

Responses to Review Comments

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific comments to authors

1 Title. Appropriate

2 Abstract. Appropriate

Key words. Appropriate

4 Background

Appropriate

5 Methods. N/A

6 Results. N/A

7 Discussion. Too long, general information parts should be more brief, the unique aspects of the described method should be emphasized more.

Answer: As you pointed out, we have shortened the Discussion section.

8 Illustrations and tables. Appropriate

9 Biostatistics. N/A

10 Units. Appropriate

11 References. References are relatively out dated. Below I suggest two current studies to cite and discuss. 1. Yamamoto N, Takeuchi R, Izuchi D, Yuge N, Miyazaki M, Yasunaga M, Egashira K, Ueoka Y, Inoue Y. Hysteroscopic adhesiolysis for patients with Asherman's syndrome: menstrual and fertility outcomes. Reprod Med Biol 2013;12(4):159-166. [DOI: [10.1007/s12522-013-0149-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12522-013-0149-x)]. PMID: 29662367; PMCID: PMC5892977.

(2) Simsir, Coşkun, Coşkun, Var, & Kalem (2019). Hysteroscopic treatment of Asherman's Syndrome. Cumhuriyet Medical Journal, 41, 443-449.

Answer: As you indicated, we have cited the suggested papers in the manuscript.

12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Appropriate

13 Research methods and reporting. Appropriate

14 ETHICS STATEMENTS: INFORMED CONSENT FOR USING THE PATIENT' S IMAGES SHOULD BE ADDED

Answer: As you pointed out, we have added a sentence in the manuscript regarding our obtaining consent from the patient to administer this treatment and for the publication of this report and any accompanying image.