

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78492

Title: Thalidomide combined with endoscopy in the treatment of cronkhite Canada

syndrome: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06308805 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-10 16:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-18 10:48

Review time: 7 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

great review of the case



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78492

Title: Thalidomide combined with endoscopy in the treatment of cronkhite Canada

syndrome: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06305612 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-09 16:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-21 20:19

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is so pleasing to reduce the patients' suffering and improve their lifestyle with thaldomide, but there are some issues which I need to clarify and explain more with authours; # 1 In the present illness, there is a lot of information which does not need to be demonstrated, focus findings which related so on are to CCS(Cronkhite-Canada-Syndrom) syndrome, such as having alopecia or abdominal pain more about his diarrhea (like having a healthy period, increasing or decreasing factors, color, etc.) # 2 It's better to sate his smoking consumption in packs per year. # 3 Is there any information about the ANA or IgG in your lab data? and also calculate the calcium correction amount. # 4 How did the patient consume the thalidomide single dose or in divided dosage? # 5 I was confused about the gastroenteroscopy findings because I think they should be compared with macroscopic and microscopic differentiation. # 6 Clarify the rate of microscopic magnification in figure 3.