

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 78550

Title: Synaptophysin-like 2 expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor

prognosis in colorectal cancer patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05330707 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-13 01:26

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-23 08:52

Review time: 10 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The the SYPL2 authors investigated association between expression clinicopathological factors in colorectal cancer.
 I have following concerns.

 1. The authors state that SYPL2 mRNA expression correlates with BRAF and NTRK1 mRNA expression. However, in General, BRAF and NTRK gene mutations, not mRNA expression, are used to select chemotherapy regimens for colorectal cancer. Please cite the articles that NTRK and BRAF mRNA expressions correlates with therapeutic effect and explain that SYPL2 affects therapeutic effect.

 2. The authors state that the expression levels of SYPL2 was associated with OS and DFS. However, SYPL2 expression was demonstrated prognostic factor by multivariate analysis, based on the data provide this article, patients with high SYPL2 expression were significantly likely to be grouped into late-stage colorectal cancer. To understand the significance of high SYPL2 expression for metastasis and recurrence, I think authors need to examine the association between SYPL2 expression and survival in colorectal caner patients with stage II and III. The authors should show the cumulative survivals by stage.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 78550

Title: Synaptophysin-like 2 expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor

prognosis in colorectal cancer patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05614441
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: N/A
Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-27 06:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-08 10:38

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Zhao et al. investigated SYPL2 expression in colorectal cancer. The following questions were raised: 1. Authors wrote that SYPL2 expression was significantly lower than in normal tissue. However, in survival analyses they found that CRC patients with higher SYPL2 expression had worse survival. One would assume the opposite that the more similar results to normal would have better OS/DFS/etc. Therefore, authors must discuss this contraversial observation. 2. In general the Discussion is short compared to the many results authors described. Discussion should be more detailed. 3. Further details about bevacizumab is necessary: how many patients recieved this treatment, when the treatment was received during the course of the disease, etc. 4. What is the relationship between SYPL2 and synchron vs metachron metastases 5. Why did not exclude authors those patients from the data analysis, who had several data missing (e.g.,TNM was missing for 45 patients, sex for 20). If all of these patients are excluded from the study, how do the results change?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 78550

Title: Synaptophysin-like 2 expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor

prognosis in colorectal cancer patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05614441
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: N/A
Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-26 20:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-27 18:28

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript improved significantly during the revision. All of the questions riased were answered. In those questions, where no data were available, a "Limitations of the study" must be added.