patients were included in this study". But those inclusion and exclusion criteria are not detailed in the text. Please, explain those criteria. - Authors mention that "Patients with incomplete data regarding distant metastatic sites or survival were excluded". This an exclusion criteria and should appear in the inclusion/exclusion criteria list. - Statistical analysis. Authors mention, "All cases were randomly divided into a training cohort and a validation cohort (7:3 ratio)". But the criteria followed to select both cohorts is not explained.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78306

Title: Clinical characteristics and prognosis of NSCLC patients with liver metastasis: a population-based study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05232251

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-28 04:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-07 10:26

Review time: 9 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No substantial definite conclusion can be drawn. The authors should highlight the interpretation of the trends observed and how it will be useful for the future patients.