

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*Manuscript NO: 78911Title: Effect of gender on the reliability of COVID-19 rapid antigen test among elderly

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00054672

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBG, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: Iraq

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-21 16:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-22 10:07

Review time: 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am one of the authors of the refered manuscript Tabain I, Cucevic D, Skreb N,et al . Field evaluation of COVID-19 rapid antigen test: Are rapid antigen tests less reliable among the elderly? World J Clin Cases. 2022 10(19):6456-63. [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i19.6456] and therefore I have a conflict of interest to review this manuscript.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*Manuscript NO: 78911Title: Effect of gender on the reliability of COVID-19 rapid antigen test among elderly

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Iraq

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-26 12:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-26 13:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Rewrite the title so that it clarifies the purpose of writing a letter to the editor. 2. Concentrate on reformulating the study abstract so that it clarifies the problem and how to solve it. 3. Work on replacing Table No. 1 with linguistic texts that explain its findings.