# World Journal of **Diabetes**

World J Diabetes 2023 January 15; 14(1): 1-61





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

# World Journal of Diabetes

#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 1 January 15, 2023

#### **REVIEW**

Current scenario of traditional medicines in management of diabetic foot ulcers: A review 1 Rayate AS, Nagoba BS, Mumbre SS, Mavani HB, Gavkare AM, Deshpande AS

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- 17 Advances in microfluidic chips based on islet hormone-sensing techniques Li W, Peng YF
- Association of vitamin D and magnesium with insulin sensitivity and their influence on glycemic control 26 Wan Nik WNFH, Zulkeflee HA, Ab Rahim SN, Tuan Ismail TS
- 35 Future applications of exosomes delivering resolvins and cytokines in facilitating diabetic foot ulcer healing

Littig JPB, Moellmer R, Agrawal DK, Rai V

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

48 Gut region-specific TNFR expression: TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in duodenal myenteric ganglia of diabetic rats

Barta BP, Onhausz B, AL Doghmi A, Szalai Z, Balázs J, Bagyánszki M, Bódi N



#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 1 January 15, 2023

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Diabetes, Mohamed Zaiou, PhD, Professor, Jean Lamour Institute, 2 allée André Guinier - Campus Artem, Nancy 54000, France. mohamed.zaiou@univ-lorraine.fr

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Diabetes (WJD, World J Diabetes) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of diabetes with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WID mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of diabetes and covering a wide range of topics including risk factors for diabetes, diabetes complications, experimental diabetes mellitus, type 1 diabetes mellitus, type 2 diabetes mellitus, gestational diabetes, diabetic angiopathies, diabetic cardiomyopathies, diabetic coma, diabetic ketoacidosis, diabetic nephropathies, diabetic neuropathies, Donohue syndrome, fetal macrosomia, and prediabetic state.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WID is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJD as 4.560; IF without journal self cites: 4.450; 5-year IF: 5.370; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.62; Ranking: 62 among 146 journals in endocrinology and metabolism; and Quartile category: Q2.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yn-Xi Chen; Production Department Director: Xn Gno; Editorial Office Director: Yun-Xiaojiao Wu.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Diabetes	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204		
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS		
ISSN 1948-9358 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287		
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH		
June 15, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240		
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS		
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288		
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT		
Lu Cai, Md. Shahidul Islam, Michael Horowitz	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208		
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE		
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242		
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS		
January 15, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239		
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION		
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com		

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

# World Journal of Diabetes

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Diabetes 2023 January 15; 14(1): 48-61

DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v14.i1.48

**Basic Study** 

ISSN 1948-9358 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Gut region-specific TNFR expression: TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in duodenal myenteric ganglia of diabetic rats

Bence Pál Barta, Benita Onhausz, Afnan AL Doghmi, Zita Szalai, János Balázs, Mária Bagyánszki, Nikolett Bódi

Specialty type: Endocrinology and metabolism

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer

reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: He Z, China; Wu QN, China

Received: July 5, 2022 Peer-review started: July 5, 2022 First decision: July 31, 2022 Revised: August 16, 2022 Accepted: October 27, 2022 Article in press: October 27, 2022 Published online: January 15, 2023



Bence Pál Barta, Benita Onhausz, Afnan AL Doghmi, Zita Szalai, János Balázs, Mária Bagyánszki, Nikolett Bódi, Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Neuroscience, University of Szeged, Szeged 6726, Hungary

Corresponding author: Mária Bagyánszki, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Neuroscience, University of Szeged, Közép fasor 52, Szeged 6726, Hungary. bmarcsi@bio.u-szeged.hu

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Cytokines are essential in autoimmune inflammatory processes that accompany type 1 diabetes. Tumor necrosis factor alpha plays a key role among others in modulating enteric neuroinflammation, however, it has a dual role in cell degeneration or survival depending on different TNFRs. In general, TNFR1 is believed to trigger apoptosis, while TNFR2 promotes cell regeneration. The importance of the neuronal microenvironment has been recently highlighted in gut region-specific diabetic enteric neuropathy, however, the expression and alterations of different TNFRs in the gastrointestinal tract has not been reported.

#### AIM

To investigate the TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression in myenteric ganglia and their environment in different intestinal segments of diabetic rats.

#### **METHODS**

Ten weeks after the onset of hyperglycemia, gut segments were taken from the duodenum, ileum and colon of streptozotocin-induced (60 mg/body weight kg i.p.) diabetic (n = 17), insulin-treated diabetic (n = 15) and sex- and age-matched control (n = 15) rats. Myenteric plexus whole-mount preparations were prepared from different gut regions for TNFR1/HuCD or TNFR2/HuCD double-labeling fluorescent immunohistochemistry. TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression was evaluated by post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy on ultrathin sections of myenteric ganglia. TNFRs levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in muscle/myenteric plexus-containing (MUSCLE-MP) tissue homogenates from different gut segments and experimental conditions.

RESULTS



A distinct region-dependent TNFRs expression was detected in controls. The density of TNFR1labeling gold particles was lowest, while TNFR2 density was highest in duodenal ganglia and a decreased TNFRs expression from proximal to distal segments was observed in MUSCLE-MP homogenates. In diabetics, the TNFR2 density was only significantly altered in the duodenum with decrease in the ganglia  $(0.32 \pm 0.02 vs 0.45 \pm 0.04, P < 0.05)$ , while no significant changes in TNFR1 density was observed. In diabetic MUSCLE-MP homogenates, both TNFRs levels significantly decreased in the duodenum (TNFR1:  $4.06 \pm 0.65$  vs  $20.32 \pm 3.1$ , P < 0.001; TNFR2: 11.72 $\pm 0.39 vs$  15.91  $\pm 1.04$ , P < 0.01), which markedly influenced the TNFR2/TNFR1 proportion in both the ganglia and their muscular environment. Insulin treatment had controversial effects on TNFR expression.

#### CONCLUSION

Our findings show diabetes-related region-dependent changes in TNFR expression and suggest that TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in myenteric ganglia in the duodenum of type 1 diabetic rats.

Key Words: Tumor necrosis factor alpha receptors; Myenteric ganglia; Enteric neurons; Neuronal environment; Diabetes; Insulin

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Our findings demonstrate an intestinal segment-specific expression of TNFRs in myenteric ganglia and their muscular environment in type 1 diabetic rats. TNFR2 density was significantly altered only in the duodenum of diabetics with a decrease in the ganglia, while no significant changes in TNFR1 were observed. In diabetic muscle/myenteric plexus homogenates, the levels of both TNFRs decreased significantly in the duodenum, which markedly influenced the TNFR2/TNFR1 proportion in both the ganglia and muscular environment. These results highlight that TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in myenteric ganglia in the duodenum of diabetic rats. Insulin treatment had controversial effects on TNFR expression.

Citation: Barta BP, Onhausz B, AL Doghmi A, Szalai Z, Balázs J, Bagyánszki M, Bódi N. Gut region-specific TNFR expression: TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in duodenal myenteric ganglia of diabetic rats. World J Diabetes 2023; 14(1): 48-61

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v14/i1/48.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v14.i1.48

#### INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, the individual milieu of the different intestinal regions has been increasingly highlighted in health and disease[1,2]. Numerous studies emphasize the key role of gut microbial dysbiosis[3,4], involving regionally distinct alterations in the intestinal microbiota in type 1 diabetic rats [5]. Diabetes-related gut region-dependent accumulation of reactive oxygen species and segmentspecific activation of endogenous antioxidant defense system[6,7] have clearly outlined the imbalance between antioxidative and oxidative mechanisms<sup>[8]</sup>. Strong shift in balance between anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory processes [9-11] has also been characterized in type 1 diabetes. All these regiondependent molecular dysfunctions contribute to the regional diabetic damage affecting the enteric nervous system and have functional consequences, such as disturbed gut motility[12-14].

Type 1 diabetes is accompanied by autoimmune inflammatory processes, therefore different cytokines, such as inflammatory mediators, also play an essential role in enteric neuroinflammation[15]. Naturally, as cytokines have global effects on intestinal homeostasis in healthy and pathophysiological conditions[16-18], it may be of great interest to study the role and alterations of different cytokines in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract.

The variety of ligands and receptors that belong to tumor necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily is characterized by a conserved TNF homology domain at the C-terminal[19]. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) is mainly expressed by immune cells and macrophages[20], however, it is also produced by central and enteric neurons[10,21,22]. TNFa can bind to two functionally distinct transmembrane receptors, TNFR1 and TNFR2. TNFR1 is ubiquitously expressed on almost all cells. In contrast, TNFR2 expression is more restricted to some T cell subpopulations, endothelial cells, certain types of neurons and glial cells[23]. TNFRs have only 28% homology in their extracellular ligand-binding domains, and



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

no homology between the cytoplasmatic domains<sup>[24]</sup>, suggesting their capability to elicit distinct intracellular response.

Despite that  $TNF\alpha$  is primarily thought to be a powerful pro-inflammatory cytokine[17], it has a dual role in cell survival/cell death depending on which TNFR it binds to. TNFR1 contains an intracellular death domain through which it triggers cell degeneration and apoptosis, while TNFR2 contributes to cell regeneration and survival [23,25]. Therefore, selective TNFR1 inhibitors obstruct the pro-inflammatory TNFR1 signaling without losing the regulatory effect of TNFR2 in the treatment of autoimmune diseases[26]. Blocking the TNFR1-mediated TNF signaling pathway significantly protects rats from obesity and obesity-induced insulin resistance<sup>[27]</sup>. TNFR2 facilitates the differentiation of induced regulatory T cells, while TNFR1 mediates the inflammatory T cell differentiation in mice[28]. TNFR2 promotes oligodendrocyte regeneration and neuronal survival in an ischemic model<sup>[29]</sup> and provides protection against oxidative stress[30].

The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of chronic hyperglycemia and immediate insulin treatment on TNFR1 and TNFR2 distribution in myenteric neurons along the duodenum-colon axis. Furthermore, we set out to investigate whether the TNFR expression is affected in muscular environment of enteric neurons in different gut segments.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animal model

Adult male Wistar rats (Toxi-Coop Zrt., Hungary) weighing 200-300 g, kept on standard laboratory chow (Innovo Kft., Hungary) and with free access to drinking water, were used throughout the experiments. The animal protocol was designed to minimize pain or discomfort to the animals. The animals were acclimatized to laboratory conditions (23 °C, 12 h/12 h light/dark, 50% humidity) for 2 wk prior to experimentation. The rats were divided randomly into three groups: streptozotocin (STZ)induced diabetics (diabetics; n = 17), insulin-treated STZ-induced diabetics (insulin-treated diabetics; n = 17) 15) and sex- and age-matched controls (*n* = 15). Hyperglycemia was induced as described previously[7, 10,12]. The animals were considered diabetic if the non-fasting blood glucose concentration was higher than 18 mmol/L. From this time on, the insulin-treated group of hyperglycaemic rats received a subcutaneous injection of insulin (Humulin M3, Eli Lilly Nederland, Netherlands) each morning (2 IU) and afternoon (3 IU). Equivalent volumes of saline were given subcutaneously to the diabetic and the control rats. The blood glucose level and weight of each animal were measured weekly. Those diabetic animals which recovered spontaneously, or their blood glucose level decreased below 18 mmol/L during the 10-wk experimental period were excluded from the study. In all procedures involving experimental animals, the principles of the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, United States) guidelines and the EU directive 2010/63/EU for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes were strictly followed, and all the experiments were approved by the National Scientific Ethical Committee on Animal Experimentation (National Competent Authority), with the license number XX./1636/2019.

#### Tissue handling

Ten weeks after the onset of hyperglycemia, the animals were killed by cervical dis-location under chloral hydrate anesthesia (375 mg/kg i.p.). The gut segments of diabetic, insulin-treated diabetic and control rats were dissected and rinsed in 0.05 mol/L phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4). Samples were taken from the duodenum (1 cm distal to the pylorus), the ileum (1 cm proximal to the ileo-caecal junction) and the proximal colon, and processed for fluorescent immunohistochemistry (n = 5 animals per group), quantitative electron microscopy (n = 5 animals per group) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, control group n = 10 animals, insulin-treated diabetic group n = 12 animals, diabetic group n = 1010 animals). For double-labeling fluorescent immunohistochemistry, the intestinal samples from different gut segments were cut along the mesentery, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and whole-mount preparations were made. For post-embedding electron microscopy, small pieces (2-3 mm) of the gut segments were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde solution and then further fixed for 1 h in 1% OsO<sub>4</sub>. After rinsing in buffer and dehydrating in increasing ethanol concentrations and acetone, they were embedded in Embed812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, United States). For the ELISA, the 3-cm-long gut segments were cut along the mesentery and pinched flat. After removing the layer of mucosa and submucosa, the residual tissue containing the intestinal smooth muscle layers and the myenteric plexus in between were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until use.

#### Fluorescent immunohistochemistry

For double-labeling immunohistochemistry, whole-mount preparations derived from different gut segments were immunostained with HuCD and TNFR1 or TNFR2. HuCD as a pan-neuronal marker is suitable for labeling of neuronal cell body without the projections. Briefly, after blocking in tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane-buffered saline (TBS) containing 1% bovine serum albumin and 10% normal goat serum, the samples were incubated overnight with pan-neuronal anti-HuCD (mouse



monoclonal IgG; A-21271, Invitrogen, United States; final dilution 1:50) and anti-TNFR1 (rabbit polyclonal, SAB4502988, Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary; final dilution 1:100) or anti-TNFR2 (rabbit polyclonal, SAB4502989, Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary; final dilution 1:200) primary antibodies at 4 °C. After washing in TBS with 0.025% Triton X-100, whole-mounts were incubated with anti-mouse Cy™3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, United States; final dilution 1:200) and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies Corporation, Molecular Probes, United States; final dilution 1:200) secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Negative controls were performed by omitting the primary antibody when no immunoreactivity was observed. Whole-mount preparations were mounted on slides in Fluoromount<sup>™</sup> Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary), observed and photographed with a Zeiss Imager Z.2 fluorescent microscope equipped with an Axiocam 506 mono camera.

#### Quantitative post-embedding immunohistochemistry

Five Embed blocks originating from each intestinal segment and condition were used to prepare ultrathin (70 nm) sections, which were mounted on nickel grids and processed for TNFR immunogold labeling. Ultrathin sections (three grids per block) were incubated overnight in anti-TNFR1 (rabbit polyclonal, SAB4502988, Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary; final dilution 1:100) or anti-TNFR2 (rabbit polyclonal, SAB4502989, Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary; final dilution 1:200) primary antibodies, followed by colloidal gold conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (conjugated to 18 nm gold particles; Jackson ImmunoResearch, United States; final dilution 1:20) secondary antibody for 3 h. The specificity of the immunoreaction was assessed in all cases by omitting the primary antibodies in the labeling protocol and incubating the sections only in the gold conjugated secondary antibody. Sections were counterstained with uranyl acetate (Merck, Germany) and lead citrate (Merck, Germany), and were examined and photographed with a JEOL JEM 1400 transmission electron microscope. The quantitative features and the subcellular distributions of the gold particles labeling TNFR1 or TNFR2 were determined in the myenteric ganglia. Fifty digital photographs of ten myenteric ganglia per intestinal segment per condition were made at a magnification of 20000 × with the AnalySIS 3.2 program (Soft Imaging System GmbH, Germany). The intensity of the labeling was expressed as the total number of gold particles per unit area (µm<sup>2</sup>).

#### Measurement of tissue TNFR concentrations

The intestinal tissue samples, including the intestinal smooth muscle/myenteric plexus (MUSCLE-MP), were frozen in liquid nitrogen, crushed into powder in a mortar and homogenized in 500  $\mu$ L homogenizing buffer (100 µL Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, Hungary) in 20 mL 0.05 mol/L PB). Tissue homogenates were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. The TNFR1 and TNFR2 levels of the MUSCLE-MP tissue samples were determined by means of quantitative ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions (TNFR1: GA-E3995RT, TNFR2: GA-E3997RT, GenAsia Bio-tech Co., China). Optical density was measured at 450 nm (Benchmark Microplate Reader; Bio-Rad, Hungary). Tissue TNFR concentrations were expressed as ng/mg protein.

#### Bradford protein micromethod for the determination of tissue protein content

A commercial protein assay kit was used for the determination of protein content in tissue samples. Bradford reagent was added to each sample. After mixing and following 10 min incubation, the samples were assayed spectrophotometrically at 595 nm. Protein level was expressed as mg protein/mL.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Newman-Keuls test, Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test. All analyses were carried out with GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, United States). A probability of P < 0.05 was set as the level of significance. All data were expressed as means ± SE.

#### RESULTS

#### Disease characteristics of type 1 diabetic rats

The general characteristics of the diabetic, insulin-treated diabetic and control rats were monitored during the 10-wk experiment and are summarized in Table 1. Diabetic rats were characterized by a long-lasting chronic hyperglycemia, their blood glucose concentration was 5 times that of the control group (25.64 ± 0.73 mmol/L vs 5.89 ± 0.13 mmol/L). Immediate insulin treatment inhibited extremely high glucose levels, however, the values were still higher than in the control group (10.29  $\pm$  0.76 mmol/L). All the animals gained weight during the experimental period, but the final body weight of diabetic rats was significantly lower as compared to insulin-treated diabetic and control animals.

#### Presence of TNFR1 and TNFR2 immunoreactivity in myenteric ganglia

Double-labeling fluorescent immunohistochemistry revealed TNFR immunoreactivity in myenteric ganglia. Both the TNFR1- and TNFR2-immunoreactive myenteric neurons were clearly visible on



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Weight and glycaemic characteristics of the three experimental groups of rats					
	Body weight (g)		Blood glucose concentration (mmol/L)		
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final (average)	
Controls ( $n = 15$ )	222.7 ± 9.76	429.9 ± 11.53 <sup>a</sup>	$4.83 \pm 0.32$	$5.89 \pm 0.13$	
Diabetics ( $n = 17$ )	$231.9 \pm 13.4$	$330.4 \pm 11.5^{a,b}$	$4.99\pm0.26$	$25.64 \pm 0.73^{a,b}$	
Insulin-treated diabetics ( $n = 15$ )	$227.5 \pm 10.76$	404.3 ± 14.79 <sup>a,c</sup>	$4.81 \pm 0.31$	$10.29 \pm 0.76^{a,b,c}$	

Data are expressed as mean ± SE:

 $^{a}P < 0.0001 vs$  initial.

 $^{b}P < 0.0001 vs$  final controls.

<sup>c</sup>P < 0.0001 vs final diabetics.

whole-mount preparations (Figure 1).

#### Subcellular localization and quantification of TNFRs expression in myenteric ganglia

Quantitative evaluation of TNFRs expression was carried out by post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy on ultrathin sections of myenteric ganglia (Figure 2). The 18 nm gold particles labeling TNFR1 or TNFR2 were often located at the plasma membranes or intracellular membranes in all the investigated gut segments and experimental conditions (Figure 3).

In healthy controls, the baseline density of TNFR1-labeling gold particles was higher than that of TNFR2 in all investigated gut segments. In contrast, TNFRs distribution differed in intestinal regions: TNFR1-labeling gold particle density was lowest in the duodenum ( $0.88 \pm 0.08$ ) and highest in the ileum  $(1.26 \pm 0.09)$ , while TNFR2 density was highest in the duodenum  $(0.45 \pm 0.03)$  and exhibited a significant decreasing tendency along the duodenum-colon axis (Figure 4).

TNFR1 density did not change significantly in neither the diabetic nor the insulin-treated animals in any investigated gut segments (Figure 4A). However, TNFR2 density decreased significantly only in myenteric ganglia of the diabetic duodenum (P < 0.05; Figure 4B). Insulin treatment did not prevent this decrease and resulted in a significantly lower TNFR2 density in the ileal ganglia compared to controls (Figure 4B).

Consequently, in the duodenum of diabetics, the proportion of TNFR2/TNFR1 was decreased by more than 30% (Figure 5). This ratio remained unchanged in the ileum and decreased also in the colonic ganglia of diabetics. In insulin-treated rats, the TNFR2/TNFR1 ratio was close to the control level in the duodenum, and decreased in ileal and colonic ganglia (Figure 5).

#### TNFR1 and TNFR2 levels in tissue homogenates

In controls, the level of both TNFRs in MUSCLE-MP homogenates, which included the circular and longitudinal smooth muscle layers of the gut wall as well as the myenteric plexus, displayed a definite decrease from duodenum to colon. While the TNFR1 level was  $20.32 \pm 3.1$  ng/mg in the duodenum, the ileum contained only a tenth of this value ( $1.85 \pm 0.04$ ; P < 0.05) and the colon even less ( $0.85 \pm 0.11$ ; P < 0.05) 0.0001; Figure 6A). The TNFR2 tissue level was also highest in the duodenal homogenates of controls  $(15.91 \pm 1.04)$  and significantly lower in the ileum  $(12.76 \pm 0.86; P < 0.05)$  and colon  $(9.38 \pm 0.21; P < 0.05)$ 0.0001; Figure 6B).

In diabetic homogenates, both TNFR levels decreased markedly in the duodenum compared to control samples (Figure 6). The TNFR2 level was decreased by 25% in these samples ( $11.72 \pm 0.39 vs$  $15.91 \pm 1.04$ ; P < 0.01), but the greatest decrease was observed in the case of TNFR1, which was only a fifth of the control value ( $4.06 \pm 0.65 vs 20.32 \pm 3.1$ ; P < 0.001). Therefore, the proportion of TNF-R2/TNFR1 was quadrupled in duodenal tissue homogenates of diabetics as compared to controls (Figure 7). However, there were no changes in either the TNFR1 and TNFR2 tissue level or in their proportion in other diabetic gut segments (Figures 6 and 7). Insulin treatment only prevented themarked TNFR1 decrease in the duodenum, but not the TNFR2 change. In addition, the insulin significantly altered the TNFR levels in the other intestinal segments (Figure 6).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, an intestinal segment-specific TNFR distribution has been demonstrated both in healthy control and type 1 diabetic rats. Enteric neuronal TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression was also shown by other studies[31,32]. The presence of TNFRs on myenteric neurons is supportive of their modulatory impact on neuronal activity, which is triggered by cytokines[32]. Moreover, the regionality of their base level suggests distinct significance in cell fate regulation in different gut segments. Though TNFR1 displayed higher expression than TNFR2 in myenteric ganglia in all gut segments, this does not





DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v14.i1.48 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023

Figure 1 Representative fluorescent micrographs of whole-mount preparations of myenteric ganglia from the duodenum of a control and a diabetic rat after TNFR1-HuCD or TNFR2-HuCD double-labeling immunohistochemistry. HuCD as a pan-neuronal marker was applied to label myenteric neurons. A: TNFR1-HuCD; B: TNFR2-HuCD. Arrows indicate myenteric neurons. Scale bar: 20 µm. CD: Control duodenum; DD: Diabetic duodenum.



DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v14.i1.48 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Representative electron micrograph of myenteric ganglia from the duodenum of a control rat. Scale bar: 5 µm. MG: Myenteric ganglia; LM: Longitudinal smooth muscle layer; CM: Circular smooth muscle layer.

> necessarily prioritize the TNFα-TNFR1 signaling pathway, because the receptors' affinity to different forms of TNF $\alpha$  is not equal. Recent studies have shown that the transmembrane form of TNF $\alpha$  has higher affinity for TNFR2, while the released soluble form has higher affinity for TNFR1[33,34]. Considering the regional differences along the duodenum-colon axis, the TNFR2 expression was highest in duodenal ganglia, while the TNFR1 density was the lowest there, which suggests that TNFR2 may have stronger influence on duodenal myenteric neurons than TNFR1. Furthermore, control duodenal MUSCLE-MP homogenates displayed the highest TNFR levels, which greatly differed from that of other segments, suggesting a privileged role for TNFRs also in the duodenal microenvironment around myenteric ganglia.

> In the colon and ileum of diabetics, neither the TNFR1 nor the TNFR2 density was found to be significantly different in myenteric ganglia. Furthermore, tissue levels of different TNFRs and their



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v14.i1.48 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Representative electron micrographs of portions of the ganglionic neuropil or perikaryon of myenteric neurons from different gut segments of control, diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats after TNFR1 or TNFR2 post-embedding immunohistochemistry. A: TNFR1; B: TNFR2. Arrows indicate 18 nm gold particles labeling TNFR1/TNFR2. Scale bar: 500 nm. CD: Control duodenum; CI: Control ileum; CC: Control colon; DD: Diabetic duodenum; DI: Diabetic ileum; DC: Diabetic colon; IDD: Insulin-treated diabetic duodenum; IDI: Insulin-treated diabetic ileum; IDC: Insulin-treated diabetic colon.

Znishideng® WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

January 15, 2023 Volume 14 Issue 1



Figure 4 Quantitative evaluation of gold particles labeling TNFR1 and TNFR2 in myenteric ganglia from different gut segments of control, diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats. A: TNFR1; B: TNFR2. The number of TNFR1-labeling gold particles did not change significantly in any experimental conditions and gut segments. Meanwhile, the TNFR2 density significantly decreased in the diabetic duodenum, which was not prevented by insulin. Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  SE.  $^{\circ}P < 0.05$ ,  $^{b}P < 0.01$  (relative to the controls). C: Controls; D: Diabetics; ID: Insulin-treated diabetics.

proportion also remained unchanged in the MUSCLE-MP homogenates from these segments. Since the myenteric and mucosal/submucosal TNF $\alpha$  expression has been shown to decrease in the distal gut regions of diabetic rats[10] presumably as a result of heme oxygenase induction[6,35], we suppose that the crucial mechanism involved in diabetic loss of myenteric neurons[12] and environmental damage[5, 7] in the colon and ileum is not the result of TNF $\alpha$ -TNFRs signaling. Therefore, further investigation is necessary regarding the impact of other cytokines in diabetic enteric neuropathy. Immediate insulin treatment was not preventive, but rather it had confusing effect on TNFR expression. Studies have shown that increased TNF $\alpha$  is fundamentally involved in the disturbed insulin signaling and contributes to the development of insulin resistance[36,37]. Direct exposure to TNF $\alpha$  induces insulin resistance in myocytes and brown adipocytes due to impairment of the insulin receptor substrate proteins[38]. High TNFR1 and TNF $\alpha$  levels are also related to insulin resistance and impaired  $\beta$ -cell function in pregnant women[39]. Diabetes-induced insulin insensitivity is reversed by aryl-hydrocarbon receptor ligands, such as tryptophan, which also decreased the TNF $\alpha$  expression in Kupffer cells and plasma and lead to reversed intestinal barrier function in insulin-dependent diabetic mice[40].

In myenteric ganglia of the diabetic duodenum, a decrease in the number of TNFR2-labeling gold particles was revealed without any significant alterations in TNFR1 density. This suggests that the TNF $\alpha$ -TNFR2 signaling is more affected by the diabetic state in the duodenum. Recently, regionally distinct TNF $\alpha$  production has been demonstrated in myenteric ganglia of different gut segments and intestinal layer-dependent differences in TNF $\alpha$  levels have been revealed in type 1 diabetic rats[10]. A significantly increased TNF $\alpha$  expression has been observed only in the duodenal ganglia of diabetics [10]. TNFR2-deficient mice have decreased sensitivity to TNF $\alpha$  or greater resistance to TNF $\alpha$ -induced cell death[41], therefore decreased TNFR2 levels may protect neurons. This is in agreement with our earlier findings that the duodenum was the only gut segment where diabetic loss of myenteric neurons has not been demonstrated[6,12], despite of the increased TNF $\alpha$  expression in the duodenal ganglia of type 1 diabetic rats[10]. TNFR2 downregulation increased Schwann cell apoptosis in culture, but also



Barta BP et al. Segment-specific TNFR expression in myenteric ganglia



Figure 5 Proportion of TNFR2/TNFR1 density in myenteric ganglia from different gut segments of control, diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats. The TNFR2/TNFR1 proportion mostly decreased in the duodenum of diabetics. C: Controls; D: Diabetics; ID: Insulin-treated diabetics.



Figure 6 Tissue levels of TNFR1 and TNFR2 in intestinal smooth muscle layer homogenates including the myenteric plexus from the different gut segments of control, diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats. A: TNFR1; B: TNFR2. Both the TNFR1 and TNFR2 levels decreased remarkably in the duodenum of diabetics, but they remained unchanged in other segments. Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE. <sup>a</sup>P < 0.001, <sup>b</sup>P < 0.001, <sup>c</sup>P < 0.001 (between diabetics and insulin-treated diabetics). C: Controls; D: Diabetics; ID: Insulin-treated diabetics.

triggered the apoptosis-induced proliferation of Schwann cells[42]. In human and murine macrophages, the TNF-induced TRAF2 degradation was blocked in the absence of TNFR2[43]. Renal TNFR2 deficit protects from glomerulonephritis by reducing macrophage infiltration[44]. Furthermore, TNFR2 deficiency reduces the production of immunosuppressive factors, like nitric-oxide and interleukin 6[45]. TNF $\alpha$ /TNFR2 signaling is a crucial checkpoint in immunomodulation[46], it influences the T cell responses in autoimmunity[28,47-49]. TNFR2 signaling has an important role in protecting neurons



WJD https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 7 Proportion of TNFR2/TNFR1 levels in tissue homogenates from different gut segments of control, diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats. The TNFR2/TNFR1 proportion only increased robustly in the duodenum of diabetics and it has been restored by insulin treatment. C: Controls; D: Diabetics; ID: Insulin-treated diabetics.

against glutamate-induced excitotoxicity through the nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kappa B)-dependent pathway[22,50]. It has been shown that TNFα can be neurotrophic for enteric neurons since it promotes the glial-derived neurotrophic factor expression of intestinal smooth muscle cells and facilitates the neurite outgrowth also *via* NF-kappa B-related pathway[32]. Therefore, evaluating the possible involvement of NF-kappa B in region-dependent diabetic enteric neuropathy may be an interesting future prospective. Both TNFR1 and TNFR2 tissue levels decreased in diabetic duodenal MUSCLE-MP homogenates. As the intestinal smooth muscle represents in a larger amount in these tissue samples, differences in the results of electron microscopic and ELISA can better reflect different alterations of TNFRs in the myenteric neurons than the muscular environment. It should also be noted that TNFR1 and TNFR2 tissue levels decreased to a very different extent in diabetic duodenal MUSCLE-MP samples. This may result in the complete reversal of the TNFRs proportion in the neuronal muscular environment compared to myenteric ganglia. Shift in the TNFR2/TNFR1 balance may be essential in TNF signaling and considering that TNFR2 in different cell types may be endowed with opposing functions[51], the importance of environmental changes in neuronal loss or survival is further emphasized.

#### CONCLUSION

The present study revealed an intestinal segment-specific pattern of TNFRs expression in myenteric ganglia and their muscular environment in type 1 diabetes. Our results support that TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in myenteric ganglia in the duodenum of diabetic rats. The diabetes-related regional changes in TNFRs expression may contribute to region-dependent myenteric neuropathy, however, further experiments are required to conclude its functional consequences.

#### **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

Tumor necrosis factor alpha plays an essential role in inflammatory modulation of enteric neurons, however, it can promote apoptosis or cell survival depending on its different receptors (TNFR1 and TNFR2).

#### **Research motivation**

Distinct neuronal microenvironment is critical in gut segment-specific diabetic enteric neuropathy, therefore, the region-dependent expression and alterations of different TNFRs may also be important in therapy targeting motility disturbances in type 1 diabetes.

#### **Research objectives**

To investigate the TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression in myenteric ganglia and their environment in different intestinal regions of diabetic rats.



#### Research methods

Double-labeling fluorescent immunohistochemistry, post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay were applied to evaluate the TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression in myenteric ganglia and muscle/myenteric plexus tissue homogenates from different gut segments of streptozotocin-induced diabetic, insulin-treated diabetic and control rats.

#### Research results

TNFRs expression displayed a strictly region-specific pattern even in control animals. However, among all the investigated gut segments, only the duodenum showed significant alterations of TNFR expression in diabetic rats. The TNFR2 density was decreased in the myenteric ganglia, while no significant changes in TNFR1 density were revealed. Moreover, the TNFR2/TNFR1 proportion was markedly influenced in both the ganglia and their muscular environment of diabetics. Insulin had controversial effects on TNFR expression.

#### Research conclusions

Diabetes-related region-specificity in TNFRs expression shows that TNFR2 is more affected than TNFR1 in duodenal myenteric ganglia of type 1 diabetic rats.

#### Research perspectives

Nuclear factor-kappa B has a key role in TNFR2 signaling pathway. Therefore, evaluation of the involvement of nuclear factor-kappa B in region-dependent diabetic enteric neuropathy may be important in the future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Németh E and Arany K for the excellent technical assistance. We remember our colleague Dr. Rázga Z with thanks and gratitude.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Bódi N and Bagyánszki M designed the study; Barta BP, Bódi N, Onhausz B, AL Doghmi A, Bal ázs J and Szalai Z performed the research; Barta BP and Bódi N analyzed the data; Bódi N wrote the original draft; Barta BP and Bagyánszki M reviewed and edited the original draft; Bódi N and Bagyánszki M prepared the figures; all authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Supported by Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Fund Projects, No. GINOP-2.3.3-15-2016-00006; Hungarian NKFIH Fund Project, No. FK131789 (to Bódi N); János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (to Bódi N); ÚNKP-21-5 - New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund (to Bódi N); and Gedeon Richter Plc Centenary Foundation (to Bódi N).

Institutional animal care and use committee statement: All procedures involving animals were reviewed and approved by the National Scientific Ethical Committee on Animal Experimentation (National Competent Authority), with the license number XX./1636/2019.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: Dataset available from the corresponding author at bmarcsi@bio.u-szeged.hu.

**ARRIVE guidelines statement:** The authors have read the ARRIVE Guidelines, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the ARRIVE Guidelines.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: Hungary

ORCID number: Bence Pál Barta 0000-0002-5309-8633; Benita Onhausz 0000-0002-6043-5968; Afnan AL Doghmi 0000-0003-3242-9859; Zita Szalai 0000-0002-8722-0211; János Balázs 0000-0003-1775-6915; Mária Bagyánszki 0000-0003-3533-9461; Nikolett Bódi 0000-0002-9774-1387.



S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: Ma JY-MedE P-Editor: Gao CC

#### REFERENCES

- Brown H, Esterházy D. Intestinal immune compartmentalization: implications of tissue specific determinants in health and 1 disease. Mucosal Immunol 2021; 14: 1259-1270 [PMID: 34211125 DOI: 10.1038/s41385-021-00420-8]
- Kaiko GE, Stappenbeck TS. Host-microbe interactions shaping the gastrointestinal environment. Trends Immunol 2014; 2 35: 538-548 [PMID: 25220948 DOI: 10.1016/j.it.2014.08.002]
- 3 Grylls A, Seidler K, Neil J. Link between microbiota and hypertension: Focus on LPS/TLR4 pathway in endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation, and therapeutic implication of probiotics. Biomed Pharmacother 2021; 137: 111334 [PMID: 33556874 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111334]
- Tang WH, Kitai T, Hazen SL. Gut Microbiota in Cardiovascular Health and Disease. Circ Res 2017; 120: 1183-1196 [PMID: 28360349 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309715]
- Wirth R, Bódi N, Maróti G, Bagyánszki M, Talapka P, Fekete É, Bagi Z, Kovács KL. Regionally distinct alterations in the 5 composition of the gut microbiota in rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes. PLoS One 2014; 9: e110440 [PMID: 25469509 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110440]
- Chandrakumar L, Bagyánszki M, Szalai Z, Mezei D, Bódi N. Diabetes-Related Induction of the Heme Oxygenase System and Enhanced Colocalization of Heme Oxygenase 1 and 2 with Neuronal Nitric Oxide Synthase in Myenteric Neurons of Different Intestinal Segments. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2017; 2017: 1890512 [PMID: 29081883 DOI: 10.1155/2017/1890512
- Jancsó Z, Bódi N, Borsos B, Fekete É, Hermesz E. Gut region-specific accumulation of reactive oxygen species leads to regionally distinct activation of antioxidant and apoptotic marker molecules in rats with STZ-induced diabetes. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2015; 62: 125-131 [PMID: 25794426 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocel.2015.03.005]
- 8 Korbut E, Brzozowski T, Magierowski M. Carbon Monoxide Being Hydrogen Sulfide and Nitric Oxide Molecular Sibling, as Endogenous and Exogenous Modulator of Oxidative Stress and Antioxidative Mechanisms in the Digestive System. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020; 2020: 5083876 [PMID: 32377300 DOI: 10.1155/2020/5083876]
- 9 Al Bander Z, Nitert MD, Mousa A, Naderpoor N. The Gut Microbiota and Inflammation: An Overview. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17 [PMID: 33086688 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17207618]
- 10 Bódi N, Chandrakumar L, Al Doghmi A, Mezei D, Szalai Z, Barta BP, Balázs J, Bagyánszki M. Intestinal Region-Specific and Layer-Dependent Induction of TNFa in Rats with Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetes and after Insulin Replacement. Cells 2021; 10 [PMID: 34572059 DOI: 10.3390/cells10092410]
- 11 Tu W, Wang H, Li S, Liu Q, Sha H. The Anti-Inflammatory and Anti-Oxidant Mechanisms of the Keap1/Nrf2/ARE Signaling Pathway in Chronic Diseases. Aging Dis 2019; 10: 637-651 [PMID: 31165007 DOI: 10.14336/AD.2018.0513]
- 12 Izbéki F, Wittman T, Rosztóczy A, Linke N, Bódi N, Fekete E, Bagyánszki M. Immediate insulin treatment prevents gut motility alterations and loss of nitrergic neurons in the ileum and colon of rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008; 80: 192-198 [PMID: 18242757 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2007.12.013]
- Klinge MW, Sutter N, Mark EB, Haase AM, Borghammer P, Schlageter V, Lund S, Fleischer J, Knudsen K, Drewes AM, 13 Krogh K. Gastric Emptying Time and Volume of the Small Intestine as Objective Markers in Patients With Symptoms of Diabetic Enteropathy. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2021; 27: 390-399 [PMID: 34210904 DOI: 10.5056/jnm19195]
- Yarandi SS, Srinivasan S. Diabetic gastrointestinal motility disorders and the role of enteric nervous system: current status 14 and future directions. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2014; 26: 611-624 [PMID: 24661628 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12330]
- Bessac A, Cani PD, Meunier E, Dietrich G, Knauf C. Inflammation and Gut-Brain Axis During Type 2 Diabetes: Focus on 15 the Crosstalk Between Intestinal Immune Cells and Enteric Nervous System. Front Neurosci 2018; 12: 725 [PMID: 30364179 DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00725]
- Jarret A, Jackson R, Duizer C, Healy ME, Zhao J, Rone JM, Bielecki P, Sefik E, Roulis M, Rice T, Sivanathan KN, Zhou 16 T, Solis AG, Honcharova-Biletska H, Vélez K, Hartner S, Low JS, Qu R, de Zoete MR, Palm NW, Ring AM, Weber A, Moor AE, Kluger Y, Nowarski R, Flavell RA. Enteric Nervous System-Derived IL-18 Orchestrates Mucosal Barrier Immunity. Cell 2020; 180: 50-63.e12 [PMID: 31923399 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.12.016]
- 17 Ruder B, Atreya R, Becker C. Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha in Intestinal Homeostasis and Gut Related Diseases. Int J *Mol Sci* 2019; **20** [PMID: 30995806 DOI: 10.3390/ijms20081887]
- 18 Wei HX, Wang B, Li B. IL-10 and IL-22 in Mucosal Immunity: Driving Protection and Pathology. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 1315 [PMID: 32670290 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01315]
- 19 Vanamee ÉS, Faustman DL. Structural principles of tumor necrosis factor superfamily signaling. Sci Signal 2018; 11 [PMID: 29295955 DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.aao4910]
- 20 Parameswaran N, Patial S. Tumor necrosis factor-α signaling in macrophages. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr 2010; 20: 87-103 [PMID: 21133840 DOI: 10.1615/critreveukargeneexpr.v20.i2.10]
- Coquenlorge S, Duchalais E, Chevalier J, Cossais F, Rolli-Derkinderen M, Neunlist M. Modulation of lipopolysaccharide-21 induced neuronal response by activation of the enteric nervous system. J Neuroinflammation 2014; 11: 202 [PMID: 25497784 DOI: 10.1186/s12974-014-0202-7]
- Marchetti L, Klein M, Schlett K, Pfizenmaier K, Eisel UL. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-mediated neuroprotection against 22 glutamate-induced excitotoxicity is enhanced by N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor activation. Essential role of a TNF receptor 2-mediated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-dependent NF-kappa B pathway. J Biol Chem 2004; 279: 32869-32881 [PMID: 15155767 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M311766200]



- 23 Faustman DL, Davis M. TNF Receptor 2 and Disease: Autoimmunity and Regenerative Medicine. Front Immunol 2013; 4: 478 [PMID: 24391650 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00478]
- 24 Ihnatko R, Kubes M. TNF signaling: early events and phosphorylation. Gen Physiol Biophys 2007; 26: 159-167 [PMID: 18063842
- 25 Gough P, Myles IA. Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptors: Pleiotropic Signaling Complexes and Their Differential Effects. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 585880 [PMID: 33324405 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.585880]
- Zhang N, Wang Z, Zhao Y. Selective inhibition of Tumor necrosis factor receptor-1 (TNFR1) for the treatment of 26 autoimmune diseases. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2020; 55: 80-85 [PMID: 32327345 DOI: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.03.002]
- 27 Liang H, Yin B, Zhang H, Zhang S, Zeng Q, Wang J, Jiang X, Yuan L, Wang CY, Li Z. Blockade of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor type 1-mediated TNF-alpha signaling protected Wistar rats from diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance. Endocrinology 2008; 149: 2943-2951 [PMID: 18339717 DOI: 10.1210/en.2007-0978]
- Yang S, Xie C, Chen Y, Wang J, Chen X, Lu Z, June RR, Zheng SG. Differential roles of TNFa-TNFR1 and TNFa-28 TNFR2 in the differentiation and function of CD4<sup>+</sup>Foxp3<sup>+</sup> induced Treg cells in vitro and in vivo periphery in autoimmune diseases. Cell Death Dis 2019; 10: 27 [PMID: 30631042 DOI: 10.1038/s41419-018-1266-6]
- Arnett HA, Mason J, Marino M, Suzuki K, Matsushima GK, Ting JP. TNF alpha promotes proliferation of 29 oligodendrocyte progenitors and remyelination. Nat Neurosci 2001; 4: 1116-1122 [PMID: 11600888 DOI: 10.1038/nn738]
- Maier O, Fischer R, Agresti C, Pfizenmaier K. TNF receptor 2 protects oligodendrocyte progenitor cells against oxidative 30 stress. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2013; 440: 336-341 [PMID: 24076392 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.09.083]
- 31 Chandrasekharan B, Jeppsson S, Pienkowski S, Belsham DD, Sitaraman SV, Merlin D, Kokkotou E, Nusrat A, Tansey MG, Srinivasan S. Tumor necrosis factor-neuropeptide Y cross talk regulates inflammation, epithelial barrier functions, and colonic motility. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013; 19: 2535-2546 [PMID: 24108115 DOI: 10.1097/01.MIB.0000437042.59208.9f]
- 32 Gougeon PY, Lourenssen S, Han TY, Nair DG, Ropeleski MJ, Blennerhassett MG. The pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNFα are neurotrophic for enteric neurons. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 3339-3351 [PMID: 23426662 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3564-12.2013
- Benoot T, Piccioni E, De Ridder K, Goyvaerts C. TNFa and Immune Checkpoint Inhibition: Friend or Foe for Lung 33 Cancer? Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22 [PMID: 34445397 DOI: 10.3390/ijms22168691]
- Tartaglia LA, Pennica D, Goeddel DV. Ligand passing: the 75-kDa tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor recruits TNF for 34 signaling by the 55-kDa TNF receptor. J Biol Chem 1993; 268: 18542-18548 [PMID: 8395508]
- 35 Namkoong S, Sung J, Yang J, Choi Y, Jeong HS, Lee J. Nobiletin Attenuates the Inflammatory Response Through Heme Oxygenase-1 Induction in the Crosstalk Between Adipocytes and Macrophages. J Med Food 2017; 20: 873-881 [PMID: 28892456 DOI: 10.1089/jmf.2017.3921]
- 36 Akash MSH, Rehman K, Liaqat A. Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha: Role in Development of Insulin Resistance and Pathogenesis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Cell Biochem 2018; 119: 105-110 [PMID: 28569437 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.26174]
- 37 Fernandez-Real JM, Lainez B, Vendrell J, Rigla M, Castro A, Peñarroja G, Broch M, Pérez A, Richart C, Engel P, Ricart W. Shedding of TNF-alpha receptors, blood pressure, and insulin sensitivity in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2002; 282: E952-E959 [PMID: 11882518 DOI: 10.1152/ajpendo.00444.2001]
- Lorenzo M, Fernández-Veledo S, Vila-Bedmar R, Garcia-Guerra L, De Alvaro C, Nieto-Vazquez I. Insulin resistance 38 induced by tumor necrosis factor-alpha in myocytes and brown adipocytes. J Anim Sci 2008; 86: E94-104 [PMID: 17940160 DOI: 10.2527/jas.2007-0462]
- Xie J, Dai L, Tang XL. Study on the correlation between the changes of TNFR1, TNF-alpha, and adiponectin in patients 39 with gestational diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance. Eur J Inflamm 2019; 17 [DOI: 10.1177/2058739219846346]
- 40 Liu WC, Chen PH, Chen LW. Supplementation of endogenous Ahr ligands reverses insulin resistance and associated inflammation in an insulin-dependent diabetic mouse model. J Nutr Biochem 2020; 83: 108384 [PMID: 32512500 DOI: 10.1016/i.inutbio.2020.108384
- Erickson SL, de Sauvage FJ, Kikly K, Carver-Moore K, Pitts-Meek S, Gillett N, Sheehan KC, Schreiber RD, Goeddel DV, 41 Moore MW. Decreased sensitivity to tumour-necrosis factor but normal T-cell development in TNF receptor-2-deficient mice. Nature 1994; 372: 560-563 [PMID: 7990930 DOI: 10.1038/372560a0]
- 42 Gao Z, Min C, Xie H, Qin J, He X, Zhou S. TNFR2 knockdown triggers apoptosis-induced proliferation in primarily cultured Schwann cells. Neurosci Res 2020; 150: 29-36 [PMID: 30731109 DOI: 10.1016/j.neures.2019.01.010]
- 43 Ruspi G, Schmidt EM, McCann F, Feldmann M, Williams RO, Stoop AA, Dean JL. TNFR2 increases the sensitivity of ligand-induced activation of the p38 MAPK and NF-KB pathways and signals TRAF2 protein degradation in macrophages. Cell Signal 2014; 26: 683-690 [PMID: 24378531 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.12.009]
- 44 Vielhauer V, Stavrakis G, Mayadas TN. Renal cell-expressed TNF receptor 2, not receptor 1, is essential for the development of glomerulonephritis. J Clin Invest 2005; 115: 1199-1209 [PMID: 15841213 DOI: 10.1172/JCI23348]
- Polz J, Remke A, Weber S, Schmidt D, Weber-Steffens D, Pietryga-Krieger A, Müller N, Ritter U, Mostböck S, Männel 45 DN. Myeloid suppressor cells require membrane TNFR2 expression for suppressive activity. Immun Inflamm Dis 2014; 2: 121-130 [PMID: 25400932 DOI: 10.1002/iid3.19]
- Beldi G, Khosravi M, Abdelgawad ME, Salomon BL, Uzan G, Haouas H, Naserian S. TNFa/TNFR2 signaling pathway: an 46 active immune checkpoint for mesenchymal stem cell immunoregulatory function. Stem Cell Res Ther 2020; 11: 281 [PMID: 32669116 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-020-01740-5]
- 47 Atretkhany KN, Mufazalov IA, Dunst J, Kuchmiy A, Gogoleva VS, Andruszewski D, Drutskaya MS, Faustman DL, Schwabenland M, Prinz M, Kruglov AA, Waisman A, Nedospasov SA. Intrinsic TNFR2 signaling in T regulatory cells provides protection in CNS autoimmunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2018; 115: 13051-13056 [PMID: 30498033 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1807499115]
- Chatzidakis I, Mamalaki C. T cells as sources and targets of TNF: implications for immunity and autoimmunity. Curr Dir 48 Autoimmun 2010; 11: 105-118 [PMID: 20173390 DOI: 10.1159/000289200]
- Ye LL, Wei XS, Zhang M, Niu YR, Zhou Q. The Significance of Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Type II in CD8+ Regulatory T Cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> Effector T Cells. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 583 [PMID: 29623079 DOI:



#### 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00583]

- 50 Dolga AM, Granic I, Blank T, Knaus HG, Spiess J, Luiten PG, Eisel UL, Nijholt IM. TNF-alpha-mediates neuroprotection against glutamate-induced excitotoxicity via NF-kappaB-dependent up-regulation of K2.2 channels. J Neurochem 2008; **107**: 1158-1167 [PMID: 18823372 DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05701.x]
- 51 Gao H, Danzi MC, Choi CS, Taherian M, Dalby-Hansen C, Ellman DG, Madsen PM, Bixby JL, Lemmon VP, Lambertsen KL, Brambilla R. Opposing Functions of Microglial and Macrophagic TNFR2 in the Pathogenesis of Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis. Cell Rep 2017; 18: 198-212 [PMID: 28052249 DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.083]





### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

