

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78319

**Title:** Comparing the efficacy of different DXM regimens for maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma in standard-risk patients non-eligible for transplantation

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05322345

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Croatia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-06-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-07-05 14:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-07-05 14:24

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This study entitled “Comparing the efficacy of different DXM regimens for maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma in standard-risk patients non-eligible for transplantation” seems to have been generally well executed and written. Furthermore, I believe that this topic will be of great interest to the readers. I have only a few suggestions to further improve the quality of the paper.

**Title** Please do include abbreviations (i.e., DXM, please write it in full) in your title.

**Materials and Methods** What if patients received an additional corticosteroid treatment by any reason during the study period. That could affect the study results and therefore should represent an exclusion criteria of your study.

**Statistical analysis** Sample size calculation was not performed. Why? Probably the sample size of your study is relatively small and that should be mentioned in your study limitations at the end of Discussion.

**Discussion** Please start this section with main findings of your study.

Author reply:

First, I had wrote the full name of DXM in paper title. Sencond, I had added exclusion criteria in my study. Third, the sample size of our study is relatively small and that had be mentioned in our study limitations at the end of Discussion.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78319

**Title:** Comparing the efficacy of different DXM regimens for maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma in standard-risk patients non-eligible for transplantation

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06217031

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DNB, MD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-06-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-08-11 02:36

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-19 00:45

**Review time:** 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. title and abstract correlates well with study 2.remove and from key words  
3.methodology is clear, adequate and reproducible 4. results are clear 5. discussion is  
adequate and appropriate 6. limitations and conclusions of study are explained well  
6.tables and fugures are sufficient and explanatory

Author reply:

Thank you for your review. Best wishes for you.