Dear editors and reviewers:

On behalf of my co-authors, we would like to express our great appreciation to you and reviewers for comments on our paper and thank you very much for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript. We have studied reviewer's comments carefully and have made revision. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Responds to the reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting study of effect of medical care linkage-continuous management mode on endovascular interventional therapy for posterior circulation cerebral infarctions. The manuscript is very well written. After a minor editing, it can be accepted for publication.

Response: We are very grateful to your comments and minor editing was conduct on our manuscript according to comment.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: Posterior circulation cerebral infarction is dangerous, especially large-area infarction in important parts, such as the brain stem, which is often accompanied by high mortality or severe disability rates. Medical and nursing care are closely allied to provide continuity of health services for patients with posterior circulation cerebral infarction. In this study, the authors provided more abundant theoretical data for the innovation and deepening the development of the clinical continuity management model to provide better health services for patients with posterior circulation cerebral infarction undergoing endovascular intervention. The designed of the study is very well, and the methods are clearly described. The results are interesting and well discussed. A minor comments: 1. A minor editing is required. 2. Please list the limit of the study and make a short discussion. 3. References should be edited and updated.

Response: We appreciate your constructive comments which are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. A minor editing was conducted and the limitation of our study was added at the end of the discussion part. At the end of manuscript, all the references were edited and updated.

## Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

## **Response: Thank you very much for this comment.**

## Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technologybased open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <u>https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.</u>

Response: we are very grateful to your comments for the manuscript. According with your advice, the three-line tables and the article highlights were added at the end of our manuscript. Moreover, we utilized the RCA database to update our reference, which is very helpful for our article revision.

Best regards,