

Comments:

The authors have submitted a commentary on a published article. *The introduction explains the rationale for this letter. *This letter is well organized and clearly written. *I appreciate the way they discussed. In conclusion, I think this study meets the requirements of this journal for publication

This manuscript is well and good at innovation and clears the clarity of the reader. It is well structured and well written. The author does a good job of presenting a highly technical and complicated process in an easy-to-understand manner. Authors need to cross check the reference section by addressing the cited contents in the introduction and related work part.

Reply:

I would like to thank the reviewers for their earnest efforts in reviewing the manuscript.

1. One reviewer suggested a minor technical suggestion for the order of references, and this was addressed in the document. I checked the references section and the related work section. The mistakes were corrected, and the order has been changed. The changes are highlighted in yellow.

2.The manuscript was re-edited for English language by a professional English language editing Service.